

**Metropolitan Transportation Authority** 

## Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting

# March 2020

#### Members

- K. Law
- S. Metzger
- D. Mack
- R. Herman
- N. Brown
- R. Glucksman
- R. Linn
- V. Tessitore
- N. Zuckerman

#### Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting

2 Broadway 20th Floor Board Room New York, NY Wednesday, 3/25/2020 10:00 AM - 5:00 PM ET

**1. Public Comments Period** 

#### 2. Summary of Actions

#### MNR Summary of Actions Summary of Actions - Page 5 LIRR Summary of Actions (None)

#### 3. Approval of Minutes - February 24, 2020

MNR Minutes MNR Minutes - Page 6

LIRR Minutes LIRR Minutes - Page 13

#### 4. 2020 Work Plans

MNR 2020 Work Plan MNR Work Plan - Page 24

LIRR 2020 Work Plan LIRR 2020 Work Plan - Page 31

#### 5. AGENCY PRESIDENTS'/CHIEF'S REPORTS

#### **MNR Report**

MNR Safety Report
 MNR Safety Report - Page 38

#### LIRR Report

• LIRR Safety Report LIRR Safety Report - Page 42

#### MTA C&D Report (None)

MTA Police Report

MTA Police Report - Page 45

#### 6. AGENCY INFORMATION ITEMS

**Joint Information Items** 

#### • LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update LIRR-MNR PTC Project Update - Page 54

#### 7. AGENCY INFORMATION ITEMS

#### **MNR Information Items**

- Annual Elevator & Escalator Report
   Annual Elevator Escalator Report Page 75
- Customer Satisfaction Survey Report
   Customer Satisfaction Survey Report Page 84
- 2019 Annual Ridership Report
   2019 Annual Ridership Report Page 130

#### **LIRR Information Items**

- Annual Elevator/Escalator Report
   Annual Elevator Escalator Report Page 139
- Spring Trackwork Programs Spring Trackwork Programs - Page 147
- Customer Satisfaction Survey Report
   Customer Satisfaction Survey Report Page 149
- 2019 Annual Ridership Report
   2019 LIRR Annual Ridership Report Page 174

#### 8. PROCUREMENTS

#### **MNR Procurements**

MNR Procurements - Page 182

- MNR Non-Competitive (None)
- MNR Competitive
   MNR Competitive Page 187
- MNR Ratifications (None)

LIRR Procurements (None)

**MTA CC Procurements (None)** 

### 9. AGENCY REPORTS ON OPERATIONS, FINANCE, RIDERSHIP AND CAPITAL PROGRAM

#### **MNR Reports**

- MNR Operations Report
   MNR Operations Report Page 189
- MNR Performance Metrics Report
   MNR Performance Metrics Report Page 199

- MNR Finance Report MNR Finance Report - Page 204
- MNR Ridership Report
   MNR Ridership Report Page 225
- MNR Capital Program Report
   MNR Capital Program Report Page 236

#### LIRR Performance Summaries

- LIRR Operations Report LIRR Operations Report - Page 244
- LIRR Performance Metrics Report LIRR Performance Metrics Report - Page 253
- LIRR Financial Report LIRR Financial Report - Page 258
- LIRR Ridership Report
   LIRR Ridership Report Page 279
- LIRR Capital Program Report
   LIRR Capital Program Report Page 287

#### METRO-NORTH RAILROAD COMMITTEE ACTIONS and PRESENTATIONS SUMMARY for March 2020

Responsible Department	Vendor Name	Total Amount	Summary of action
Metro-North	Clearway	\$2,000,000	Brush and Tree Cutting and Removal
Procurements	Industries, LLC		Services for Harlem and Hudson Lines

Minutes of the Regular Meeting Metro-North Committee Monday, February 24, 2020

Meeting held at 2 Broadway – 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10004 8:30 a.m.

The following Board Members were present:

Hon. Susan Metzger Hon. Kevin Law Hon. Vincent Tessitore, Jr. Hon. Randolph Glucksman Hon. Norman Brown Hon. Robert W. Linn Hon. Neal Zuckerman

The following Board Members were not present:

Hon. Rhonda Herman Hon. David Mack

Also Present:

Hon. Andrew Albert Catherine Rinaldi - President Susan J. Doering - Executive Vice President Richard L. Gans - Vice President and General Counsel Glen Hayden - Vice President, Engineering Yvonne Hill-Donald - Vice President, Human Resources John Kennard – Vice President, Capital Programs John Kesich – Senior Vice President, Operations Mark Mannix - Senior Director, Corporate and Public Affairs Thomas Mitchell - Vice President, Customer Service and Stations Joseph McGrann - Acting Chief, MTA Police Department Michael Shiffer - Vice President, Planning Justin Vonashek – Vice President, System Safety Anthony Gardner - Acting Senior Director, Procurement Steven Weiss - Executive Director Management and Budget Andrew Paul - Vice President, Labor Relations Janno Lieber – MTA Chief Development Officer

The members of the Metro-North Committee met jointly with the members of the Long Island Rail Road Committee. In addition to the Metro-North President and Metro-North staff noted above, President Eng and various staff of the Long Island Rail Road attended the joint committee meeting. The minutes of the Long Island Rail Road Committee for the meeting of February 24, 2020 should be consulted for matters addressed at the joint meeting relating to the Long Island Rail Road.

Kevin Law, as Chair of the Long Island Rail Road Committee, called the joint meeting to order.

#### SAFETY PROCEDURES:

The electronic recording of the emergency safety procedures was played.

#### PUBLIC COMMENT:

The following public speakers commented on matters related to Metro-North:

Jason Anthony, with Passengers United, commented on the New York City Outer Borough Rail Discount Pilot Program. He commented that tickets should cost \$45 per month and Metro-North trips between Harlem-125<sup>th</sup> Street Station and Grand Central Terminal should cost \$5.

Charlton D'Sousa, with Passengers United, also commented on the New York City Outer Borough Rail Discount Pilot Program.

Joe Germanotta, owner of the Art Bird & Whiskey Bar located in Grand Central Terminal, expressed his opinion that the homeless population in Grand Central Terminal was increasing. He asked that Metro-North create a designated area in Grand Central Terminal to allow the homeless population to congregate. He also expressed his opinion about the allegedly poor conditions of the restrooms and lack of common area maintenance and pest control. Additionally, he provided comments about the business impacts of the opening of East Side Access.

Lisa Daglian, Executive Director of the Permanent Citizen's Advisory Committee, commented on the New York City Outer Borough Rail Discount Pilot Program. She commented that she hopes increased ridership will be accompanied by increased service. She also commented that the program does not account for those trains with little to no capacity nor does it apply evenly to the Long Island Rail Road and Metro-North. She expressed support for an equitable fare structure that does not come at the expense of one rider over another.

Additional details of the comments made by the public speakers are contained in the minutes of the Long Island Rail Road Committee for the meeting of February 24, 2020. The video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records contains a complete record of the public comments.

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved the minutes of the January 21, 2020 joint Metro-North and Long Island Rail Road Committee Meeting.

#### METRO-NORTH 2020 WORK PLAN:

President Eng reported that there were no changes to the Long Island Rail Road or Metro-North Work Plans. The 2020 Work Plan is filed with the records of this meeting.

#### METRO-NORTH PRESIDENT'S REPORT:

President Rinaldi, together with Police Chief McGrann, recognized two Metro-North employees and two MTA Police Officers who assisted in reuniting a lost child with her family. On the night of January 14, 2020, Conductor Ninan Jacob noticed a young girl traveling alone during late night hours. The 10-year-old was confused, scared, and tired when she spoke with Conductor Jacob. He contacted the Operations Control Center and relayed the situation to Rail Traffic Controller Edwin Vargas, who instructed him to detrain with the child at the Mount Vernon

West Station. In turn, RTC Conductor Vargas quickly requested MTA Police support and assistance. When the train arrived at the Mount Vernon West Station, Assistant Conductor Rod Milhouse detrained with the child where they were shortly met by MTA Police Lieutenant Henry Lennon and Police Officer John Casiano. The child had been reported missing by her family since noon that day, and the Lieutenant and Officer took custody of the child and reunited her with her family in the Bronx. President Rinaldi and Police Chief McGrann presented the employees and Police Officers with certificates.

Reporting on Positive Train Control ("PTC"), President Rinaldi stated that Metro-North continues to move towards full PTC implementation this year. Since last summer, Metro-North has been running trains in PTC operations on the Danbury Line. Last fall, Metro-North extended PTC on the Hudson Line between Marble Hill and Croton Harmon to cover almost 90% of the Hudson Line to Poughkeepsie. In December, Metro-North began PTC operations on the Upper Harlem Line between Southeast and Wassaic. During the last weekend of January, Metro-North extended PTC from Mount Vernon West to Southeast. Metro-North now has 65% of its route miles in full PTC mode and 67% of its daily trains are operating in PTC. Metro-North expects to extend PTC to Grand Central Terminal completing both the Hudson and Harlem Lines. In March, Metro-North will commission PTC on the New Canaan Branch. Metro-North is also planning to move up the last cut-in segment for the new signal system on the Port Jervis line to March, which is needed to support PTC implementation. President Rinaldi commented that the steady progress on the safety front is due in large part to the hard work and dedication of Metro-North personnel.

Reporting on service delivery, President Rinaldi reported that improved performance trends continued in January when on-time performance ("OTP") climbed to 97.4%; Metro-North's best OTP in six years. The improvement marks the 14th consecutive month of improved OTP, an increase of 1.5 percentage points over this time last year and the best January since 2012. On the Hudson Line, trains operated at 97.6%, with 96.4% during the peak. On the Harlem Line, performance was at 97.8%, with 97.6% during the peak. On the New Haven Line, performance was at 97.0%, with 97.4% during the peak. This strong performance is a reflection of the hard work and dedication of the men and women of Metro-North. West of Hudson service also operated above goal at 94.2% with Port Jervis Line service improving at 91.3%, up 2.9% from December which was the best month since April 2018 (91.5%). Pascack Valley Line service stood at 96.4% in January. President Rinaldi commented that she attributes the improvements in West of Hudson service delivery to the schedule change made on December 8<sup>th</sup> to provide more reliable service for customers. Additionally, ridership on Metro-North's trains and the connecting ferries and buses operated by the railroad was 6,957,330 in January of 2020, or 0.3% more than in January of 2019.

Reporting on progress made under the Way Ahead Plan, as part of raising awareness of the importance of rail safety, Metro-North's TRACKS public outreach program launched a Rail Safety Poster Contest in 2018. Metro-North launched the 2nd annual contest in the Fall of 2019 and encouraged students (Pre-K through 12th grade) who live or attend schools within the Metro-North territory to participate. Students were asked to illustrate what they know and believe are most important about rail safety. The TRACKS team received a total of 82 student poster entries from 13 different schools—a 24% increase in entries. The poster entries were divided into four groups for judging purposes:

- Pre-Kindergarten;
- Kindergarten through 3<sup>rd</sup> grade;
- 4<sup>th</sup> grade through 8<sup>th</sup> grade; and
- 9<sup>th</sup> grade through 12<sup>th</sup> grade.

The posters were evaluated based on the best representations of safety around trains and train tracks within the respective grade levels. Five school winners were selected. The five winning posters will be featured in Metro-North's TRACKS presentations throughout this year. President Rinaldi further reported that Metro-North plans to visit the schools with the winning posters, accompanied by the TRACKS ambassador, Metro-Man, to recognize the schools for their achievement. The five schools by class category (whose winning student posters were displayed) include:

- Pre-Kindergarten: PS 130M, the Hernando De Soto School in NYC;
- Kindergarten through 3<sup>rd</sup> grade: PS 130M, the Hernando De Soto School and P.723X at 338X School in the Bronx;
- 4<sup>th</sup> grade through 8<sup>th</sup> grade: Jonas Bronck Academy in the Bronx; and
- 9<sup>th</sup> grade through 12<sup>th</sup> grade: Saunders Trade School in Yonkers.

President Rinaldi also reported that Metro-North is continuing its monthly Customer "Connect with Us" forums with outreach at Poughkeepsie Station earlier this month. A forum will be held in Grand Central Terminal on Tuesday, March 10<sup>th</sup> from 7:30AM until 9AM to meet and greet customers. The forums are an excellent opportunity to speak directly with customers, listen and learn about what matters to them, and find ways to continue to enhance customer service.

President Rinaldi reported that Metro-North has completed the station entrance improvements at Crestwood and continues to advance work at the White Plains Station with the removal of the side platform, which will be replaced with a new heated platform and stairs to reduce injury risks in winter months. As work at the island platform level moves toward completion in the second quarter of 2020, Metro-North will continue work on expanding and improving the lobby, all of which will be completed later this year.

Metro-North is also focused on improving customer communications as part of Way Ahead and continues to rollout new digital screens in Grand Central Terminal. 178 new platform displays have been installed on the upper and lower levels of the Terminal. The entire lower level has been tested and is operational. The upper level is undergoing testing and is being powered up. The new screens display the next departing train from a track within 20 minutes of the train departure time. Most are double sided so customers can see train information from both directions on the platforms. Metro-North has further enhanced the area by installing new lighting along both sides of the platforms. New arrival/departure gate boards now appear on both the lower and upper levels of the Terminal and Metro-North is in the process of replacing these screens in the North End Access. At the end of this initiative, there will be over 300 screens in Grand Central Terminal, trainshed, platform areas, and North End Access, which enable Metro-North to provide train and customer information on a regular basis and improve communications, especially during service disruptions.

The video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records contains a complete record of President Rinaldi's remarks.

#### **METRO-NORTH CUSTOMER SERVICE REPORT:**

Thomas Mitchell, Vice President, Customer Service and Stations reported on Metro-North's customer complaint metrics. Complaints associated with late or cancelled trains, crowded trains, and public communications decreased in 2019.

The video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records contains a complete record of Mr. Mitchell's remarks.

#### **METRO-NORTH SAFETY REPORT:**

Justin Vonashek, Vice President, System Safety, reported that customer reportable injuries continue to trend less than one injury per million customers and are down nearly 13% compared to the previous reporting period. Employee lost time injuries are down nearly 21% compared to the previous reporting period. The 1.95 employee lost time injury rate represents the lowest rate Metro-North has seen since 2012. The TRACKS Program ended in 2019 reaching over 110,000 individuals, a 10% increase over 2018.

The full safety report is filed with the records of this meeting, and the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, contains a complete record of Mr. Vonashek's remarks.

#### **MTAPD REPORT:**

Joseph McGrann, Acting Chief of the MTA Police Department ("MTAPD"), reported that overall crime remains low; however, systemwide for 2020, robberies have increased by three, five versus two. Reporting on the robberies in Metro-North territory specifically, Chief McGrann explained that an individual was robbed at gunpoint at the University Heights Station and two other robberies occurred in Grand Central Terminal.

Chief McGrann also reported on the MTAPD's plan to hire 500 police officers. He stated that the MTAPD hired 28 officers in October and November 2019 and has hired 138 "rollovers," or individuals previously employed by other law enforcement agencies. The next hiring class will be strictly civilian personnel. Chief McGrann also reported on MTA Real Estate efforts to provide a location near 125<sup>th</sup> Street to accommodate the additional officers, which will benefit Metro-North. The additional officers will also allow for increased train patrols.

Board Member Zuckerman acknowledged the public comments made about homelessness in Grand Central Terminal and commented on the need for the MTAPD to increase its efforts to address the problem. Board Member Brown commented on the challenges the homelessness issue presents to Metro-North employees and asked about the projected training schedule for the newly hired police officers. Chief McGrann responded that the MTAPD will double its efforts to address homelessness in Grand Central Terminal and that the police academy will last six months. Board Member Linn remarked that numerous rationales have been provided to justify the additional police officers and asked for a detailed presentation about deployment and supervision plans with achievement projections. Board Member Tessitore expressed his support for increased patrols on trains to help address employee assaults.

The full MTAPD report is filed with the records of this meeting, and the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, contains a complete record of Chief McGrann's remarks and Board Members' comments.

#### JOINT INFORMATION ITEMS:

Two joint information items were presented to the Committee:

New York City Outer Borough Rail Discount Pilot

Mark Young, CFO and Vice President, Management and Finance, Long Island Rail Road, presented a summary of the program. Pursuant to Section 1270-i(3) of the Public Authorities Law, the Outer Borough Transportation Account was established to set aside up to \$50 million per year to subsidize a variety of discount transit programs. Generally, funds will be used to provide a 20% discount on eligible Long Island Rail Road monthly tickets, a 10% discount on other eligible Long Island Rail Road ticket types, and a 10% discount on eligible Metro-North ticket types. The pilot will run for six months.

Board Member Law noted that the program is legislatively mandated. Board Member Glucksman asked for additional funding information. David Keller, Senior Deputy Budget Director, MTA, explained that the cost of the program is being borne by the annual \$50 million in the Outer Borough Transportation Account. Board Member Linn asked for additional information about why the discounts are different between the railroads and asked for ridership and cost projections prior to implementation. Mark Young commented that for Long Island Rail Road, the impact is preliminarily anticipated to be a \$16 million revenue loss. Michael Shiffer, Vice President, Planning, commented that Metro-North anticipates customer travel changes and the impact is preliminarily anticipated to be a \$4 million revenue loss. Board Member Zuckerman stated that the discounts are not equitable because they are not similarly distributed to those customers who pay the most in Putnam, Dutchess, and Suffolk Counties. Board Member Albert expressed concern over the pilot and commented on the need for a full analysis of the results. Board Member Brown commented that the impacts are value judgments and will not be precisely measurable.

Long Island Rail Road/Metro-North PTC Status Report

President Eng reported on the status of PTC during his President's Report including recent developments with Amtrak software delays needed to support Harold Interlocking inoperability. Board Members Zuckerman, Law, and Metzger questioned the impact of Amtrak's issues on the Long Island Rail Road's successful implementation of PTC by the December 2020 deadline. President Eng said that Amtrak's issues will not impact the Long Island Rail Road's trains or achievement of the implementation deadline. President Eng also stated that the Long Island Rail Road remains committed to working with Amtrak to help it achieve the December 2020 deadline.

The details of the joint information items are contained in reports filed with the records of this meeting, and the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, contains a complete record of comments made by Board Members and staff.

#### **METRO-NORTH INFORMATION ITEMS:**

Four Metro-North information items were presented to the Committee:

- Metro-North Adopted Budget/Financial Plan 2020
- Metro-North 2019 Annual Operating Results
- Metro-North Diversity-EEO Report (4th Quarter 2019)
- 2020 Spring/Summer Schedule Change

President Rinaldi presented highlights of the Metro-North Diversity – EEO Report (4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2019) and Metro-North's efforts to recruit more female and minority employees. Between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, female employees were hired at a rate of 16%, which is higher than Metro-North's current rate of representation (13%). Minority employees were hired at

a rate of 46%, which is higher than Metro-North's current rate of representation (38%). Metro-North's strategic outreach plan going forward includes focusing on all-female high-schools, female universities and colleges, creative advertising, and increased community outreach.

Board Member Law asked to discus and focus on different reports during future Committee meetings. President Rinaldi offered to highlight areas of interest in her President's Report. Board Member Metzger expressed her approval of Metro-North's efforts to recruit female employees.

The details of the information items are contained in reports filed with the records of this meeting, and the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, contains a complete record of President Rinaldi's remarks.

#### LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD PROCUREMENTS:

The Committee was presented with the following competitive procurement that relates to Metro-North:

• Board approval to award a two-year original equipment manufacturer noncompetitive contract to Mitsubishi Electric Power Products, Inc. ("MEPPI") in the amount of \$85,000,000 (Long Island Rail Road \$45,000,000/Metro-North \$40,000,000).

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved the foregoing procurement. Board Member Zuckerman was not present for the vote. The details of the procurement are contained in reports filed with the records of this meeting.

#### OPERATIONS, PERFORMANCE METRICS, RIDERSHIP, FINANCIAL, AND CAPITAL PROGRAM REPORTS:

President Rinaldi reported that through the end of January, Metro-North generated \$89 million in revenue and spent \$139 million, resulting in a net operating loss of \$50 million. Due to higher than expected revenues that are partially offset by lower than expected expenditures, the net operating loss through January is lower than what was assumed in the adopted budget.

The details of the Operations, Performance Metrics, Ridership, Financial, and Capital Program Reports are contained in reports filed with the records of the meeting. The video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records contains a complete record of President Rinaldi's remarks.

#### ADJOURNMENT:

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting.

Respectfully submitted

Ríchard L. Gans Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

February 2020 Committee Minutes – FINAL

Minutes of the Regular Meeting Long Island Rail Road Committee Tuesday, February 24, 2020

Meeting held at 2 Broadway – 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10004 8:30 a.m.

#### The following Board Members were present:

Hon. Kevin Law, Chair, Long Island Rail Road Committee Hon. Susan G. Metzger, Chair, Metro-North Committee Hon. Norman Brown Hon. Randolph Glucksman Hon. Robert Linn Hon. Vincent Tessitore, Jr. Hon. Neal Zuckerman

#### The following Board Members were absent:

Hon. David Mack, Vice Chair, Long Island Rail Road Committee Hon. Rhonda Herman, Vice Chair, Metro-North Committee

Also present: Hon. Andrew Albert

**<u>Representing Long Island Rail Road</u>:** Phillip Eng, Rod Brooks, Robert Free, Loretta Ebbighausen, Mark Young, Dennis Mahon, and Paige Graves

**<u>Representing MTA Construction & Development Company</u>: Janno Lieber, Evan Eisland, David Cannon and Peter Kohner** 

#### Representing MTA Police: Chief of Operations Joseph McGrann

The members of the Long Island Rail Road Committee met jointly with the members of the Metro-North Committee. In addition to MTA Long Island Rail Road ("LIRR") President Phillip Eng and members of the LIRR staff noted above, MTA Metro-North Railroad ("Metro-North") President Catherine Rinaldi and members of the Metro-North staff attended the Joint Committee meeting. The minutes of the Metro-North Committee meeting of February 24, 2020 should be consulted for matters addressed at the Joint Committee meeting relating to Metro-North.

Long Island Committee Chair Kevin Law called the joint meeting to order. A pre-recorded safety public address announcement reviewed emergency safety procedures.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Paige Graves, LIRR Vice President - General Counsel & Secretary, introduced the six public speakers. She requested each speaker to limit their comments to two minutes and to only address matters on the agenda for the meeting.

The following public speakers commented on matters related to LIRR:

Jason Anthony, Passengers United, spoke about Atlantic tickets and reducing monthly fares and commended the direct and time-saving route LIRR offers between Brooklyn and Jamaica.

Charlton DeSousa, Passengers United, spoke about incentivizing riders to ride to Atlantic Terminal over Penn Station, said there is a need for deeper discounts and suggested options to lower fares.

Murray Bodin spoke about safety concerns at train crossings.

Lisa Daglian, Executive Director, PCAC, spoke about the pilot discount program for LIRR and Metro-North paid for by Phase 1 of congestion pricing funds. She commented that increases in ridership brought on by these incentives and new forms of access should be matched by increases in service and that there should be a more equitable fare structure.

Additional details of the comments made by the public speakers are contained in the minutes of the Joint Committee meeting of this day. The video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA minutes contains a complete record of the public comments.

#### APPROVAL OF MINUTES AND 2020 WORK PLAN

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Committee approved the minutes of the January 21, 2020 Joint Metro-North and Long Island Rail Road Committee Meeting. There were no reported changes to the 2020 Work Plan.

#### MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD PRESIDENT'S REPORT

President Eng stated that the Long Island Rail Road is picking up where we left off in 2019, with unprecedented levels of essential maintenance and construction, setting modern-record ridership and delivering performance gains that are meaningful to our riders. He credited our dedicated workforce and our managers who have developed a work plan that enables us to safely accomplish this work while still running trains to accommodate a growing ridership.

President Eng then acknowledged two senior members of his executive staff on their upcoming retirements, Senior Vice President - Operations, James "Rod" Brooks and Chief Procurement Officer Dennis Mahon.

President Eng stated that Senior Vice President Brooks joined the Rail Road in 1988 after serving in the U.S. Marine Corps and dedicated himself to public service for the next 32 years. He

worked in many different departments, including Engineering and Transportation, and on major projects, with increasing levels of responsibility. Rod was Executive Director of East Side Access and Harold Interlocking Operations when President Eng came to the LIRR; soon after, he appointed Rod as Senior Vice President – Operations. President Eng thanked Rod for his tireless efforts, deep knowledge and professionalism in helping LIRR move in the right direction, upward and forward.

President Eng also recognized Chief Procurement Officer Mahon. An armed forces veteran who served in the U.S. Army and spent the last 20 years with the Rail Road, President Eng stated that Dennis worked his way up through the Procurement and Logistics Department. President Eng stated that with Dennis' leadership and steady hand as Chief Procurement Officer, we are continuously streamlining the procurement process and embracing alternative means that are needed to help set us up for success in delivering all of the essential Capital work and improved services for our ridership. From steering major efforts like the Third Track procurement process using Design-build, to enabling us to introduce new technology like the use of lasers to combat low adhesion, Dennis displayed his good-hearted nature and positive "let's get it done" attitude in leading his staff.

President Eng thanked Rod and Dennis on behalf of himself, the Long Island Rail Road, the entire MTA family, and the millions of riders who use the Railroad each year, for their service to this country and to their years of dedicated service to LIRR. President Eng wished them both the best in their retirements and asked for a round of applause to show our appreciation, noting that this was their last Committee meeting.

President Eng then reported on Positive Train Control ("PTC"). While some recent developments regarding Amtrak and the delay in software they need for interoperability within Harold Interlocking have raised questions, President Eng stated that LIRR remains on schedule to meet the December 2020 deadline for compliance.

He reported that Amtrak has proposed an alternative solution to provide for back-to-back functionality on LIRR territory through Harold and that we are committed to working on it with them to ensure that any solution we accept does not impact LIRR riders or our operations. He noted that that any solution must ultimately be approved by the Federal Railroad Administration ("FRA"). President Eng said that he has spoken to the FRA and they are committed to helping resolve this matter. LIRR is meeting this week with Amtrak and the FRA to address the software needed by Amtrak and Amtrak's interim solution.

President Eng reported that this month we have recorded the highest success rate to date, successfully completing the factory acceptance testing of the software needed for us to operate in PTC with back-to-back functionality through Harold. Further, we have successfully started to run trains in PTC on Amtrak territory through the East River Tunnels into Penn Station, meeting our requirements for PTC interoperability. Combined with these significant accomplishments, we are running PTC on over 37% of our territory. During the next few months, we will be adding many more segments as we include this extra layer of safety. We remain on schedule to meet the December 2020 deadline for compliance.

Board Member Zuckerman asked President Eng about the impact of this Amtrak announcement on our trains versus Amtrak's trains.

President Eng responded that we have developed factory acceptance testing on our software needed for our train to operate in PTC through our own Harold Interlocking and into Penn Station on Amtrak territory. Regarding Amtrak and their own designs for software to operate their trains,

through Harold Interlocking which the Railroad owns, their software has been pushed back to July 2021. President Eng stated that we are going to meeting with Amtrak to try to accelerate that date, but what needs to be done is that Amtrak needs to develop software or a solution that affects their trains and doesn't affect ours.

President Eng reported that this past January, we recorded an On-Time Performance ("OTP") of 93.3%, slightly up from 2019's figure of 92.7% and a dramatic increase over 2018's number of 83.9%. Comparing January of this year to January 2018, LIRR ran more than 570 additional trains and almost 1,900 more scheduled trains arrived on time.

President Eng noted that that performance is not limited to OTP. With an open system such as ours, we know that we cannot control everything, but we are working hard to mitigate incidents when they do occur. Through his extensive conversations with customers, both at planned events and in more impromptu settings, President Eng said that he has learned how important our incident response time is. He stated that a metric that addresses this is our average delay per late train, which this January was 10.1 minutes. This number was the lowest since we began modern recordkeeping of this statistic in 1996 -- and significantly better than January 2019's figure of 12.0 minutes and January 2018's number of 15.3 minutes.

President Eng reported that the number of trains delayed by 15 or more minutes has also been steadily declining. In January 2018, we recorded 808 trains that were delayed more than 15 minutes; last year we improved and reduced that number to 249 trains. This January, the number of trains delayed 15 minutes or more was 133 trains, that is an 84% reduction from 2018.

President Eng stated that these reductions in delay time signify that the effects of our aggressive tactics toward maintenance and responsiveness during disruptions is tangible. Our customer-centric focus has seeped into everything we do every single day and President Eng thanked our workforce for embracing it. He noted that we are making these kinds of improvements happen at a time when not only more and more customers are using our system but when we are accomplishing more and more track work programs and capital work than we've seen in our entire history.

President Eng stated that to put this in context, the last time our monthly OTP exceeded 93.3% in January was in 2012. Our ridership for the month was 6.4 million passengers, and we had zero track work programs. In January 2020, we had a ridership of 7.1 million customers and executed 10 track work programs. That means we're carrying more customers, renewing and strengthening our system, and improving performance, all at the same time. That was our challenge in 2019 and that remains our challenge over the next few years as we seek to deliver on our commitments and build a rail road that will better meet the needs of our riders and Long Island.

President Eng reported that this past weekend, we proactively addressed the root cause of a broken rail that occurred last week near Woodside Station, which was poor drainage and the resulting fouled ballast, which if left unattended would ultimately lessen the durability of the rail repair. We went in, addressed the drainage, replaced ties as necessary, placed new ballast and resurfaced the area. President Eng stated that this work will improve the long-term reliability of the track and improve our service through this critical and heavily traveled location.

President Eng stated that this is especially important because last year we experienced many delays due to broken rails: in January 2018 and 2019 combined, broken rails caused a total of 246 late trains. Last year we promised to take measures to mitigate this and in 2019, we increased the

frequency of Sperry testing to 4 times a year, which is quadruple the FRA requirement. In lower speed areas where the FRA does not require Sperry testing, we are performing 2 cycles. With this increased frequency we are identifying and proactively repairing defects not easily detected during visual inspections, reducing the likeliness of broken rails and in many cases, avoiding them all together. President Eng stated that he is proud to say that this has been effective and we have reduced the number of delays due to broken rail in January of 2020 to only 27 trains, an 81% reduction from January 2019. To build on this success, the program we put together for 2020-24 has over a billion dollars allocated to restore our track to a state of good repair and we are just getting started.

President Eng showed a slide concerning some of the major infrastructure renewal projects that we will be undertaking in 2020, stating that he continues to stress the importance of a balanced program, one that addresses the needs of today's riders but builds for the future. President Eng stated that the success of these projects demonstrates how the LIRR workforce is seamlessly working alongside our partners at the MTA Construction & Development Company ("C&D") and third-party contractors to achieve a common goal in delivering our modernization and expansion efforts.

President Eng reported that during the first six weeks of this year, we've accomplished significant work during a typically nonproductive time, including:

- Installing seven new switches with the completion of the work at Nassau Interlocking. This vital component of infrastructure will allow for safe and high-speed connectivity to the new Third Track. It also demonstrates the importance of LIRR forces working in concert with the Design build contractor 3TC.
- Resurfacing four miles of track;
- Constructing north track siding in Hicksville;
- Installing, on the Atlantic Branch, approximately 20,000 feet of continuously welded rail.

President Eng noted that our forces are continually supporting the LIRR Expansion Project with daily single tracking, East Side Access, Moynihan Train Hall, and Amtrak's improvements at Penn Station. He stated that we are also celebrating the completion of Nostrand Avenue Station as we challenge ourselves towards making the LIRR fully accessible to all. We are looking forward to the 20-24 Capital Program as we challenge industry to think outside of the box, deliver more and make better use of our dollars in the most cost-efficient way possible.

President Eng stated that the recently completed Platform F will give us much-needed operational flexibility. Last week, we began running a select number of Hempstead Branch trains on the newly opened Track 11, as work at Jamaica Station continues. Service here will only continue to increase as we move forward with other Jamaica Capacity Improvement efforts.

President Eng stated that with Penn Station undergoing a complete transformation thanks to the bold vision of the Governor, we are paying close attention to customer feedback as construction is in full swing. With many new construction zones and barricades to navigate, we've installed a host of new digital displays to ensure that our customers have the train information they need, where they need it and when they need it, as they make their way to our platforms.

President Eng reported that our LIRR Care program is thriving and going strong. Since we launched the program in July 2018, our teams have assisted more than 4,800 customers. Our

ambassadors and train crews have made this a model program as they go above and beyond to assist our customers, especially those with mobility limitations. President Eng stated that he is happy to see a steady stream of positive feedback, but it is the growing usage that tells me how invaluable this service has been to so many of our customers.

President Eng concluded his remarks by stating that as our focus remains on providing our customers with a service that is both robust and reliable, having a creative thought process to accomplish this amount of work is essential when balancing the needs of our customers and the need to fortify and expand a system that hundreds of thousands of people rely on every single day. He noted that these projects will help us reach our common goal: delivering world-class rail service to the people of the New York region.

Regarding PTC, Chair Law asked if the Siemens team consulting for LIRR is the same as the Siemans consultants for Amtrak and referred to correspondence he had seen between MTA Chairman & CEO Patrick Foye and Amtrak.

President Eng responded that he has been speaking with Siemens and they're fully committed to delivering our PTC in collaboration with their partner Bombardier. The team that Siemens will need to help deliver and support Amtrak is being developed. President Eng stated that Siemens has assured him that anything they do to support Amtrak will not hurt our schedule/work, and we will continue to work with Seimens and we're all committed to work with Amtrak and the FRA and whomever they bring on board to deliver the back-to back functionality they need for their software.

In response to Chair Metzger's question about PTC, President Eng responded that Amtrak has the same back-to-back need on other properties but he doesn't know whether they have the functionality designed. He stated that Amtrak proposed an alternative solution which we will review, but we've also committed to working with them to try to help them still get the design they need for December 2020.

In response to Chair Metzger's question about the MOU from Empire State Development, President Eng said that the revised version was received yesterday and is under review and that he was optimistic it would be signed this week. He stated that he would keep the Joint Committee informed of the status.

The video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in MTA records contains a complete record of President Eng's remarks and Board members' comments.

#### LIRR SAFETY REPORT

Vice President - Corporate Safety Lori Ebbighausen stated that LIRR's Safety Report appears on Page 39 of the Committee Book, reporting through the end of December 2019.

For the reporting period ending December 2019, the average Reportable Customer Accident Rate per million customers was 2.34 injuries per million customers as compared to 2.18 injuries per million customers over the same period last year. Slips, trips and falls generate the most injury reports.

During the reporting period, the average Reportable Employee Lost Time Injury Rate increased from 2.85 injuries per 200,000 hours worked to 3.22 injuries per 200,000 hours worked. Soft tissue injuries are the greatest type of injury sustained.

In partnership with the MTA Police, Together Railroads and Communities Keeping Safe ("TRACKS") program YTD reached 124,924 participants through the end of December 2019, a 9 ½ % increase over the same period last year.

The details of the Safety Report are contained in the Safety Report filed with the records of this meeting, and in the video recording of the meeting produced by MTA and maintained in MTA records, which recording includes discussion regarding the Safety Report.

#### MTA CONSTRUCTION & DEVELOPMENT COMPANY

There was no MTA Construction & Development presentation to the Board this month.

#### **MTA CONSTRUCTION & DEVELOPMENT COMPANY ACTION ITEMS**

MTA Construction & Development presented one Action Item, as follows:

1. A modification to Contract CS179 (Systems Facilities Package No. 1) to establish a Power Director team that will be responsible for overseeing and coordinating the operation of all high-voltage electrical equipment within the East Side Access territory. This modification is for a not-to-exceed Amount of \$5,287,635.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, this procurement item was approved for recommendation to the Board.

#### **MTA POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Chief Joseph McGrann reported that although overall crime remains low, we're still plagued by a number of robberies, 5 this year system-wide as opposed to 2 last year. We take each of these very seriously. Regarding LIRR, we had a 14-year-old who had his cell phone taken away from him at the Flatbush Avenue Station; and at the Wantaugh Station, there was a knife point robbery where an arrest was made by Nassau County. Chief McGrann then reviewed the hiring plan for the 500 police officers. He stated that the addition of these additional officers will also provide more train patrols, particularly in the evening at the change of shifts. Officers coming on duty at 1900 Hours will be taking the train from their location in Jamaica and they will ride east a short distance before coming back west into the city limits for their homeless outreach program on the overnight and underlying stations.

Chief McGrann responded to comments by Board Members Zuckerman, Brown, Linn and Tessitore regarding the hiring and deployment of new police officers, including on board trains.

The details of Chief McGrann's report are contained in the MTAPD Report filed with the records of this meeting, and in the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, which recording includes Board Members' comments and discussion regarding the MTAPD Report.

#### JOINT INFORMATION ITEM

One Joint Information Item was presented to the Committee:

• NYC Outer Borough Rail Discount Pilot – To obtain Board approval to conduct the NYC Outer Borough Discount pilot. During the pilot the MTA will lower the cost of travel within New York City for customers of both the Long Island Rail Road ("LIRR") and Metro-North Rail Road ("Metro-North") on certain eligible ticket types.

Mark Young, Vice President - Management & Finance and Chief Financial Officer, gave an executive summary of the pilot program. Pursuant to the 2018 congestion pricing legislation, the outer borough transportation account was established which set aside up to \$50 Million per year to subsidize a variety of discount programs within the City affecting several MTA agencies, two of which were the commuter railroads. Per the agreement between the Governor and the State Legislature, this discount program would include 10% discounts on all travel within the City on the two railroads for non-previously discounted tickets and special types of tickets. There would also be a 20% discount on LIRR Monthly tickets within the City stations. The requested authorization is for a pilot for 6-12 months, during which time we'll assess the financial, operational, capacity impacts of the program and report back. The ticket will be available through all sales channels; the only exclusions are tickets that are already discounted, special types of tickets, getaway packages, etc.

In response to Chair Law's question, Vice President Young confirmed that this program has been directed by the legislature.

Board Member Linn commented that there should be an explanation of why the LIRR and Metro-North discounts are different and that this would be a topic again at the Finance Committee meeting.

David Keller, MTA Finance, provided information about the state legislature's funding of the outer borough transportation account and the cost of the program. His comments included that

at the present time, we assume at LIRR that the impact would be a \$16 Million revenue loss due on an annual basis due to the discount program.

President Eng responded that one of the things that we will be monitoring during this pilot program is operationally how does the ridership change. We want to encourage more people to use mass transportation, at the same time we need to monitor the travel patterns west of Jamaica. As we see more riders take advantage of this program, we want to watch how people load and unload; we want to see where we have potential crowding issues and then we need to monitor for people who now travel from Nassau County and live near the Queens zone who may start using stations in Queens. We also have to manage, from the perspective of fare collection, the ability to walk through the trains and to collect those fares, one of the areas where we have most of the challenges is west of Jamaica. These are all things that we will look to see in addition to capacity for the increased ridership and how this affects our riders throughout the system from further points east.

In response to Board Member Albert's question regarding the Atlantic Ticket, President Eng responded that Far Rockaway's inclusion in this pilot is being discussed. The same challenges that exist with Atlantic Ticket exist here with outer borough fares.

The details of the discussion of this Joint Information item are contained in the reports filed with the records of this meeting, and the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, contains a complete record of the discussion by Board Members and staff.

#### MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD INFORMATION ITEMS

- LIRR Adopted Budget/Financial Plan 2020 A full report is on Page 84 of the Committee Book.
- LIRR 2019 Annual Operating Results A full report is on Page 107 of the Committee Book.
- LIRR Diversity EEO Report 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2019 A full report is on Page 115 of the Committee Book.

Regarding the 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter LIRR Diversity-EEO Report, President Eng stated that LIRR makes every effort to attract, develop, and retain a workforce that demonstrates and reflects the diversity of the region's labor pool. Between January 1 and December 31 of 2019, the Railroad's workforce increased by only 23 employees. Despite the challenges with hiring in managing budget, total female head count percentage representation remained unchanged at approximately 14.8%. President Eng stated that he was pleased to report that the minority head count percentage representation increased from 36.3% to 37.1%.

President Eng stated that in 2019, we hired a total of 256 new employees, 43% were minority and 17% were female; the highest concentration of minority hiring was in service maintenance and officials in administrative jobs, 58% and 50%, respectively. The highest concentration of female hires occurred in the administrative support category, 74%. President Eng stated that while we are making steady progress, we know there's much more work to be done. We are continuing to do diversity cultural events, EEO training, community job fairs, and and seeking collaboration with all the agency recruitment task forces to continue to maximize opportunities.

• March Timetable/Spring Trackwork Programs A full report is on Page 131 of the Committee Book.

#### MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD

#### **Procurement**

LIRR Chief Procurement & Logistics Officer Dennis Mahon presented one procurement item to the Committee:

Non-competitive:

• Mitsubishi Electric Power Products Inc. – OEM Purchase Agreements for Spare Parts – LIRR/MNR. The Long Island Rail Road, on behalf of itself and Metro-North Railroad (the "Railroads") requests Board approval to award a two (2) year Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) non-competitive contract to Mitsubishi Electric Power Products, Inc. (MEPPI) in the amount of \$85,000,000 (LIRR \$45,000,000 / MNR \$40,000,000).

MEPPI is the OEM and sole responsible source for propulsion system spare parts and systems utilized on the LIRR's M-7 electric railcars and MNR's M-7 and M-8 electric railcars. No other vendors have access to the proprietary designs, specifications, and drawings for these systems or materials and therefore cannot readily provide these parts and services. This two-year contract will provide the Railroads the support they need with ongoing maintenance, repair and upgrades on each fleet's propulsion systems.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the procurement item described above was recommended for approval by the Board.

The details of this procurement are contained in reports filed with the records of this meeting and in the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records, contains a complete record of the comments made by Board Members and staff.

#### OPERATIONS, PERFORMANCE METRICS, RIDERSHIP AND CAPITAL PROGRAM REPORTS:

President Eng stated that the LIRR Operations Report is in the Committee Book. He reported that through the end of January, LIRR generated \$92 Million in revenue and spent \$176 Million, resulting in a net operating loss of \$84 Million. Due to higher than expected revenues and lower than expected expenditures, this net operating loss through January is lower than what was assumed in the adopted budget.

The details of this report are contained in the reports filed with the records of the meeting and in the video recording of the meeting produced by the MTA and maintained in the MTA records.

#### **Retirements of Senior LIRR Staff**

Chair Law thanked Senior Vice President Rod Brooks and Chief Procurement Officer Dennis Mahon for their service to the country and their years of service to LIRR. He wished them well in retirement.

Senior Vice President Brooks and Chief Procurement Officer Mahon thanked the Board Members, President Eng, their colleagues and their staffs for their support.

#### <u>Adjournment</u>

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Joint Committee voted to adjourn the meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Paige/Graves Secretary



#### 2020 Metro-North Railroad Committee Work Plan

#### I. RECURRING AGENDA ITEMS

Approval of Minutes 2020 Committee Work Plan President's Report Safety Report MTA Police Report Information Items (if any) Action Items (if any) Procurements Agency Reports Operations Finance Ridership Capital Program

#### **Responsibility**

Committee Chairs & Members Committee Chairs & Members President/Senior Staff

Senior Staff

II. SPECIFIC AGENDA ITEMS

March 2020

Annual Elevator & Escalator Report Customer Satisfaction Survey Report 2019 Annual Ridership Report PTC Status Report

#### <u>April 2020</u>

Final Review of 2019 Operating Budget Results Track Program Quarterly Update LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

<u>May 2020</u> PTC Status Report Progress on Way Ahead Strategic Plan

<u>June 2020</u> LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update Diversity/EEO Report – 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2020

<u>July 2020</u> Grand Central Terminal Retail Development Track Program Quarterly Update PTC Status Report

<u>September 2020</u> 2021 Preliminary Budget (Public Comment) 2020 Mid-Year Forecast 2020 Fall Schedule Change Responsibility

Engineering Operations Planning & Analysis Operations Planning & Analysis Engineering

Finance Engineering President

Engineering Strategic Initiatives

President Diversity and EEO

MTA Real Estate Engineering Engineering

Finance Finance Operations Planning & Analysis PTC Status Report Diversity/EEO Report – 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020

October 2020 2021 Preliminary Budget (Public Comment) LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update Track Program Quarterly Update Holiday Schedule

<u>November 2020</u> Review of Committee Charter PTC Status Report Progress on Way Ahead Strategic Plan

<u>December 2020</u> 2021 Final Proposed Budget 2021 Proposed Committee Work Plan Diversity/EEO Report – 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2020 LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

<u>January 2021</u> Approval of 2021 Committee Work Plan Track Program Quarterly Update PTC Status Report

<u>February 2021</u> Adopted Budget/Financial Plan 2021 2020 Annual Operating Results LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update Diversity/EEO Report – 4th Quarter 2020 2021 Spring/Summer Schedule Change Engineering Diversity and EEO

Finance President Engineering Operations Planning & Analysis

Committee Chair & Members Engineering Strategic Initiatives

Finance Committee Chairs & Members Diversity and EEO President

Committee Chairs & Members Engineering Engineering

Finance Operations President Diversity and EEO Operations Planning & Analysis

#### DETAILED SUMMARY

#### I. RECURRING AGENDA ITEMS

#### **Approval of Minutes**

The Committee Chair will request a motion to approve the minutes of the prior month's meeting.

#### 2019 Work Plan

The Work Plan will list, by month, the topics scheduled for review. The Committee will be advised if any changes have been made to the plan.

#### President's Report

A monthly report will be provided highlighting major accomplishments and progress on key initiatives and performance indicators.

#### **Safety**

A monthly report will be provided highlighting key safety performance statistics and indicators.

#### Police Activity Report

MTA Police will highlight the significant police activities incurred during the month reported.

#### Information Items (if any)

Materials presented to the Committee for review pertaining to certain agency initiatives and functions.

#### Action Items (if any)

Staff summary documents presented to the Board for approval of items affecting business standards and practices.

#### **Procurements**

List of procurement action items requiring Board approval. The Non-Competitive items will be first, followed by the Competitive items and then the Ratifications. The list will include items that need a 2/3 vote of the Board for approval.

#### **Operations**

A monthly report will be provided highlighting key operating and performance statistics and indicators.

#### **Finance**

A monthly report will be provided that compares the Railroad's actual financial performance against its budget and/or forecast both on an accrual and cash basis.

#### <u>Ridership</u>

A monthly report will be provided that includes a comparison of actual monthly ticket sales, ridership and revenues with the budget and prior year results.

#### **Capital Program**

A monthly report will be provided highlighting significant capital program accomplishments in the month reported.

#### **II. SPECIFIC AGENDA ITEMS**

#### **MARCH 2020**

#### Annual Elevator/Escalator Report

Annual report to the Committee on system-wide reliability and availability for elevators and escalators throughout the system.

#### Customer Satisfaction Survey Report

The committee will be informed on the results of the 2019 survey distributed to customers on the Hudson, Harlem and New Haven Lines and West of Hudson service.

#### 2019 Annual Ridership Report

A report will be presented to the Committee on Metro-North's ridership trends during 2019 based on monthly ticket sales data and the results of train ridership counts conducted by Metro-North.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risks associated with the PTC implementation.

#### **APRIL 2020**

#### Final Review of 2019 Operating Results

A review of the prior year's budget results and their implications for current and future budget performance will be presented to the Committee.

#### Track Program Quarterly Update

A quarterly report will be provided that highlights the progress made on track maintenance work to bring the infrastructure to a state of good repair.

#### LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008. Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### MAY 2020

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risks associated with the PTC implementation.

#### Progress on Way Ahead Strategic Plan

A biannual report to the Committee on Metro-North's progress in setting the standard for safety, reliability and innovation in the delivery of excellent customer service.

#### JUNE 2020

#### LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008. Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 1st Quarter 2020

A quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Human Resources indicators relating to MNR's EEO and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### JULY 2020

#### Grand Central Terminal Retail Development

MTA Real Estate will provide an annual report on leasing and construction opportunities and financial and marketing information related to retail development in Grand Central Terminal.

#### Track Program Quarterly Update

A quarterly report will be provided that highlights the progress made on track maintenance work to bring the infrastructure to a state of good repair.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risks associated with the PTC implementation.

#### **SEPTEMBER 2020**

#### 2021 Preliminary Budget

Public comment will be accepted on the 2021 Budget.

#### 2020 Mid-Year Forecast

The agency will provide the 2020 Mid-Year Forecast financial information for revenue and expense by month.

#### 2020 Fall Schedule Change

The Committee will be informed of the schedule changes taking effect for train service on the Hudson, Harlem, New Haven, Pascack and Port Jervis lines for the Fall of 2020.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risks associated with the PTC implementation.

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020

A quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Human Resources indicators relating to MNR's EEO and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### OCTOBER 2020

#### 2021 Preliminary Budget

Public comment will be accepted on the 2021 Budget.

#### LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008. Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### Track Program Quarterly Update

A quarterly report will be provided that highlights the progress made on track maintenance work to bring the infrastructure to a state of good repair.

#### Holiday Schedule

The Committee will be informed of Metro-North's service plans for the Thanksgiving and Christmas/New Year's holiday periods.

#### NOVEMBER 2020

#### Review of Committee Charter

Annual review and approval of the MNR Committee Charter.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risks associated with the PTC implementation.

#### Progress on Way Ahead Strategic Plan

A biannual report to the Committee on Metro-North's progress in setting the standard for safety, reliability and innovation in the delivery of excellent customer service.

#### DECEMBER 2020

#### 2021 Final Proposed Budget

The Committee will recommend action to the Board on the Final Proposed Budget for 2021.

#### 2021 Proposed Committee Work Plan

The Committee Chair will present a draft Metro-North Committee Work Plan for 2021 that will address initiatives to be reported throughout the year.

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 3rd Quarter 2020

A quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Human Resources indicators relating to MNR's EEO and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008. Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### JANUARY 2021

#### Approval of 2021 Committee Work Plan

The Committee will approve the Proposed Metro-North Railroad Committee Work Plan for 2021 that will address initiatives to be reported on throughout the year.

#### Track Program Quarterly Update

A quarterly report will be provided that highlights the progress made on track maintenance work to bring the infrastructure to a state of good repair.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risks associated with the PTC implementation.

#### FEBRUARY 2021

#### Adopted Budget/Financial Plan 2021

The Agency will present its revised 2021 Financial Plan. These plans will reflect the 2021 Adopted Budget and an updated Financial Plan for 2021 reflecting the out-year impact of any changes incorporated into the 2021 Adopted Budget.

#### 2020 Annual Operating Results

A review of the prior year's performance of railroad service will be provided to the Committee.

#### LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008. Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 4th Quarter 2020

A quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Human Resources indicators relating to MNR's EEO and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### 2021 Spring/Summer Schedule Change

The Committee will be informed of the schedule changes taking effect for train service on the Hudson, Harlem, New Haven, Pascack and Port Jervis lines during the spring and summer of 2021.

#### Long Island Rail Road Committee Work Plan

#### I. RECURRING AGENDA ITEMS

Approval of Minutes 2020 Committee Work Plan Agency President's/Chief's Reports Safety Report MTA Capital Construction Report MTA Police Report Information Items (if any) Action Items (if any) Procurements Performance Summaries Status of Operations Performance Metrics Report Financial/Ridership Report **Capital Program Report** 

#### **II. SPECIFIC AGENDA ITEMS**

#### March 2020

Annual Elevator/Escalator Report Spring Trackwork Programs **Customer Satisfaction Survey Report** PTC Status Report 2019 Annual Ridership Report

#### April 2020

Final Review of 2019 Operating Budget Results May Timetable Change & Spring Trackwork Programs Service Planning LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update President

#### May 2020

Summer Service & Track Work Programs PTC Status Report

June 2020 Diversity/EEO Report – 1<sup>st</sup> Q 2020 Track Work Programs LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update

#### July 2020

Penn Station Retail Development September Timetable Change & Trackwork Programs Service Planning PTC Status Report

#### September 2020

2021 Preliminary Budget (Public Comment)

#### Responsibility

**Committee Chair & Members Committee Chair & Members** President/Senior Staff Chief Safety Officer MTA Capital Construction MTA Police

Procurement & Logistics President/Senior Staff Sr. VP – Operations President/Senior Staff VP & CFO SVP - Engineering

Responsibility

Engineering Service Planning **Public Affairs** Engineering Finance/Marketing

Management & Budget

Service Planning Engineering

Administration/Diversity Service Planning President

MTA Real Estate Engineering

Diversity/EEO Report - 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020

2020 Mid-Year Forecast

Fall Trackwork Programs

PTC Status Report

November Timetable Change & Trackwork Programs
<u>November 2020</u>

East Side Access Support Projects Update Holiday Service & Trackwork Programs PTC Status Report Review of Committee Charter

December 2020 2021 Final Proposed Budget 2021 Proposed Committee Work Plan Diversity/EEO Report – 3<sup>rd</sup> Q 2020 LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update Winter Trackwork Program

#### <u>January 2021</u>

Approval of 2021 Committee Work Plan PTC Status Report Winter Trackwork Programs

#### February 2021

Adopted Budget/Financial Plan 2021 2020 Annual Operating Results Diversity/EEO Report – 4<sup>th</sup> Q 2020 March Timetable/Spring Trackwork Programs LIRR/MNR PTC Project Update Management & Budget Service Planning Engineering Administration/Diversity

President Service Planning

President/Sr. Staff Service Planning Engineering Committee Chair & Members

Management & Budget Committee Chair & Members Administration/Diversity President Service Planning

Committee Chair & Members Engineering Service Planning

Management & Budget Operations Administration/Diversity Service Planning President

#### **DETAILED SUMMARY**

#### I. RECURRING AGENDA ITEMS

#### **Approval of Minutes**

The Committee Chair will request a motion to approve the minutes of the prior month's meeting.

#### 2020 Work Plan

The Work Plan will list, by month, the topics scheduled for review. The Committee will be advised if any changes have been made to the plan.

#### President's Report

A Monthly report will be provided highlighting major accomplishments and progress on key initiatives and performance indicators.

#### Safety Report

A monthly report will be given highlighting key safety performance statistics and indicators

#### **Capital Construction Report**

A monthly project update report will be provided for the month reported.

#### Police Report

MTA Police will highlight the significant police activities incurred during the month reported.

#### Action Items (if any)

Staff summary documents presented to the Board for approval of items affecting business standards and practices.

#### Information Items (if any)

Materials presented to the Committee for review pertaining to certain agency initiatives and functions.

#### **Procurements**

List of procurement action items requiring Board approval and items for Committee and Board information. The Non-Competitive items will be first, followed by the Competitive items and then the Ratifications. The list will include items that need a 2/3 vote of the Board for approval.

#### Police Activity Report

MTA Police will highlight the significant police activities incurred during the month reported.

#### PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES

#### **Operations Report**

A monthly report will be given highlighting key operating performance statistics and indicators.

#### Financial Report

A monthly report will be provided that compares the Railroad's actual financial performance against its budget and/or forecast.

#### **Ridership Report**

A monthly report will be provided that compares actual monthly ticket sales, ridership and revenues against prior year results.

#### Capital Program Report

A report will be provided highlighting significant capital program accomplishment in the month reported.

#### II. SPECIFIC AGENDA ITEMS

#### **MARCH 2020**

#### Annual Elevator/Escalator Report

Annual report to the Committee on system-wide availability for elevators and escalators throughout the system.

#### Spring Trackwork Programs

The Committee will be advised of Agency plans to adjust schedules to support construction projects during the Spring of 2019.

#### Customer Satisfaction Survey Report

The committee will be informed on the results of the 2018 survey distributed to LIRR customers.

#### 2019 Annual Ridership Report

A report will be presented to the Committee on Agency ridership trends during 2019 based on monthly ticket sales data and the results of train ridership counts conducted by the Agency.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risk associated with the PTC implementation.

#### **APRIL 2020**

#### Final Review of 2019 Operating Results

A review of the prior year's budget results and their implications for current and future budget performance will be presented to the Committee.

#### Annual Inventory Report

The Agency will present its annual report on Inventory.

#### 2020 Summer Schedule Change

The Committee will be advised of Agency plans to adjust schedules to support construction projects during the summer of 2019.

#### Project Update on PTC

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 and as amended by the "Positive Train Control Enforcement and Implementation Act of 2015". Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### MAY 2020

#### 2020 Summer Service and Trackwork Programs

The Committee will be advised of Agency plans to adjust schedules to support construction projects during the summer of 2020.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risk associated with the PTC implementation.

#### **JUNE 2020**

#### Project Update on PTC

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 and as amended by the "Positive Train Control Enforcement and Implementation Act of 2015". Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### Track Work Programs

This is to inform the Long Island Committee of the MTA Long Island Rail Road's plans to adjust schedules to support various trackwork programs, Main Line Second Track construction and East Side Access Readiness projects

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 1st Quarter 2020

Quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key EEO and Human Resources indicators relating to LIRR's Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### JULY 2020

#### Penn Station Retail Development

MTA Real Estate will provide an annual report on leasing and construction opportunities and financial and marketing information related to retail development in Penn Station.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risk associated with the PTC implementation.

#### Environmental Audit Report

The Committee will be briefed on the results of the 2017 environmental audit report which is submitted to NYS Department of Environmental Conservation as required by the Environmental Audit Act, as well as the actions implemented to enhance overall compliance, monitoring and reporting.

#### September Timetable & Trackwork Programs

The Committee will be advised of Agency plans to adjust schedules to support construction projects during the fall of 2020.

#### SEPTEMBER 2020

#### 2021 Preliminary Budget

Public comment will be accepted on the 2020 Budget.

#### 2020 Mid-Year Forecast

The agency will provide the 2019 Mid-Year Forecast financial information for revenue and expense by month.

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2019

Quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key EEO and Human Resources indicators relating to LIRR's Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risk associated with the PTC implementation.

#### OCTOBER 2020

2021 Preliminary Budget

Public comment will be accepted on the 2021 Budget.

#### Project Update on PTC

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 and as amended by the "Positive Train Control Enforcement and Implementation Act of 2015". Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### **NOVEMBER 2020**

#### **Review Committee Charter**

Annual review of Long Island Committee Charter for Committee revision/approval.

#### East Side Access Support Projects Update

The Committee will be briefed on the status of the East Side Access Support Projects.

#### Holiday Schedule

The Committee will be informed of Agency's service plans for the Thanksgiving and Christmas/New Year's holiday periods.

#### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risk associated with the PTC implementation.

#### DECEMBER 2020

#### Diversity & EEO Report- 3rd Quarter 2020

Quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key EEO and Human Resources indicators relating to LIRR's Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity efforts, such as

composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

#### 2021 Final Proposed Budget

The Committee will recommend action to the Board on the Final Proposed Budget for 2021.

#### Proposed 2021 Committee Work Plan

The Committee Chair will present a draft Long Island Rail Road Committee Work Plan for 2019 that will address initiatives to be reported throughout the year.

#### Project Update on PTC

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 and as amended by the "Positive Train Control Enforcement and Implementation Act of 2015". Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

#### JANUARY 2021

#### Approval of 2021 Committee Work Plan

The Committee will approve the Proposed Long Island Rail Road Committee Work Plan for 2020 that will address initiatives to be reported on throughout the year.
### PTC Status Report

A monthly status report will be provided that highlights the accomplishments, schedule, challenges and risk associated with the PTC implementation.

### FEBRUARY 2021

### Adopted Budget/Financial Plan 2021

The Agency will present its revised 2021 Financial Plan. These plans will reflect the 2021 Adopted Budget and an updated Financial Plan for 2020 reflecting the out-year impact of any changes incorporated into the 2020 Adopted Budget.

### 2020 Annual Operating Results

A review of the prior year's performance of railroad service will be provided to the Committee.

### Project Update on PTC

The Committee will be briefed on the status of PTC, including activities to date and the current strategy to meet critical milestones identified in the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 and as amended by the "Positive Train Control Enforcement and Implementation Act of 2015". Highlights to include cost of PTC along with operation and implementation risks.

### Diversity & EEO Report- 4th Quarter 2020

Quarterly report to the Committee providing data on key EEO and Human Resources indicators relating to LIRR's Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity efforts, such as composition of the agency's workforce, new hires, and discrimination complaints. In alternating quarters, the report will include additional information on diversity initiatives.

### March Timetable/Spring Trackwork Programs

The Committee will be advised of plans to adjust schedules.



### Safety Report





**Employee Safety Focus Training** 

**Justin R. Vonashek** Vice President Office of System Safety A Metro-North Railroad

### Safety Highlights:

Metro-North's first quarter 2020 Safety Focus Week was held March 2<sup>nd</sup> - 8<sup>th</sup>. This week-long, quarterly initiative allows our employees the opportunity to focus on and promote our safety culture while providing them an additional forum to express their safety concerns. The event is held by all departments throughout MNR's operating districts.

This quarter's topics included the following:

- Coronavirus (COVID-19): Precautions against the virus.
- CBD (Cannabinoids) Products: What You Need to Know
- Importance of Tool Safety
- Safety Barometer: Employee Perception Survey
- Operational District Safety Updates

Metro-North's Strategic Plan prioritizes comprehensive safety training as a main focal point to improve safety for our customers and employees. To support the safety training component of the plan, MNR introduced Supervising for Safety (SFS) training in 2017. This training program is specifically for managers and supervisors, and reinforces safe work standards, procedures, and practices. Topics covered during SFS training includes: safety leadership structure, System Safety Program Plan, reporting safety incidents, and communicating unsafe behaviors to fellow employees. Since its inception, MNR has trained almost 1600 employees in safety management. SFS has contributed to a safer work environment at MNR by promoting a positive and interactive safety culture with employees and management. SFS training is layered upon our mandatory New Employee Safety Orientation (NESO) that launched in in April 2016. Since its inception, approximately 2,500 employees have attended NESO.

MNR continues to see positive trends in reducing employee lost time injuries. For the current 12month period (February 2019 – January 2020) versus the previous 12-month period (February 2018 – January 2019), lost time injuries per 200,000 working hours were reduced by 20.2%.

MNR also continues to see positive trends in reducing customer reportable injuries. For the current 12-month period (February 2019 – January 2020) the customer reportable injury rate per one million customer rates decreased by 2.0% versus the previous 12-month period (February 2018 – January 2019).

Justin R. Vonashek Vice President Office of System Safety



### January 2020 Safety Report

Performance					
Performance Indicator	February 2017 - January 2018	February 2019 - January 2020			
FRA Reportable Customer Accident Rate per Million Customers	1.03	0.99	0.97		
FRA Reportable Employee Lost Time Injury Rate per 200,000 worker hours	3.06	2.47	1.97		
	20	19	20	20	
	January	Year to Date	January	Year to Date	
Grade Crossing Incidents <sup>1</sup>	0	0	1	1	
Mainline FRA Reportable Train Derailments	0	0	0	0	
Mainline FRA Reportable Train Collisions	0	0	0	0	

<sup>1</sup> Per FRA - Any impact between railroad on-track equipment and a highway user at a highway-rail grade crossing. The term "highway user" includes automobiles, buses, trucks, motorcycles, bicycles, farm vehicles, pedestrians, and all other modes of surface transportation motorized and un-motorized.

Leading Indicators						
Safety Training	20	19	20	2020		
Salety framing	January	Year to Date	January	Year to Date		
First Responders Trained	97	97	119	119		
Employee Safety Training Courses	118	118	131	131		
Employees Trained	1,382	1,382	1,312	1,312		
Employee Safety Training Hours	16,831	16,831	22,262	22,262		
Customer and Community	2019		2019		20	)20
Customer and Community:	20	19	20	120		
Focus on Grade Crossings	January	Year to Date	January	Year to Date		
Focus on Grade Crossings			January	Year to Date		
Focus on Grade Crossings Broken Gates	January 1	Year to Date	January 3	Year to Date 3		
Focus on Grade Crossings Broken Gates MTA Police Details	January 1 65	Year to Date 1 65	January 3 39	Year to Date 3 39		
Focus on Grade Crossings Broken Gates MTA Police Details Summons	January 1 65 42	Year to Date 1 65 42	January 3 39 65	Year to Date 3 39 65		

Cars Equipped with Cameras	Fleet Size	Total Cars Equipped	% Complete
Inward / Outward Facing Cab Cameras	956	956	100.00%
Passenger Compartment Cameras	1,084	1,084	100.00%

### Definitions:

First Responders Trained - The number of first responders trained by MNR's Emergency Management to assist in crisis events, such as train evacuation.

**Employee Safety Training Courses -** The number of distinct safety-related courses offered, including technical courses that have a safety element. Repeats are excluded so that each course is counted only once.

Employees Trained - The number of unique employees that attended one or more of these safety-related courses.

Employee Safety Training Hours - The total hours of training completed by employees in all safety-related courses attended.

Broken Gates - The number of events at grade crossing locations where a vehicle struck a crossing gate.

MTA Police Detail - The number of details specifically for the purpose of monitoring behavior at Grade Crossings.

**Summons** - The number of violations issued to a motorist for going around a crossing gate or due to behavior that put the motorist at risk (i.e. cell phone use, etc.).

Warnings - The number of warnings issued to motorists due to behavior that put the motorist at risk (i.e. cell phone use, etc.).

Community Education and Outreach - The number of individuals reached at a TRACKS event.

Cars Equipped with Cameras - Number of complete inward/outward and passenger compartment camera installations on rolling stock.



### Safety Report Highlights

The elementary school winner of our Annual School Safety Slogan Contest was PS 194 Raoul Wallenberg School in Brooklyn. Many thanks to Ms. Malara and Ms. Savastano and the students in their 5<sup>th</sup> grade class for supporting this effort – especially Sundus Chaudhry who came up with the winning slogan, "Left or Right Beware of What's in Sight". A banner with the award-winning slogan will be displayed in Atlantic Terminal.



For the reporting period ending January 2020, the average Reportable Customer Injury Rate was 2.38 injuries per million customers as compared to 2.04 injuries per million customers over the same period last year. This is a seventeen percent increase over the prior reporting period. Slips, trips, and falls continue to result in the most injuries. The type of injury the majority of customers sustain are bruises and contusions followed closely by lacerations and abrasions. We are focusing on station inspections and customer safety awareness campaigns to address the root causes of customer accidents as they walk through our stations and terminals.

During this reporting period, the average Reportable Employee Lost Time Injury Rate increased from 2.71 injuries per 200,000 hours worked to 3.32 injuries per 200,000 hours worked. This is a twenty-three percent increase from the previous reporting period. Soft tissue injuries are the greatest type of injury sustained.

In partnership with the MTA Police, Together Railroads and Communities Keeping Safe (TRACKS), reached 7,069 participants in January 2020.

Lori Ebbighausen Vice President Corporate Safety



### January Safety Report

### Statistical results for the 12-Month period are shown below.

Performa					
	12				
Performance Indicator	February 2017 - January 2018	February 2018 - January 2019	February 2019 - January 2020		
FRA Reportable Customer Accident Rate per Million Customers	2.97	2.04	2.38		
FRA Reportable Employee Lost Time Injury Rate per 200,000 worker hours	3.78	2.71	3.32		
	20	2019			
	January	Year to Date	January	Year to Date	
Grade Crossing Incidents <sup>1</sup>	3	3	1	1	
Mainline FRA Reportable Train Derailments	0	0	0	0	
Mainline FRA Reportable Train Collisions	0	0	0	0	

<sup>1</sup> Per FRA - Any impact between railroad on-track equipment and a highway user at a highway-rail grade crossing. The term "highway user" includes automobiles, buses, trucks, motorcycles, bicycles, farm vehicles, pedestrians, and all other modes of surface transportation motorized and un-motorized.

Leading Indicators					
Focus on Sofohy Training	20	)19	2020		
Focus on Safety Training	January	Year to Date	January	Year to Date	
First Responders Trained	79	79	49	49	
Employee Safety Training Courses	101	101	86	86	
Employees Trained	1,137	1,137	1,088	1,088	
Employee Safety Training Hours	24,586	24,586	21,709	21,709	
Customer and Community:	January	Year to Date	January	Year to Date	
Broken Gates	7	7	7	7	
MTA Police Details	65	65	45	45	
Summons	126	126	216	216	
Warnings	52	52	69	69	
Arrests	1	1	0	0	
Community Education and Outreach	8,424	8,424	7,069	7,069	
	Com	pleted	Total	% Complete	
Cameras on Rolling Stock	Ν	17	758	91	
	C3	Cab	23	100	
	C3 Trailer		99	89	
	DE	/DM	36	80	



### ELEVATOR AND ESCALATOR INJURY/ENTRAPMENT REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2020

Elevators	Mechanical Injury	Human Factor Injury	Entrapment		
No Elevator incidents reported in the month of February 2020					

Escalators	Mechanical Injury	Human Factor Injury
No Escalator incidents r	eported in the month of	February 2020

### Definitions:

**Mechanical** includes sudden changes in speed, handrail, alignment. **Human Factor** includes lost balance, encumbrances, slip/trip/fall, pushed/shoved, intoxication, caught between, etc. **Entrapment** is defined as when customers are removed from an elevator with special tools or training. These events require intervention but not necessarily involve a customer injury.



### **Police Report**



### February 2020 Highlights: MTA Police Report

- Metro-North Railroad experienced a decrease in the amount of major felonies (5 vs 10) for the month of February compared to the same period last year.
- Year to date Metro-North Railroad is down 6 crimes (13 vs 19).
- There were zero (0) Hate Crimes on Metro-North Railroad for the month of February.

Joseph P. McGrann Chief of Police



### METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY Police Department Metro North Railroad

### February 2020 vs. 2019

	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Murder	0	0	0	0%
Rape	0	0	0	0%
Robbery	1	1	0	0%
Felony Assault	1	1	0	0%
Burglary	1	2	-1	-50%
Grand Larceny	2	6	-4	-67%
Grand Larceny Auto	0	0	0	0%
Total Major Felonies	5	10	-5	-50%

### Year to Date 2020 vs. 2019

	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Murder	0	0	0	0%
Rape	0	0	0	0%
Robbery	4	2	2	100%
Felony Assault	2	4	-2	-50%
Burglary	1	3	-2	-67%
Grand Larceny	6	9	-3	-33%
Grand Larceny Auto	0	1	-1	-100%
Total Major Felonies	13	19	-6	-32%

FIGURES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO FURTHER ANALYSIS AND REVISION

Master Page # 47 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020



### February 2020 Highlights: MTA Police Report

- Long Island Rail Road experienced a decrease in the amount of major felonies (4 vs 5) for the month of February compared to the same period last year.
- Year to date Long Island Rail Road is down 2 crimes (13 vs 15).
- There was one (1) Hate Crime on Long Island Rail Road for the month of February.

Joseph P. McGrann Chief of Police



### METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY Police Department Long Island Rail Road

### February 2020 vs. 2019

	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Murder	0	0	0	0%
Rape	0	0	0	0%
Robbery	1	0	1	100%
Felony Assault	0	1	-1	-100%
Burglary	2	0	2	100%
Grand Larceny	1	3	-2	-67%
Grand Larceny Auto	0	1	-1	-100%
Total Major Felonies	4	5	-1	-20%

### Year to Date 2020 vs. 2019

	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Murder	0	0	0	0%
Rape	0	0	0	0%
Robbery	3	1	2	200%
Felony Assault	3	2	1	50%
Burglary	2	1	1	100%
Grand Larceny	5	10	-5	-50%
Grand Larceny Auto	0	1	-1	-100%
Total Major Felonies	13	15	-2	-13%

FIGURES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO FURTHER ANALYSIS AND REVISION



### METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY Police Department System Wide

### February 2020 vs. 2019

	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Murder	0	0	0	0%
Rape	0	0	0	0%
Robbery	3	2	1	50%
Felony Assault	1	2	-1	-50%
Burglary	3	2	1	50%
Grand Larceny	3	9	-6	-67%
Grand Larceny Auto	0	1	-1	-100%
Total Major Felonies	10	16	-6	-38%

### Year to Date 2020 vs. 2019

	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Murder	0	0	0	0%
Rape	0	0	0	0%
Robbery	8	4	4	100%
Felony Assault	5	6	-1	-17%
Burglary	3	4	-1	-25%
Grand Larceny	11	20	-9	-45%
Grand Larceny Auto	0	2	-2	-100%
Total Major Felonies	27	36	-9	-25%

FIGURES ARE PRELIMINARY AND SUBJECT TO FURTHER ANALYSIS AND REVISION



### Metropolitan Transportation Authority Police Department

### Hate Crimes Report (January - February 2020)

Motivation	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Asian	0	0	0	0 %
Black	1	1	0	0 %
Ethnic	0	0	0	0 %
Gender	0	0	0	0 %
Hispanic	0	0	0	0 %
Muslim	0	0	0	0 %
Other	0	0	0	0 %
Anti-Semitic	2	2	0	0 %
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0	0 %
White	1	0	1	0 %
Motivation Total	4	3	1	33 %

Crime Name	2020	2019	Diff	% Change
Aggravated Harassment #1	0	0	0	0 %
Aggravated Harassment #2	0	1	-1	-100 %
Felony Assault	1	0	1	0 %
Misdemeanor Assault	0	0	0	0 %
Criminal Mischief #3	0	1	-1	-100 %
Criminal Mischief #4	3	1	2	200 %
Grand Larceny #4	0	0	0	0 %
Menacing #2	0	0	0	0 %
Robbery #2	0	0	0	0 %
Crime Total	4	3	1	33 %

### INDEX CRIME REPORT Per Day Average February 2020

	Systemwide	LIRR	MNRR	SIRT
Murder	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0
Robbery	3	1	1	1
Fel. Assault	1	0	1	0
Burglary	3	2	1	0
Grand Larceny	3	1	2	0
GLA	0	0	0	0
Total	10	4	5	1
Crimes Per Day	0.34	0.14	0.17	0.03



### MTA Police Department Arrest Summary: Department Totals

1/1/2020 to 2/29/2020

### **Arrest Classification**

### **Total Arrests**

	2020	2019	
Robbery	6	2	
Felony Assault	5	8	
Burglary	0	3	
Grand Larceny	8	17	
Grand Larceny Auto	0	1	
Aggravated Harassment	2	2	
Aggravated Unlicensed Operator	3	6	
Assault-Misdemeanor	7	12	
Breach of Peace	1	7	
Child Endangerment	2	1	
Criminal Contempt	3	3	
Criminal Impersonation	1	1	
Criminal Mischief	9	6	
Criminal Possession Stolen Property	2	2	
Criminal Trespass	6	6	
Disorderly Conduct	1	0	
Drug Offenses	16	47	
DUI Offenses	1	0	
Falsely Reporting an Incident	1	2	
Forgery	9	3	
Fraudulent Accosting	0	4	
Graffiti	5	4	
Identity Theft	0	1	
Issue a Bad Check	0	1	
Menacing	0	2	
	2		
Obstruct Government		1	
Petit Larceny	25	49	
Public Lewdness	4	2	
Reckless Endangerment	0	2	
Resisting Arrest	7	14	
Sex Offenses	0	4	
Stalking	1	1	
Theft of Services	16	58	
VTL Offenses	1	0	
Warrant Arrest	8	15	
Weapons Offenses	2	2	
Unauthorized Use Vehicle	0	1	
otal Arrests	154	290	



### **JOINT INFORMATION ITEMS**





ATM



Both LIRR and MNR remain on target to implement PTC across their respective territories by December 31, 2020.	MNR has 182.2 route miles in full PTC functionality (74.5%) and approximately 480 trains/day operating in full PTC operations (67%)	LIRR is in RSD/ERSD on 73% of its 305 route miles, with 358 M7 and Diesel trains running in full PTC operations, which includes segments: Port Washington, Babylon to Patchogue, Hempstead, Far Rockaway, West Hempstead, Oyster Bay, Long Beach, Port Jefferson, Central, Montauk 1BW (west of Babylon to Jamaica), Mainline – Ronkonkoma to Greenport and Montauk 1BE (East of Patchogue) segments.	\$1.086B Current Budget LIRR and MNR will require additional funding to complete their PTC projects. The new needs are being finalized and will be available in April.
Schedule			Budget

Ě

## LIRR Monthly Project Update

### Field Installation & Testing

- ML 3-10 (Harold to Hollis) radio RCF file updates in progress on M7s; ERSD in March.
  - ML 10-15 (Hollis to Floral Park) site updates in progress; ERSD in April.
    - Valley site updates in progress; ERSD in April.
- ML 25-49 (Hicksville to Ronkonkoma) SPT in progress; ERSD in May.
  - Atlantic SPT in progress; ERSD in May.
- ML 15-25 (3rd Track Construction area) Transponder installations in progress; ERSD in July.
  - <u>Jamaica Transponders being installed at west end. Design release for east end planned in</u> <u>March 2020. ERSD in August (improved from September).</u>
    - Harold Transponders being installed; ERSD in September.

ATM

## LIRR Monthly Project Update (continued)

### Software Testing

- Safety reviews for System Baseline 3.7 completed and software being deployed to all trains to start ERSD at Valley in April.
  - PTC Security (previously May 2020) and STS-STS interface (previously September 2020) software will both be delivered as part of System Baseline 3.8 in June 2020
- System Baseline 3.9 will serve as a placeholder for any remaining functionality or variances required by the PTC deadline.

### Safety Plan

- Successful page-turn with FRA conducted on March 11<sup>th</sup>
- LIRR on target to resubmit PTC Safety Plan at the end of March for FRA approval.

### Status of Siemens scanner recall

- Siemens completed all deliveries by the end of February. Retrofits to be completed in April.
  - Recent increase in technical issues with recalled (blue dot) equipment under investigation.



# LIRR Monthly Project Update (continued)

## LIRR interoperability with Amtrak

- The Amtrak and LIRR test labs were connected to test the STS-STS interface.
- Wayside designs & installations and the PSCC database work are progressing per project schedule.

### Amtrak interoperability with LIRR

- Siemens proceeding with the Amtrak b2b design in advance of a formal b2b agreement. Preliminary schedule for the b2b solution:
  - May 2021 Siemens will complete the development of the b2b software.
    - July 2021 Amtrak will receive production software.
- Oct 2021 Deployment of the software across Amtrak fleet (2-3 months).
- LIRR and Amtrak met with FRA to discuss Amtrak's proposed alternative solution and received positive feedback.
- LIRR design changes will be reimbursed by Amtrak.

# LIRR Working Schedule and Sequence

System Integrator's Software release plan	5																										
Integrated System Baseline release		3.3	m		m	3.4		3.5	10		m	3.6 3.	3.6.3			ŝ	3.7			3.8	80		3.9				
			+	 	 																		<u> </u>				
LIRR Field Testing				_									_								_	_					
Pilot Line 2 - Port Washington Branch		SUP			RSD			SUP							2	RSD							PTC	PTC Operations	tions		
Pilot Line 1 - Babylon to Patchogue			SUP				RSD			SUP						2	RSD						PT	PTC Operations	tions		
Safety Plan - Su	Safety Plan - Submission to FRA									•																	
Safety Plan - Anticipated FRA Approval	ted FRA Approval																				-	٠					
Oyster Bay Branch				SIT													ERSD	Q					PTC	PTC Operations	cions		
Hempstead Branch				SIT												ERSD	٥						PT(	PTC Operations	tions		
Montauk – 1BW (West of Babylon to Jamaica)					SIT													ERSD	0				PTC	PTC Operations	tions		
Port Jefferson Branch					SIT													ERSD					PTC	PTC Operations	cions		
Far Rockaway Branch					SIT												<b>L</b> RSD	۵					PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
Long Beach Branch				SIT													ERSD	ß					PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
[Mainline – Mile Post 49 to 74 (Ronkonkoma to Riverhead)	ead)					SIT													ERSD	0			PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
Mainline – Mile Post 74 to 94 (Riverhead to Greenport)	t)					SIT													ERSD	۵			PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
Atlantic Branch								SIT	F								Í			-	ERSD		PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
Central Branch					SIT													ERSD					PTC	PTC Operations	cions		
West Hempstead Branch					US	_											RS	RSD					PT(	PTC Operations	cions		
Montauk – 1BE (East of Patchogue)						SIT	F												ERSD				PTC	PTC Operations	cions		
Mainline – Mile Post 10 to 15 (Hollis to Floral Park)							5	SIT												ERSD			PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
Mainline – Mile Post 15 to 25 (Floral Park to Hicksville)	Û								SIT													ERSD		PTC Op	PTC Operations		
Mainline – Mile Post 25 to 49 (Hicksville to Ronkonkoma)	ma)								SIT												ERSD		PTC	PTC Operations	tions		
Mainline – Mile Post 3 to 10 (Harold to Hollis)							SIT	F												ERSD			PTC	PTC Operations	ions		
Mainline – Mile Post 0 to 3 (Penn to Harold)																		SIT						ERSD	e.		
Valley								SIT												ERSD	0		PTC	PTC Operations	tions		
Jamaica		1								SIT														ERSD			
Tenant Interoperability												Tena	Int Inte	fenant Interoperability	ability												
	04-1-2018	8102-1-voN	Dec-1-2018	6102-1-nel	6102-1-d97	6102-1-76M	e102-1-7qA e102-1-76M	6102-1-unr	9102-1-lul	6102-1-8nA	6102-1-q92	04-1-2019	6102-1-VON	Dec-1-2019	0202-1-nel	Feb-1-2020	Mar-1-2020	Apr-1-2020	0202-1-YeM	0202-1-nul	10202-1-jnr	Aug-1-2020	0202-1-q92	04-1-2020	Dec-1-2020	Dec-31-2020	
SIT = Site Installation Tests		SPT = Site Pe		forma	rformance Tests	sts		SUP	= Pilo	SUP = Pilot Site Update Period	Updat	ie Peri	iod		-uoN	-Pilot	desigi	n corre	ectior	n/testi	ing/Si	te Up(	Non-Pilot design correction/testing/Site Update Period	eriod			
RSD = Revenue Service Demonstration	Demonstration			ERSD =	ERSD = Extended Revenue Service Demonstration	ded R	event	Je Ser	vice D	emon	strati	u															

Ě

### LIRR Segment RSD Readiness (Previous vs Current Month)



# Key Milestones and Issues (LIRR Only)

	Status	Activity	Issues
	Green	Deployment of on- board software across the fleet multiple times Baseline 3.7	<ul> <li>Issues:</li> <li>The SI's software release plan requires the deployment of multiple iterations</li> <li>To n-board software across the entire fleet.</li> <li>In on-board software across the entire fleet.</li> <li>LIRR and the PTC SI have developed a comprehensive roll-out plan to deploy 3.7 to the ERSD trains which currently have 3.6 software loaded.</li> <li>Safety reviews completed for Baseline 3.7 to allow software deployment fleet-wide in order to start ERSD at Valley in April.</li> <li>LIRR requires System Baseline 3.7 on-board software for deployment fleet-wide in order to start ERSD at Valley in April.</li> </ul>
Red	Significant impact to Project Schedule and ability to meet PTC deadine.	Date Needed	across the fleet no later than May 2020 to support ERSD schedule. Mitigations:
<ul><li>Yellow</li><li>Green</li></ul>		May 2020	<ul> <li>In addition to the routine 92-day periodic inspection cycle, LIRR will make trains and resources available to accelerate the software upload process as necessary.</li> <li>If the SI has unexpected technical issues (system performance or equipment reliability) and on-board software deliveries are pushed beyond mid-2020, this has a potential impact to the PTC deadline.</li> </ul>

E

# Key Milestones and Issues (LIRR Only)

Orarus	Activity	Issues
Yellow	Amtrak development of plans and schedules for their b2b alternative and permanent solutions for interoperability with LIRR	<ul> <li>Issues:</li> <li>To operate on LIRR territory Amtrak needs to develop plans/schedules for both the alternative and permanent b2b solutions which are approved by LIRR and FRA.</li> <li>Monthly Update:</li> <li>Meeting held in late February between Amtrak/LIRR/FRA to get FRA agreement that Amtrak's proposed alternative solution is PTC compliant at end of 2020. Awaiting feedback from FRA.</li> <li>Amtrak/Burns/Siemens will start development of the requirements for Amtrak's permanent b2b solution by March 16 and have a signed set of requirements by April 10.</li> </ul>
	Date Needed	
Significant impact to Project Schedule and	May 2020	
Red deadline. deadline. Impact to Project Schedule or interim protect milestrine and		
Yellow programmers and may impact ability to meet PTC deadline. No Mor Meer Term Impact to		<ul> <li>LIKK has offered technical support to Amtrak for b∠b requirements development.</li> <li>Frequent project steering committee meetings are planned to track progress.</li> <li>A risk register will be developed/monitored by Burns (Amtrak's PTC SI)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Project Schedule and Green on target to meet PTC deadline.</li> </ul>		Potential Impacts: • If Amtrak does not develop acceptable plans/schedules to LIRR and FRA, Amtrak service on LIRR may be impacted.

# Key Milestones and Issues (LIRR Only)

Status	Activity	Issues
Green	Delivery and implementation of System Software for PTC Security & STS- STS interface for Interoperability Baseline 3.8	<ul> <li>Issues:</li> <li>Timely delivery of System Software Release 3.8 is required for implementation of PTC security requirements and the STS-STS safety server interface for FRA compliance and interoperability with Amtrak.</li> <li>Monthly Update:</li> <li>Simulated messages successfully tested across the interface after recent VPN connection between the Amtrak and LIRR labs.</li> <li>Amtrak still committed to provide final safety server solution at the end of March.</li> <li>Due to recent progress with Burns/Hitachi. the SL has the opportunity to advance the second.</li> </ul>
	Date Needed	STS-STS interface software and include as part of System Baseline 3.8 in June.
<ul> <li>Significant impact to Project Schedule and ability to meet PTC deadine.</li> <li>Impact to Project Schedule on interim project minact ability to meet PTC deadline.</li> <li>No Near Term Impact to meet PTC deadline.</li> <li>No Near Term Impact to deadline.</li> </ul>	Jun 2020	



faster 1 age # 05/01/207 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 5/25/2020





## **MNR Project Update**

### Segment in full PTC Functionality:

- Danbury Branch 24.2 miles
- New Canaan Branch 6.3 miles
- Hudson Line (GCT CP75) 74.8 miles
- Harlem Line (CP106 CP182) 76.9 miles

### Note:

MNR is now in full PTC functionality for the entire Hudson/Harlem Line...Every H&H train that leaves GCT and/or outlining territories will be operating under full PTC Functionality.

### PTC implementation Summary:

- Total route miles in full PTC 182.2/244.3 miles (74.5%)
- Approximately 480 Revenue trains/day, operating in full PTC operations (67%)
- 39,005 Revenue Trains ran in full PTC mode from August 2019 February 2020
- Waterbury Branch is currently operating under PTC Main Line Track Exclusion Addendum
- Approximately 30 Amtrak passenger trains (Rev10) are interoperable on the Hudson Line/day
  - CSX and P&W freights (Rev10) are interoperable on the Hudson Line and Danbury Branch



## MNR Project Update (continued)

## Field Installation & Testing Activity:

- Started transponder migration from CSE to full PTC on the NHL (CP212 CP271)
  - Turned off M8 CSE function to start PTC retro-fit.
    - · Commissioning Radio Cases on the NHL
- Continue mitigate technical and operational issues

## Amtrak Boundary design/Commissioning Schedule

- Poughkeepsie Completed and commissioned December 5, 2019
  - Spuyten Duyvil Commissioning target 1st quarter 2020
    - New Haven Design completion target 2nd quarter 2020
- New Rochelle Design completion target 2nd quarter 2020
  - STS-STS interface 3rd quarter of 2020
- Schedule to test Amtrak Rev 11.3 on MNR the week of March 23, 2020



## **MNR M8 Project Update**

### **Current Project Status**

- Alstom remains on target to begin production of M8 OBC hardware upgrade components in March 2020
- Started Alstom ACSES Alpha 1 Engineering tests to collect data on the software (March 10 12, 2020)
- Continue bi-weekly 5 Party meetings between MNR, Kawasaki, Alstom, Bombardier, and Siemens to execute plan bringing M8 OBC into PTC revenue service operation in July 2020
- Lab environment equipment delivered to Alstom labs and working as intended
- Bombardier started MCP software upload
- Bombardier's gap analysis and SPT procedures scheduled to be submitted to MNR the week of March 23, 2020.

### **Upcoming Milestones**

M8 Safety Cert expected July 2020



**MNR Working Schedule and Sequence** 



**FRA Deadline** 

## Waterbury - Installing Signal System along with PTC (MTEA)

Last Non-Pilot in ERSD June 2020

Started ERSD on the Danbury Branch August 2019 Started ERSD 0n Hudson CP10-CP75 November 2, 2019

### ERSD - Extended Revenue Service Demonstration

ice Demonstration/SPT – Site Performance Test SIT - Site Integration Testing/SUP -Site Update Period/RSD - Revenue Servi





ATA



# Key Milestones and Issues (MNR Only)

Status	Activity	Issues
Green	Complete Design for Interoperability and	<ul> <li>Issues:</li> <li>This software release includes the design for a safety server interface for interoperability with Amtrak for New Haven, New Rochelle, Spuyten Duyvil, and Poughkeepsie for MNR.</li> </ul>
	Delivery of System Software 3.7	Monthly Update: <ul> <li>All activities to support this work remain on schedule.</li> </ul>
		Drivers: • 3 <sup>rd</sup> party design.
		Mitigations: • Modified STS-STS safety server interface design with Amtrak to align with the rest
Significant impact to Project Schedule and Red ability to meet PTC deadline.	Bate Needed	
Impact to Project Schedule or interim project milestone and Yellow may impact ability to meet PTC deadline.		Potential Impacts: <ul> <li>Risk to full PTC deployment by the PTC deadline on December 31, 2020.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>No Near Term Impact to Project Schedule and Green on larget to meet PTC deadline.</li> </ul>		

Ě




A

## **MNR PTC ERSD Timeline**

#### December 2019

Harlem Line: Southeast (CP155)
 – Wassaic (CP182) - 27 miles

#### **January 2020**

 Harlem Line: Mount Vernon (CP113) –Southeast (CP155) – 69 miles

#### **March 2020**

- Hudson Line: Marble Hill (CP10) GCT (CP1) 10 miles
- Harlem Line: Mount Vernon West (CP113) Melrose (CP106) 7 miles
- New Canaan Branch 6 miles

#### April 2020 Re-submit PTC Safety Plan

May 2020

New Haven Line: Mount Vernon East (CP212) – (CP271) – 59 miles

#### June 2020

New Haven Interlocking – 3 miles

ATM

#### Railroad С Г **Metro-North** evato

#### Year-End Report 2019

**Metro-North Railroad** 





	Ī
tor	
evator	
Ε	
19	-
2019	

# Availability by Station - Hudson Line

ו						
	Elevator Name	Elevator	% Available	Elevator Name	Elevator	% Available
		Number	(Mechanical		Number	(Mechanical
			Failures only)			Failures only)
	ARDSLEY-ON-HUDSON	026N	99.3%	HARLEM 125th	002S	99.8%
	ARDSLEY-ON-HUDSON	026S	100%	HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON	022N	99.9%
	BEACON	0561	99.9%	HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON	022S	99.9%
	COLD SPRING	054N	83.5%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL</b>	T-6	99.7%
	COLD SPRING	054S	99.7%	GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL	T-7	99.1%
	CORTLANDT	043P	99.9%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL</b>	T-8	100%
	CORTLANDT	0431	99.9%	GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL	T-9	80.1%
	CORTLANDT	043PW	99.9%	GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL	T-10	88.5%
	<b>CROTON-HARMON</b>	3813	99.9%	GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL	T-11	99.7%
	<b>CROTON-HARMON</b>	3821	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL</b>	T-12	99.1%
	<b>CROTON-HARMON</b>	3842	99.9%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL</b>	T-18	94.8%
	<b>CROTON-HARMON</b>	038P	99.9%	GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL	T-19	100%
	DOBBS FERRY	024N	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL</b>	T-20	* %0.0
	DOBBS FERRY	024S	99.9%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL</b>	WCL	100%
	GARRISON	050N	99.9%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL NORTH</b>	NE-1	* %0.0
	GARRISON	050S	99.8%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL NORTH</b>	NE-2	99.7%
	GLENWOOD	018N	99.9%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL NORTH</b>	NE-3	98.6%
	GLENWOOD	018S	99.7%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL NORTH</b>	NE-5	100%
	GREYSTONE	020N	99.7%	<b>GRAND CENTRAL TERMINAL NORTH</b>	NE-6	100%
	GREYSTONE	020S	99.9%			
	HARLEM 125th	002N	99.9%			
•	* Note: NE-1 was taken out of	f service fo	r the ESA proje	* Note: NE-1 was taken out of service for the ESA project & T-20 was taken out of service for the 7B training center project	B training o	center project.

2019 Elevator Availability by Station - Hudson Line

Elevator Name	Elevator	% Available	Elevator Name	Elevator	% Available
	Number	(Mechanical Failures only)		Number	(Mechanical Failures only)
MORRIS HEIGHTS	0041	99.7%	RIVERDALE	012S	99.9%
OSSINING	3624	99.9%	SCARBOROUGH	034N	100%
OSSINING	363	100%	SCARBOROUGH	034S	99.9%
OSSINING	036N	100%	TARRYTOWN	030N	99.9%
OSSINING	036S	99.9%	TARRYTOWN	030S	99.8%
PEEKSKILL	046N	99.8%	UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS	006N	99.9%
PEEKSKILL	046S	99.7%	YANKEES - E. 153RD STREET	321	99.7%
POUGHKEEPSIE	058N	99.7%	YANKEES - E. 153RD STREET	364	100%
POUGHKEEPSIE	058PE	100%	YANKEES - E. 153RD STREET	003P	100%
POUGHKEEPSIE	058PW	100%	YANKEES - E. 153RD STREET	003W	99.9%
POUGHKEEPSIE	058S	99.5%	YONKERS	016N	6.66
RIVERDALE	012N	99.7%	YONKERS	016S	100%



Availability by Station - Harlem Line 2019 Elevator

#### -ailures only) (Mechanical % Available 99.8% 99.9% 99.9% 99.8% 99.9% 99.8% 99.8% 99.9% 99.9% 99.6% 99.8% 99.9% 99.9% 100% 100% 100% 99.9% 100% 100% Elevator Number 150P 118S 134P 158P 136P 154P 163P 118N 134N 134S 128N 124N 1541 1501 1461 1581 1421 1631 1361 MOUNT VERNON WEST NORTH WHITE PLAINS NORTH WHITE PLAINS NORTH WHITE PLAINS MOUNT VERNON WEST **PLEASANTVILLE** MOUNT KISCO Elevator Name MOUNT KISCO HAWTHORNE SOUTHEAST SOUTHEAST SCARSDALE TUCKAHOE VALHALLA VALHALLA KATONAH KATONAH PURDYS PURDYS Failures only) (Mechanical % Available 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.5% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.8% 99.9% 99.9% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% Elevator Number 152P 110N 110S 148P 126N 126P 126S 160P 108S 156P 162P 120N 120S 108N 1621 1481 1601 1561 1521 **BOTANICAL GARDEN BOTANICAL GARDEN GOLDENS BRIDGE GOLDENS BRIDGE BEDFORD HILLS BEDFORD HILLS CROTON FALLS CROTON FALLS** Elevator Name CRESTWOOD CRESTWOOD CRESTWOOD FLEETWOOD FLEETWOOD CHAPPAQUA CHAPPAQUA BREWSTER BREWSTER FORDHAM FORDHAM

99.9%

1321

WHITE PLAINS

99.9%

142P

HAWTHORNE

<ul> <li>% Available</li> <li>(Mechanical Failures)</li> </ul>	%0.66	100%	6.66	6.9%	6.66	100%	99.8%	6.99%	99.8%	6.99%	99.7 <i>%</i>	6.99%	6.66
Elevator Number	218E	218T	218W	212E	212W	208E	208W	202E	202W	206E	206W	214E	214W
Elevator Name	GREENWICH	GREENWICH	GREENWICH	HARRISON	NOSIANAH	LARCHMONT	LARCHMONT	MOUNT VERNON EAST	MOUNT VERNON EAST	NEW ROCHELLE	NEW ROCHELLE	ВУЕ	ВУЕ

These are the only elevators Metro-North maintains on the New HavenLine.

## Availability by Station - New Haven Line 2019 Elevator

	2019 Escalator	or Failures	res		
scalator	Escalator Location	Outages	Escalator	Escalator Location	Outages
Number			Number		
~	West Side near Transit Museum	16	NE-8	47th ST Cross Passage to EastSpine	11
2	West Side near Transit Museum	0	NE-9	East Spine to 48th ST and Park	104 (b)
с	East Side near Market	Out Of Service (a)	NE-10	45th ST Cross Passage to WestSpine	18
4	East Side near Market	Out Of Service (a)	NE-11	47th STCross Passage to 47th and Madison	16
2	East Side near Market	Out Of Service (a)	NE-12	245 ParkAve	83 (c)
9	East Side near Market	Out Of Service (a)	N	White Plains	1 (d)
NE-7	45th ST Cross Passage to EastSpine	0	S	White Plains	2 (e)

(a) Escalators 3,4,5 & 6 were taken out of service for planned upgrade work.

(b) Escalator 9 was shut down on 1/13/19 for repair to the brake coil and motor. It was returned to service on 4/25/19. (c) Escalator 12 was shut down on 10/16/18 for repair to the step chain. It was returned to service on 3/25/19.

(e) White Plains South escalator was shut down during capital reconstruction of the station. It was also shut down due (d) White Plains North escalator was shut down during capital reconstruction of the station.

to a burst frozen pipe in the winter (during the polar vortex).

	2019 Escalator A	r Avail	vailability		
Escalator Number	Escalator Location	% Available	Escalator Number	Escalator Location	% Available
-	West Side near Transit Museum	96%	NE-8	47th ST Cross Passage to EastSpine	97%
2	West Side near Transit Museum	100%	NE-9	East Spine to 48th ST and Park	71% (b)
с	East Side near Market	0% (a)	NE-10	45th ST Cross Passage to WestSpine	95%
4	East Side near Market	0% (a)	NE-11	47th STCross Passage to 47th and Madison	96%
5	East Side near Market	0% (a)	NE-12	245 Park Ave	77% (c)
9	East Side near Market	0% (a)	z	White Plains	50% (d)
NE-7	45th ST Cross Passage to EastSpine	100%	S	White Plains	50% (e)
Votes:					

(a) Escalators 3,4,5 & 6 were taken out of service for planned upgrade work.

Escalator 9 was shut down on 1/13/19 for repair to the brake coil and motor. It was returned to service on 4/25/19. q

(c) Escalator 12 was shut down on 10/16/18 for repair to the step chain. It was returned to service on 3/25/19.

(e) White Plains South escalator was shut down during capital reconstruction of the station. It was also shut down due to a (d) White Plains North escalator was shut down during capital reconstruction of the station.

burst frozen pipe in the winter (during the polar vortex)



Availability percentages exclude time escalators are out of service for planned long-term repair.

Grand Central Escalator Map



Master Page # 83 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020









- 86% of East of Hudson customers were satisfied with the railroad overall in 2019, remaining the same as 2018
- 88% to 92% and 87% to 88% respectively. Satisfaction among New Haven Hudson and Harlem line satisfaction increased compared to 2018 from -ine customers decreased from 85% to 82%
- 97% of customers were satisfied with MTA eTix, including 72% who were very satisfied
- Among West of Hudson AM Peak customers, satisfaction rose to 76% in 2019 compared to 64% in 2018



## METRO-NORTH EAST OF HUDSON SURVEY RESULTS



un uppendix of a points. Survey results for all attributes are contained in the Appendix.	11 attributes declined, with the largest declines for Maintenance of Station, Quiet Car Program and Communication with the Automated Phone System during normal service conditions, which all decreased by 2 points.	In addition to the major service categories (Home Boarding Station, On Trains, On-Time Performance, Employees, Schedules, Communications, and Grand Central Terminal), the 2019 Customer Satisfaction Survey asked customers to rate their satisfaction with specific attributes of service.	East of Hudson: Overview (cont'd)
		63 of these attributes were also included on the 2018 survey.	In addition to the major service categories (Home Boarding Station, On Trains, On-Time Performance, Employees, Schedules, Communications, and Grand Central Terminal), the 2019 Customer Satisfaction Survey asked customers to rate their satisfaction with specific attributes of service.
	13 attributes remained the same.		In addition to the major service categories (Home Boarding Station, On Trains, On-Time Performance, Employees, Schedules, Communications, and Grand Central Terminal), the 2019 Customer Satisfaction Survey asked customers to rate their satisfaction with specific attributes of service.





MNR Overall Customer Satisfaction by Time Period



Master Page # 91 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

MNR Overall Customer Satisfaction By Line



MNR Train Service and Scheduling



Percentages may not equal total due to rounding

**MNR** Overall Communication



Grand Central Terminal and Boarding Stations



MNR Employees – Courtesy and Responsiveness



### WEST OF HUDSON SURVEY RESULTS

- The 2019 West of Hudson Customer Satisfaction Survey was conducted on September 18, 2019.
- The results are based on a representative sample of 328 AM Peak customers.



West of Hudson: Overview
In addition to the major service categories (Home Boarding Station, On Trains, On-Time Performance, Employees, Train Schedules, Communications, Hoboken Terminal and Secaucus Junction, and Penn Station- NY), the 2019 Customer Satisfaction Survey asked customers to rate their satisfaction with specific characteristics of service.
60 of these characteristics were also included on the 2018 survey.
<ul> <li>44 characteristics improved, the biggest gain for Sound quality of audio announcements at home boarding station (+17 points).</li> <li>Additionally, Metro-North's automated phone system during unplanned service disruptions and Metro-North's Customer Service Center Representatives during unplanned service disruptions (both +16 points).</li> <li>Overall communications during unplanned service disruptions (+15 points).</li> </ul>



West of Hudson: Overview (cont'd)	In addition to the major service categories (Home Boarding Station, On Trains, On-Time Performance, Employees, Train Schedules, Communications, Hoboken Terminal and Secaucus Junction, and Penn Station- NY), the 2019 Customer Satisfaction Survey asked customers to rate their satisfaction with specific characteristics of service.	60 of these characteristics were also included on the 2018 survey.	3 characteristics remained the same.	13 characteristics declined, Metro-North's automated phone system during normal service(-17 points) had the largest drop.	Hoboken physical condition of restrooms (-7 points) and Cleanliness of the Terminal (-6 points).	With Metro-North's Customer Service Center Representatives during normal service (-6 points).	Survey results for all characteristics are contained in the Appendix.
>		9			۰.		S

Ç	80% 12% 68%	2019		
tisfactic	77% 13% 64%	2018		<mark>= Satisfied</mark>
Overall Customer Satisfaction	83% 19% 64%	2017		2019 = Very Satisfied Bottom Area = Satisfied Percentages may not equal total due to rounding
all Custa		6		2018 2019 Top Area = Very Satisfied
Over	76% 10% 66%	2019	71% <b>6%</b> <b>65%</b>	2019 a = Very <sup>Percentag</sup>
	64% 10% 54%	2018 alley	44% 5% 39%	2018 Top Are
West of Hudson Tota	81% 16% 65%	2017 20 Pascack Valley	78% 13% 65%	2017
		1		







Full Set of Attribute Ratings:

- East of Hudson
- West of Hudson
- Questionnaires



The MNR survey used an onboard distribution methodology among a sample of trains. Methodology

A total of 6,199 East of Hudson and 320 West of Hudson surveys were completed and tabulated, as follows: 

		East	East of Hudson Survey	n Survey		West of Hudson
	Total	AM Peak	Off- Peak	Weekend	Reverse Peak	AM Peak
Completed Surveys	6,199	2,829	1,458	1,455	457	328
Survey dates		9/10-10/23	9/10-10/23	9/14-10/27	9/10-10/23	9/18
Trains sampled	152	43	44	42	12	1





		TOTA	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Metro-North Railroad overall	83	06	89	86	86
Value for the money using the railroad	64	73	69	02	<b>1</b> 69
Home Boarding Station					
Your home boarding station overall	87	06	89	88	88
Personal security at the station	86	81	81	81	86 🕈
Cleanliness inside the station building (excluding restrooms)	84	85	85	83	86 🕈
Maintenance of the station	85	87	92	16	89 🗸
Sound quality of audio announcements	77	77	76	76	79¶
Availability of parking on weekdays	66	69	99	66	66
Availability of parking on weekends	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	92
Security of your car while parked at the station	88	61	89	88	91↑
Safety conditions at station*	N/A	N/A	92	16	16
Cleanliness of platforms and outdoor shelters	86	60	88	86	88 🕇
Cleanliness of the track area around the station	80	84	84	81	85↑

\*Question phrasing was changed slightly from 2018



		OTAL S	TOTAL SATISFIED		
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
On Trains (AM & PM)					
MNR train service overall	83	89	87	84	85 1
On-time performance	74	80	82	73	73
The safe operation of the train	78	16	96	56	496
Availability of seats on train	67	76	23	۱۲	72↑
Condition of seats on train	82	87	86	85	84
The temperature on the train	85	89	89	89	88
Personal security	92	92	92	94	93↓
Cleanliness of the restrooms	66	68	66	64	64
Availability of a functional restroom	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80
Cleanliness of train's interior (excluding restrooms)	83	86	86	85	86↑
Sound quality of audio announcements	79	82	80	78	80↑
Train interior maintenance – lights, floors, windows, etc. (excl. seats)	88	91	91	91	404
Quiet Car Program	80	83	87	86	84 🗸



		TOTA	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Courtesy and Responsiveness of Our Employees					
The overall courtesy and responsiveness of our employees	93	95	56	64	95∱
Conductors	94	96	96	95	96↑
Ticket Sellers	06	93	26	63	63
Customer Service Representatives (Ushers) in GCT	16	92	16	16	93↑
Customer Service Representatives (Ambassadors) at outlying stations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	92
Information booth and Station Master's Office Personnel at GCT	91	93	92	91	93∱
Telephone Information Center Representatives	87	86	84	84	84
Professional Appearance of Conductors	94	97	26	96	47€
MTA Police	92	94	94	94	94



		101/	total satisfied	RED	
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Train Scheduling					
Overall schedule of trains	81	86	<u> </u>	83	83
The weekday AM and PM peak schedule to and from your station	79	85	85	83	82 🗸
The weekday off-peak schedule to and from your station (incl. late night train service)	81	83	82	81	81
The weekend schedule to and from your station	81	83	82	80	80
The scheduled travel time on the train	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	74
Communication					
Overall communication to you	84	86	98	83	84↑
Overall communication during normal service conditions	88	90	60	89	89
Our overall communications during <u>scheduled</u> service disruptions (alternative busing, etc.)	78	79	76	73	74
Overall communications during <u>unplanned</u> service disruptions	67	69	65	62	64 <b>1</b>


		TOT	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Communication (During Normal Service Conditions)					
Real time train information at your home boarding station	N/A	82	82	81	86 🕇
Other communication at your home boarding station	N/A	84	83	82	86 🕇
On board our trains	85	87	86	85	88
At GCT	86	89	88	86	89 🕇
On our website (www.mta.info)	86	87	82	82	84 🕇
Via our email alerts (if you subscribe)	85	87	86	83	83
Via our social media sites (on Facebook and Twitter)	82	82	80	78	78
Through the Train Time Mobile App (if used)	85	86	83	83	82
Through the MyMTA Mobile App (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81
With the Automated Phone System (Schedules, Fares, etc.)	82	83	83	83	81
With Customer Service Center Representatives	81	83	81	79	83 <b>†</b>



		TOTA	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
EASI OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Communication (During Unplanned Service Disruptions)					
Real time train information at your home boarding station	N/A	70	68	65	71 <b>↑</b>
Other communication at your home boarding station	N/A	73	17	69	73↑
On board our trains	17	78	75	73	76
At GCT	72	78	76	70	75↑
On our website (www.mta.info)	75	62	75	17	73↑
Through the "Service Status" box on our website	76	79	77	72	73↑
Via our e-mail alerts (if you subscribe)	62	80	80	75	75
Via our social media sites (on Facebook and Twitter)	75	77	74	72	1רע
Through the Train Time Mobile App (if used)	76	78	76	72	73↑
Through the MyMTA Mobile App (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	73
With the automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc.	76	79	79	75	76↑
With Customer Services Center representatives	75	78	77	72	77 <b>†</b>



		TOI	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Grand Central Terminal (GCT)					
Grand Central Terminal overall	95	96	95	94	94
The Station Master's Office, including the customer waiting area	16	93	92	06	911
Personal security	64	93	94	63	44
Presence of MTA police	94	94	94	95	95
Sound quality of audio announcements	78	83	83	82	85↑
Visual Display Systems in GCT (Arrival/Departure Boards)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	93
Cleanliness of GCT (excluding restrooms)	06	06	16	06	<b>↓</b> 16
Cleanliness of restrooms in GCT	63	65	64	64	¢7 <b>†</b>
Physical condition of restrooms	65	66	64	63	65↑



		τοι	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
EAST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2015 2016 2017 2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
MIA eTix					
73. MTA eTix overall	N/A	N/A N/A 93	93	93	47€
74. Ease of Use	N/A	N/A N/A 94	94	92	47€



### **E RATINGS** 2015-2019 J SERVICE A



		τοτα	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
WEST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Metro-North Railroad overall	82	83	81	64	76 🕈
Value for the money using the railroad	66	17	59	49	62 🕈
Home Boarding Station					
Your home boarding station overall	89	92	06	84	61 🕇
Personal security	83	84	62	79	17
Cleanliness inside the station building (excluding restrooms)	83	86	81	73	82 🕇
Maintenance of the station	84	88	89	81	85 🕈
Sound quality of audio announcements	51	49	47	31	48 🕇
Availability of parking on weekdays	93	96	93	90	93 🕇
Availability of parking on weekends	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	97
Security of your car while parked at the station	89	92	92	88	87 🗸
Cleanliness of platforms and outdoor shelters	88	89	85	82	87 🕈
Cleanliness of the track area around the station	85	88	85	83	84 🕈
Safety conditions at station	N/A	N/A	89	85	84 🗸



		τοι	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
WEST OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
On Trains (AM & PM)					
MNR train service overall	84	84	18	19	74↑
On-time performance	81	77	47	56	651
Safety from accidents	94	93	88	85	61 🕈
Availability of seats on train	86	83	76	73	78↑
Condition of seats on train	88	87	81	78	78
The temperature on the train	86	87	88	79	83↑
Personal security	95	96	63	06	93↑
Cleanliness of the restrooms	66	64	99	58	58
Cleanliness of train's interior (excluding restrooms)	06	60	87	62	81
Sound quality of audio announcements	74	75	65	53	651
Train interior maintenance – lights, floors, windows, etc. (excl. seats)	85	89	88	76	77 <b>†</b>
Quiet Commute Program	87	90	06	86	89↑



		TOTAL	total satisfied	ED	
WEAL OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Courtesy and Responsiveness of Our Employees					
The overall courtesy and responsiveness of our employees	92	94	06	88	91∱
Conductors	94	94	94	88	91↑
Ticket Sellers	92	92	92	86	89↑
Metro-North Telephone Information Center representatives (In NY: 511)	78	82	62	76	73
MTA Police	93	92	06	92	89
Train Scheduling					
Overall train schedules	62	65	65	49	59↑
The weekday AM and PM peak schedule to and from your station	65	70	20	55	60∱
The weekday off-peak schedule to and from your station incl. late night	49	51	51	40	49∱
The weekend schedule to and from your station	51	52	51	47	50∱
Connections to trains to and from NY Penn Station	N/A	N/A	70	61	דו∱
The scheduled travel time on the train	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67



WEST OF HUDSON		TOL	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
	2015 %	2016 %	2017 %	2018 %	2019 %
Communication					
Overall communication to you	76	72	74	55	66 🛉
Overall communication during normal service conditions	83	80	80	66	73 🛉
Our overall communications during <u>scheduled</u> service disruptions (alternative busing, etc.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	58
Overall communications during <u>unplanned</u> service disruptions	57	54	54	33	48
Communication during normal service conditions					
At your boarding station	69	65	64	51	62 🕈
On board our trains	83	79	78	65	72
At Hoboken Terminal	78	77	77	62	71
At Secaucus Junction	83	80	79	69	75 🕈
At Penn Station New York	69	69	71	52	63 <b>(</b>
On the Metro-North website (www.mta.info)	82	82	83	67	72 T
On the NJ Transit website (www.njtransit.com)	83	82	82	66	68
Metro-North's social media sites (Facebook, Twitter)	75	76	71	54	62 🛉
With Metro-North's automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc.	71	70	71	69	52 <b>\</b>
With Metro-North's Customer Service Center Representatives	71	74	71	60	54
With NJ Transit's automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc.	66	73	65	53	52
With NJ Transit's Travel Information Center Representatives	72	77	67	55	55



		τοτ	total satisfied	SFIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Communication (During Unplanned Service Disruptions)					
At your boarding station	53	49	51	31	36 🛉
On board our trains	65	99	67	50	55 🕈
At Hoboken Terminal	65	99	99	47	53↑
At Secaucus Junction	۱ź	72	69	47	55 🕇
At Penn Station New York	<u> </u>	09	62	36	47 🕇
In the "Service Status" box on the Metro-North website	72	۲٦	۲۱	51	59↑
With Metro-North's automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc.	17	67	65	48	64↑
With Metro-North's Customer Service Center Representatives	82	١Ź	67	47	63 🕇
On the NJ Transit website (www.njtransit.com)	62	78	89	48	57 🕈
With NJ Transit's automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc.	11	۲۱	64	43	55↑
With NJ Transit's Telephone Information Center Representatives	74	68	62	41	54 🕈



		101	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
WESI OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Hoboken Terminal					
Hoboken Terminal Overall	80	52	74	62	59∱
Personal Security in Hoboken Terminal	84	85	82	76	77
Cleanliness of restrooms	72	25	53	49	48
Physical condition of restrooms	73	57	55	47	401
Cleanliness of the Terminal (excluding restrooms)	81	<u> 11</u>	78	68	62
Connections to PATH/Ferry	N/A	N/A	85	80	764
Secaucus Junction					
Secaucus Junction Overall	84	89	89	83	88
Personal Security at Secaucus Junction	92	95	92	92	\$0∱
Cleanliness of restrooms	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	76
Cleanliness of Secaucus Junction (excluding restrooms)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90
Connections to NJ Transit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81



		τοι	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
WESI OF HUDSON	2015	2016	2015 2016 2017 2018 2019	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Penn Station - NY					
Penn Station - NY Overall	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A	58
Personal Security at Penn Station - NY	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A	62
Cleanliness of restrooms	N/A	N/A N/A	A/A	N/A	37
Physical condition of restrooms	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A	39
Cleanliness of Penn Station – NY (excluding restrooms)	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A	48



## East of Hudson Questionnaire





## Dear MTA Metro-North Customer

Thank you for riding Metro-North totay. To help us find out if your recent like the on Metro-North has been as converted and countrable as we would like it to be pleases take some time to compete his survey. Your input will be us know what we need to do to improve your experience on our trains and at our station

Metro-North Overall tow satisfied are you with: 1. Metro-North overall OVER THE PAST 12 MONTHS:

> When you have completed the survey, piezse return it to our representative on your train today or the one who will meet this train in Grand Central THE LEW

If you prefer, you may mail it back to us within the next three days. The postage has already been paid. Please use only type to see it - not staptes.

You can also complete the survey online. Just go to gowha.InflorEOH to access the survey. You will need the 6 digit code contained on the front cover of this questionnaire.

(evoluting restrooms)

outdoor shetters

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Sincerely.

Crait, Metro-North Rail Commuter Council Laudolph Glucksman

Cartonia Prieldi. Catherine Minadi President. MTA Metro-North Rairoad



Bilde

**Jim Globa** in .

Red att

Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Transportation Joe Gluffett

Chait, Connecticut Metro-North Pall Commuter Council

Instructions: In the first portion of this survey, pissee fell is how satisfied you have been in the our serves the past 12 months, beginning with the environment of your home station and ending when you serve the fram.

on a regular basis. Circle numbers 3, 4 or 6 to indicate you are generally assistable from this or service. Circle numbers 6, 7 or 8 if you are generally "satisfied" with our service. Circle or 10 to indicate you are "very satisfied" with our service. Please circle one of the numbers in the scale below that best reflects your opinion of our service. Circle numbers 1 or 2 if you are "very dissatisfied"

f you are not familiar with a particular aspect, please circle NA.



Cruthey 2. Ro 12 345 678 910 NA CRANDE INS 12 345 678 910 NA S MART Constitutes 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 CON DUNIERO 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 12 345 2. Value for the money using the railroad Your Home Boarding Station tow sateled are you with: 6. Cleanliness Inside the station building 3. What is your home boarding station: 4. Your home boarding station overall 5. Personal security at the station 7. Cleanliness of platforms and

Courtersy & Hesponsweness of Railroad Employees	How satisfied are you with: 28. The overall courtesy and responsiveness	of railroad employees	29. Conductors	30. Tickiet Sellers	31. Customer Service Representatives (Metro) In Grand Central Terminal	32. Customer Service Representatives	shortens provide at output processing	33. Information Booth and Station Master's O Personnel at Grand Central Terminal	34. Telephone information Center Represents	fin MF: 511; In CT: 877-690-5114]	35. Professional appearance of Conductors	36. MTA Police	Train Scheduling lew statedare you with. 37. Overal train schedules	38. The weekday AM and PM peak schedule	to and from your home station	39. The weekday off-peak schedule to and		40. The weekend schedule to and from your home station	41. The scheduled travel time on the train	
NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
678 910	678 910	678 910 NA		678 910 NA	678 910	678 910	678 910	678 910	678 910	678 910		678 910 NA	678 910	9 10	678 910	9 10	9 10	9 10	678 910	678 910
	80	8		80		80	80	8	80	8		80		8	80	80	8		80	8
67	81	67		67	67	67	67	67	67	67		67	67	678	67	678	678	678	67	67

N ¥ -

epresentatives aster's Office

N ¥ N ¥ M

12 345 678 910 12 345 678 910 12 345 678 910 12 345 678 910 12 345 878 910



¥ N 12 345 678 910 NA 12 345 678 910 12 345 678 910 lights,floors,windows, etc. (excluding sents) 25. Sound quality of audio announcements 26. Train Interlor maintenance: 27. The Oulet Car Program

## 53

¥ M N

8. Cleaniness of the area along the tracks 11. Sound quality of audio announcements 12. Availability of parking on weekdays 9. Safety conditions at station 10. Maintenance of station (free right-of-way)

13. Availability of parking on weekends 14. Security of your car while parked

at the station

## On Trains (all time periods) How setsted are you with:

12 345 23. Availability of a functional restroom 15. Metro-North train service overall 17. The safe operation of the train 22. Cleantiness of the restrooms 18. Availability of seats on trains 20. The temperature in the train 19. Condition of seats on trains 21. Personal security on trains 16. On-time performance

24. Cleanliness of train's Interlor

(encluding restraints)



Master Page # 123 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

	M	M	M	M	N	M	M	M	N	M	M	¥	M	M	M	N
e lug	910 NA	910 NA		910		910	9.10	910	910	910	910	910	910	910	910	910
tion			378	818	878	678 910	678	678	678	678	578			878	918	878
Mag	345 678	345 678	345 678 910	12 345 678 910 NA	r 12 345 678 910	345	346	346	346	346	345 678 910	345 678	345 678	12 345 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA	005 11 12 345 678 910 NA
140	12 3	12 3		12 3	12 3	12 3	12 3	12 3	12 3	12 3	12 3	12	12 3	12 3	12 3	00S 12 3
Communication	42 a. Our overall communications to you.	<li>b. Our overall communications during normal service conditions</li>	<ol> <li>Our overall communications during scheduled service disruptions (advance burng atc.)</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>d. Our overall communications during unplanned service disruptions</li> </ul>	Communication during NORMAL service conditions how astiked are wowith the formation us give you: 43. Rest-time than information at your home boarding station	44. Other communication at your home boarding station	45. On board our trains	46. At Grand Central Terminal	47. On our website (www.mta.info)	48. Via our email alerts (if you autocrite)	49. Via our social media sites (on Facebook and Twiter)	50. Through the Thain Time mobile app (# used)	51. Through the MyMTA mobile app [f used	52. With the automated phone system for schedules, tares, etc.	53. With Customer Service Center Representatives (in NY: 511,in CE 877-830-5114) 12	Communication during UNPLANNED service disruptions here statistic are you with the reformation we give you. 5.4. Real-time train information at your home boarding station 12



	Ş
160	
	0
1	.0
4	
	5
h	-
20	-
160	

58. On our website (www.nts.inty)	12	345	12 345 678 910 NA	910	NA	
59. Through the "Service Status" box on the MTA website (www.nts.infe)	12	346	12 345 678 910	9.10	NA	
60. Via email sierts (il you subscribe)	12	346	12 345 678 910	910	NA	
61. Na social media (e.g. Facebook, Iwiter)	12	12 346	878	910	NA	
62. Through the Metro-North Train Thme mobile app (Fuxed)	12	345	12 3 45 678 910 NA	910	M	
63. Through the MyMTA mobile app (# used)	12	345	12 345 678 910	910	NA	
64. With the automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc.	12	346	12 345 678 910	9.10	NA	
66. With Customer Service Center Representatives (in MC 511; in CC 807-420 5114) 12	12	346	12 345 678 910	910	NA	
Grand Central Terminal (GCT) How satisfied are you with: 68. Grand Central Terminal overall	12	346	12 345 678 910 NA	910	M	
67. The Station Master's Office in GCT, Including the customer waiting area	12	345	12 345 678 910 NA	910	M	
68. Personal security in GCT	12	345	12 345 678 910	910	NA	

## Grand Cent How satisfied are use

NA	NA	M	M	NA	M	MA	NA	NA	1 1
910	9 10	910	910	910	910	910	9.10	910	9 5 E
678	678	678	678	678	678	678	678	678	get Information me app instagram
12 346 678 910 NA	12 345 678	345	346	12 345 678 910	12 345 678 910	346	346	12 3 45 678	0 get ir
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	than the
or affand Central Jerminal (Gou) ow affafed are you with: 00. Grand Central Terminal overall	67. The Station Master's Office in GCT, Including the customer waiting area	68. Personal security in GCT	69. Presence of MTA Police in GCT	70. Sound quality of audio announcements	71. Msual Display Systems in GCT (AnivalDeparture Bands)	72. Cleanthness of GCT (axiading restroand)	73. Cleanifiness of restrooms in GCT	74. Physical condition of restrooms	75. Which one method do you use most hrequently to get intornation about Metho-North service? Metho-North Wesder Metho-North Wesder Method Annual and Annual Method Annual Annual Coher Social Medic Sith Phese specify Coher Social Medic Sith Phese specify Coher Social Medic Sith Phese specify Coher North Now Yow to contact Methor North

76. Please tell us if you are aware or unaware of the following Metro-North programs by checking the appropriate boxes below. 8

	Metro-North Service Leadership meets with customers to answer guestions and get feactions.
1	TRACKS - a free safety education community URACKS - a free safety education community outreach program designed to promote safe behaviors at or around railroad grade crossings and tracks
200	#BeTherToHeipThemConnect - Suicide Prevention Poster Campaign
п	#it*fou@ringitOnTakeItOff - Metro-North's campaign to reduce unattended bags
-	Metro-North's Way Ahead strategic plan
-	Keep CT Safe, Connecticut's See Something/ Say something transit security campaign

Not Very Likely 71. The MTA promotes securi "If You See Something, Si New York Sate: How Nove Defantor to Police of train Defantor to Police of train Somewhat Likely

78. In the past 12 months the presence of homeless persons.

decreased a.....st my home boarding station has:

decreased b. ....at my destination station has:
 Increased I stayed the same

73. During the 2018 – 2019 snow sesson, when you amved at your home boarding station were the platforms, statis and walkweys usually: Cleaned T Yes No Snowed-covered Yes No isy Yes No

55. Other communication at your home boarding station

57. At Grand Central Terminal

56. On board our trains

If you are interested in participating in future Melto-Moth market nesearch projects, please write your name, street address, ernal address and day or evening phone nurribers bebw. All internation will be lightcondonial) 
 108. What was your approximate summal 2018 hourshold income before

 128 said other deluctions?

 128 said other deluctions?</td If you are not seafished with our performance in any of the areas in quedio 1 - Ar, these explain why below, freases also include any other comment you might haw jerves argonizer, arist-relased commente, rection cardinor, upgendar for safeting for severate, rec.] Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. ZIp Code Phone: | home | chize | cel E-mail Address. CITYTOWR. Name: Street:

Including yourseft, how many people fixed in your household in 20187

ng categories includes yo old 145 - 54 years o d 165 - 64 years old of d 165 years old of	98. Are you: C Mable C Framale C Other: 97. How tong have under inform Merico-Aucritic C Lass Channone year C 1 - 3 years 5 - 10 years	on di	100. AFE YOU: WITCH DECK OF ATTACH ATTACH ATTACH Alshim DATACH ATTACH Inden CANSA'S Nettive Cather Pactas apacty Cather Paces apacty	101. Are you of Hispanic origin? Thes No 102. In what country were you bom? D United Stafes C Other Preeze specif:	103. In what country or countries were your parents/ancesture born? Clock ar many ar uptid In the d Stakes I comintcan Republic Mandoo I Jamadoo China I china China Ancestana	104. Which ising uspe would be most helpful for you to receive Metro-Horth written or electronically displayed information info Brighth Andher tanguage Reuse specify Spanish Do preferences 105. Which ising uspe would be most helpful for you to understand Metro-Horth announcements is not creater is with nitrox/pressned, eq. is	at travel destant? Stanta destant? Stanta   No priference Stanta the primery language spoken in your home? English   Spanish	L. Houther Briguage Prease precify. b. How well do you ageak English? D. Very well D. Well Mell I Not well I Not at all Very well I Well I Not well I Not at all I Not
<ul> <li>B. When you leave the brain, how do you usually get to your this leading hor?</li> <li>Drive and Park</li> <li>Drive and Park</li> <li>Privade up at detribon</li> <li>Bloycle Strating (k.g. Cië Bleg)</li> <li>Publik Bus, Route Ms.</li> <li>Caratron</li> <li>Consol Manusco</li> </ul>	ling apps (k.g. Uder, J. Ing service (k.g. Zpa Ul (k.g. Antrak, S.E., pla base specify	89. How long does it usually take when you exit the train to reach your final destination? minutesmentionsmentions	ou. Now and yours your namine expansion of the set month did you use a rise B1. On average, how many times in the last month did you use a rise The set of the set of the D370. Times in the last month	de halling app in the der a number for soch iv Metro-Morth board	To or frien your water-worth destination station instance of taking the instroad, regardless of aerives conditions 	93. What is the purpose of your trip totary? 1 Commuls to or from school 1 Travel to or from school 1 For bislikes reasons & for or fire commuting 2 Stropping 1 Other Advect Personal reasons 1	Mail         Provide the set of Mail North Deals & Getaways, where did you           And cort about them?         A metro-North website           Matro-North website         A a Metro-North station           Word of mouth         0 n Social Media           0 the Press station         0 n Social Media	I am not aware of Metho-Worth Deals & Gerlaways (since 0, 05)           D. Have you ever purchased a Metho-North Deal or Setsway?           T Yes

r Metro-Mortin home boarding station Weak Broyche Sharting <i>ing. (3</i> : 88%) Operator Carpool Marpool to bential car ( <i>n.g. Ene</i> ptice) a peerif.	y take you to get to your home station? travel on Metro-Moth? k = 1 - 2. days per week k = 1 - 2. days per week = 1 - 2. days per week = 1 - 2. days per week the form a taken a taken to a static Mero Mork a taken formontame taken for Mero Mork a taken formontame taken Mero Mork a taken taken Mero Mork a taken Me	Mercelock Crieg Mercelock Crieg Titraugh Mita efte Intraugh Mita efte Intraugh Mita efte Intraugh Mita efte Intraugh 12 345 67 8 9 10 Na Hob Matsy Titraugh 12 345 67 8 9 10 Na Hob Matsy Titraugh 12 345 67 8 9 10 Na Hob Matsy Mita Plains
How do you usually itawei to your Metro-Morth home boarding station? Chrie and Park Boyces Boyces Public Bus Pour Ho	How bag does t usual minutes minutes minutes days per week 3 days per week 1 d	Di Miner di quo puntisse this blort Di de la constante man Mattattis Mattattattis Matt

# West of Hudson Questionnaire





## Dear MTA Metro-North Customer:

travel on Metro-North has been as convenient and comfortable as we would show us to discuss ways to improve Metro-Morth service with NJ TRANSIT like It to be, please take some time to complete this survey. Your input will Thank you for holing Metro-North today. To help us find out if your recent

When you have completed the survey, please return it to our representative on your train today or the one who will meet this train at Hoboken Terminal or Secaucus Junction.

If you prefer, you may mail it back to us within the next three days. The postage has already been paid. Pease use only rape to see! it - not staples

You can also complete the survey online. Just go to gowha.Info/WOH to access the survey You will need the 6 digit code contained on the front cover of this questionnaire.

Thank you for your time and cooperation. Sincerely,

Randolph Glucksman

Cartonie Pinelde

MTA Metho-North Railroad

President

Metro-North Rall Commuter Council Chalt

### instructions:

ophrion of our service. Onde numbers 1 or 2 If you are "very dissatisfied" "dissatisfied" with our service. Circle numbers 6, 7 or 8 if you are generally In the first portion of this survey, please left us how satisfied you have been with our service over the past 12 months, beginning with the environment Please circle one of the numbers in the acsie below that best reflects your on a regular basis. Circle numbers 3, 4 or 6 to indicate you are generally "satisfied" with our service. Circle 9 or 10 to indicate you are "very satisfied" with our service. of your home station and ending when you leave the train.

2 2

lights floors, windows, etc. (excluding seets) 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

26. The Quiet Car Program

if you are not familiar with a particular aspect, please circle NA.



345 678 910 12 345 678 910 12 2. Value for the money using the railroad 1. Metro-North oversil low satisfied are you with:

Metro-North Overall DWER THE PAST 12 MONTHS:

3. What is your home boarding station:

## Your

					ĺ
Your Home Boarding Station How sets for an your with 4 Your home boarding station overal	12	12 345 678 910	678	910	1
Personal security at the station	12	- 10	6 678	9.10	1
Cleanthess inside the station building jocknown restrooms	12	12 345	678	910	1
Clean/hess of platforms and outboor shelters	12	12 345 67	678	910	M
Cleanliness of the area along the tracks the noti-of-week	12	345	678	910	2
Safety conditions at station	12	. 69		9.10	1
Maintenance of station	12	345	678	910	1
11. Sound quality of sudio announcements	12	345	678	910	2
Availability of partiting on weekdays	12	345	678	910	1
13. Availability of parking on weekends	12	345	67.8	910	1
Security of your car while parked at the station	12	12 345 678 910	678	910	N
On Trains (all time periods) the satisfied are you with: 16. Metro-North train service overall	12	10 4 61	67.8	910	N.
16. On-time performance	12	345		9.10	3
17. The safe operation of the train	12	345	678	910	1
Musilability of seets on trains	12	345	678	910	2
Condition of seats on trains	12	345	678	910	M
The temperature in the train	12	345	678	910	1
Personal security on trains	12	345	678	9.10	1
Cleanliness of the restrooms	12	345	678	910	1
Cleanthess of train's Interfor	6 F	315 61	67.0	0,0	3
Sound musity of surfin announcements	1 .	12 245	5 4		1
Train Intertor maintenance:	1	-			1



Ge.	A	NA	N		M			7	61	7	3	M	ž	M	N	M	M		M		M	M	N	N
a difference	9 10 NA	9 10	910	9 10	9 10	pont	鳧				3	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10		9 10		8 10 NA	9 10	9 10	9 10
Course.	678	678	678 9 10 NA	678	678	ation a	Aedia S					678	878	818	678	678	678		678 910		678	678	678	678
the second	40	12 346 678 910 NA	345	r schedules, three, etc. 3.4.5 6.7 8 9.10 NA	ler 12 346 678 910	of Inform	Facebook Twitter Instagram Other Social Media Site	T	Media		and a second	346	346	345	345	345	345		12 345		12 345	UD.	345	12 346 678
and they	12 3	12	12	stem for 12	12	by to ge	Facebook Twitter Instagram Other Soci	Plane specify	News Media	fto	uption au:	12	12	12	12	12	website 12	stem	12		12	12 34	stem fo	
	47. With Metro-North's social media Factook, Twiter)	<ol> <li>With Metro-North's automated phone system for schedules, fares, etc. fn NF 511 auteide NF 877-690-5114)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>With Metro-North's Customer Service Center Representatives (in NY 511 aucside NY 877-630-5114)</li> <li>12.345.678</li> </ol>	50. With NJ TRANSIT's automated phone system for schedules, three, efc. (073-275-6666) 12 34 6 67 8 9 10 N	51. With NJ TRANSIT'S Travel Information Center Phone Representatives (973-275-6660) 13	52. Which method do you use most trequently to get information about	Metro-Month service? Metro-Month Website Nutr website Metro-Month Train Time <sup>e</sup> app			Unlet method phase specify I don't know how to contact Metro-North	Communication during UNPLANNED service disruptions How radied are you with the information we give you:	53. At your home boarding station	54. On board our trains	55. At Hoboken Terminal	56. At Secaucus Junction	57. At Penn Station New York	58. In the "Service Status" box on the MTA website (www.ntu.info) 12	59. With Metro-North's automated phone system	for schedules, fares, etc. in W: 5/1 autosie MY: 877-630-5114)	60. With Metro-North's Customer Service	Center Representatives for NY: 511 outside NY: 877-630-5114)	61. On the NJ TRANSIT website (www.gitanat.com)	62. With NJ TRANSIT's automated phone system for schedules fares, etc. 607-275-5666	63. With NJ TRANSIT'S Telephone Information Center Representatives (9/7-275-5556)

O.Herry	2	3	2	2	-		2	- 2	2	2	2		3	2	- 2	3	2	3	3	3	5	2	2
all'action	910	9 10	9 10	8 10	9 10	9.10	910	8 910 NJ	9 10	910	9 10	9 10	910	9 10	9 10 NU	910	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10	9.10	9 10	910
CO SOF	678	678	678	678 9	- 00	678	678	678	678 910	678	678	678 910	678 910 M	678 910 NJ	678	678	678	678	678	678	818	678	678
ALC DE	345		345	345	345	345	12 345 678 910 M	345	345	345	345	345	12 346	345	345	12 345 678 910 M	345	345	345	345	345	345	345
AND ANEA	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12		12	12		12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Courtesy & Responsiveness of Railroad Employees	27. The overal countery and responsiveness of railroad employees	28. Conductors	29. Ticket Seliers	30. Metro-North Telephone Information Center Representatives in NV-511: conside MF 877-930-5114	31. Professional appearance of Conductors	32. MTA Police	Train Scheduling How satisfied are you with: 33. Overalt train schedules	34. The weekday AM and PM peak schedule to and from your home station	35. The weekday off-peak schedule to and from your home station	36. The weekend schedule to and from your home station	37. Connections to trains to/from Penn Station–MY	38. The scheduled travel time on the train	Communication How stated are you with: 394. Our overal communications to you	b. Our overall communications during normal service conditions	<li>c. Our overall communications during scheduled service disruptions jatemative fusing, etc.) 12</li>	d. Our overall communications during unplanmed service disruptions	Communication during NORMAL service conditions Hew safet are you wit the information we gire you. 40. At your home baseling station	41. On board our trains	42. At Hoboken Terminal	43. At Secaucus Junction	44. At Penn Station-MY	45. On the Metro-North website (www.orta.info)	46. On the NJ TRANSIT website (www.strant.com)





an a	12 346 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA	Hoboken Terminal during the	No(Go to Q. 70)	12 345 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA	12 346 678 910 NA	12 346 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910	12 345 678 910 NA	Secaucus Junction during the	12 345 575 540 MA	12 345 5/8 910 MA	12 345 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA	onthes?	12 345 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA	12 345 678 910 NA
Hoboken Terminal	64. Hotoken Terminal overall	65. Personal security in Hoboken Terminal	66. The connections to PATH/Ferry	67. Itave you used any of the restrooms in Hoboken Terminal during the past 12 months?	Vita (Continue)	68. Cleanifness of restrooms	69. Physical condition of the restroom	70. Cleanithess of the Terminal (excluding restrooms)	Secaucus Junction How satisfied are you with: 71. Secanous Junction overall	72. Personal security at Secaucus Junction	73. The connections to NUTransit	74. Have you used any of the restrooms in Secarous Junction during the past 12 months?	75. Creatiness of Secaucus Junction	(proceeding restricting)	Penn Station-NY How satshed are you with: 77. Penn Station-NY owerall	78. Personal security in Penn Station-NY	79. Have you used any of the restrooms in Penn Station-MY during the past 12 months? Thes (Controe)	80. Cleanilness of restrooms	81. Physical condition of the restroom	82. Cleantiness of the Terminal (sectuding restrooms)

3



If you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 - 82, please explain why below. Please also include any other comments you might have (sonics suggestions, safety related comments, station conditions, auggestices for calcool improvements, etc.)		if you are interested in periticipating in tuture Metro-North market research projects, please write your name, street abdress, email address and day or evening phone numbers bebw, yill information will be keyr conditionisi.)	8	÷	CttyTown: Zp Code	E-mail Address.	Phone: 🗆 Anne 🗆 office 🗆 cel	Thank you for taking the time
hyou your t		ff you	Name	Street:	City	E.	Phon	

you:  White Black or Afritcan American Asian American American Asian American Indian or Alaska Native Native Hawaitan and Other Pacific Islanders Other Paces specify.		L UNDED STATIES L UTHER (Please specty	Mhich language would be most helpful for you to receive Metro-North written or electronically displayed Information?	Mhich language would be most helpful for you to understand Metro-North announcements (ar to converse in with raload personal e.g., to eak for tavel directions)? Brigtsh Andher language Please specify	je spoken in your home? Ish specify.	Very well         Well         Not well         Not set all           Including yourself, how many people lived in your household in 2018?         1         3         5-6         9 or more           1         1         3         15-6         9 or more         2         1         17-8	Miat was your approximate annual 2018 household home before zoes and other deductions? Under \$1,2500 - \$24,999 \$12,500 - \$24,999 - \$150,000 - \$149,999 \$25,000 - \$37,499 - \$200,000 - \$799,999 \$37,500 - \$49,999 - \$200,000 - \$299,999
106. Are you:	107. Are you of 1 108. In what cou	109. h what cou Check as m United Mexico Check	110. Which lang witten ore Biglish	111. Which lang Meltra-Wort ask for travel Biglish Spanish	1123.What is the Piglish Another b. How well d	113, Including y	114. What was y tsees and t 1006r \$ 250,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$25,000



Master Page # 129 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020



### 2019 Annual Ridership Report

- Total Metro-North annual rail ridership was approximately 86.6 million; this was slightly above 2018 (approximately 100,000 additional rides on 86.5 million last year).
- Total annual East of Hudson ridership was approximately 85.0 million, (above last year's figures of 84.9 million by approximately 100,000 additional rides).
  - Annual East of Hudson ridership was 0.1% above 2018 and 0.6% below Forecast
    - Annual Commutation ridership was 0.9% below 2018
    - Annual Non-Commutation ridership was 1.4% above 2018
  - 2019 was a record-setting year for the Hudson Line, with the highest ridership ever
  - The Hudson Line surpassed last year's record ridership with 17.4 million annual rides or approximately 220,000 additional rides than 2018.
    - The New Haven Line ridership was 0.1% below 2018 with approximately 60,000 fewer rides.
    - Ridership on the Harlem Line was 0.3% below 2018 with approximately 80,000 fewer rides primarily due to a reduced train schedule to accommodate station-enhancement work.
  - West of Hudson annual ridership was approximately **1.6 million** which is 0.1% below 2018 and 500,000 less than the record set in 2008 of 2.1 million.
  - 2019 Connecting Services ridership increased during CY 2019:
    - Combined ridership on the three MNR Connecting Services was approximately 598,000 (+3.1% vs. 2018)
    - Ridership increased by 1.7% on the Hudson Rail Link buses, 5.5% on the Haverstraw-Ossining Ferry and 8.2% on the Newburgh-Beacon Ferry.
- Long Term Ridership Trends (1989 2019)
  - Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan has increased by 21%.
  - Non-Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan has increased by 93%
  - Intermediate ridership has increased by 131%

Michael Shiffer Vice President Planning

### 2019 RIDERSHIP REPORT METRO NORTH RAILROAD EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Total Metro-North annual rail ridership was 86.6 million, which was 0.1% above 2018. Ridership has increased by approximately 52% over the past thirty years. This growth continues to be driven by increases in non-traditional markets, i.e., the Non-Commutation, Reverse Commutation and Intermediate markets. Since 1989, Non-Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan has increased by 93% and Intermediate ridership has increased by 131%; over the same time period, Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan has increased by 21%.

### 2019 Ridership Summary

- MNR carried approximately 87.2 million customers (Includes Connecting Services)
- Annual East of Hudson ridership was approximately 85.0 million. East of Hudson Ridership increased 0.1% compared to 2018.
- 2019 was a record-setting year for the Hudson Line.
- West of Hudson ridership decreased 0.1% during 2019.
- Ridership on the Hudson Rail Link and the Haverstraw-Ossining and Newburgh-Beacon ferries was 598,000 (+3.1% vs. 2018).

	Annual Ridership 2019	Annual Ridership 2018	% Change vs. 2018		
Total Rail Ridership	86.62	86.54	0.1% 📥		
East of Hudson	84.98	84.89	0.1%		
Harlem Line	27.37	27.44	-0.3%▼		
Hudson Line	17.38	17.16	1.3%		
New Haven Line	40.23	40.29	-0.1%		
West of Hudson	1.64	1.64	<b>-0.1%</b> ▼		
Port Jervis Line	0.97	0.95	1.2%▲		
Pascack Valley Line	0.67	0.69	-2.0%		
Connecting Services	.60	.58	3.1%▲		
Hudson Rail Link	.41	.40	1.7%		
Haverstraw-Ossining Ferry	.13	.12	5.5%		
Newburgh-Beacon Ferry	.06	.06	8.2%		
Total MNR System Ridership	87.22	87.12	0.1%▲		

### ANNUAL RIDERSHIP 2019 VS 2018 (in millions)

### Major Factors Affecting Ridership

### 1. STRONG REGIONAL ECONOMY

- New York City Non-Agricultural employment increased 1.9% during CY 2019
- Education and Health Services employment increased 4.6%
- Professional and Business Services employment increased 2.4%
- Leisure and Hospitality employment increased 0.7%
- Financial Activities employment decreased 0.6%

### 2. ON-TIME PERFORMANCE

- Overall on-time performance increased 4.3% percentage points in 2019, rising to 94.4%, the best performance in six years. The Harlem Line performed at 95.3%, the Hudson Line performed at 95.0% and the New Haven Line at 93.4%. The railroad recorded 55% fewer train cancellations, 41% fewer trains delayed more than 15 minutes, and 63% fewer trains operating with less than their normal complement of cars. Trains traveled 64% more miles before experiencing any type of mechanical malfunction that causing a delay. The improved metrics come at a time that the railroad provided more service, with 0.5% more trains scheduled.
- Our West of Hudson OTP performance operated 3.2% below the goal of 93.0% at 89.8%. Contributing factors include NJT's shortage of locomotive engineers and the significant infrastructure improvements being made to the Port Jervis Line.

### 3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

- 86% of East of Hudson customers were satisfied with the railroad overall in 2019, the same as 2018. Hudson and Harlem line satisfaction increased compared to 2018 from 88% to 92% and 87% to 88% respectively. Satisfaction among New Haven Line customers decreased to 82% from 85% in 2018.
- Among West of Hudson AM Peak customers, satisfaction increased to 76% compared to 64% in 2018.

### 4. METRO-NORTH WAY AHEAD PLAN

Has concentrated resources on enhancing safety, service, infrastructure, and customer communication. In 2019, Metro-North installed more than 50,000 new crossties, 28 new switches and over 8 miles of new continuously welded rail, has surfaced almost 111 miles of track and replaced five railroad grade crossings. Metro-North installed a new cab-signal system at all Port Jervis Line interlockings, renewed the components of the Cos Cob moveable bridge, reduced the number of switch failures by 67%, replaced 20 substation roofs, installed 1,800 feet of fencing alongside the tracks, and removed 90 tons of debris and garbage from the tracks. In addition to these infrastructure milestones, Metro-North made major enhancements to safety and customer amenities.

### 5. POSITIVE TRAIN CONTROL

 Metro-North made significant advances toward completing its implementation of the Positive Train Control (PTC) safety system. In 2019, Metro-North activated PTC to cover the Hudson Line from Marble Hill to Poughkeepsie, the Harlem Line from Southeast to Wassaic, and the entire Danbury Branch in Connecticut. Today, 41% of Metro-North trains operate daily in full PTC mode. The railroad is on pace to meet full implementation by December 2020.

### 6. OFF-PEAK RIDERSHIP

- Based on GCT passenger counts, off-peak ridership results have been positive, with increases during the weekday off-peak period and a modest increase on weekends.
  - Weekday Off-Peak +1.9% above 2018
  - Weekend ridership +0.9% (Saturday +2.2%, Sunday -1.0%) above 2018

### Long-Term Ridership Trends Ridership Trends by Line

System wide ridership over the past 30 years has increased by 52%.



### ANNUAL MNR RIDERSHIP 1989-2019 (in millions)



### East of Hudson

- Total East of Hudson ridership has increased by 52% from 56.0 million in 1989 to 85.0 million in 2019, an increase of over 29 million annual rides.
- The fastest-growing segments have been the Hudson intermediate (+193% since 1989) and New Haven Line Connecticut intermediate (+170% since 1989)



### WEST OF HUDSON RIDERSHIP BY LINE (in millions)

### West of Hudson

- Total West of Hudson ridership has increased by 53% from 1,073,000 annual rides in 1989 to 1.6 million annual rides in 2019. Ridership is 449,000 less than the record year in 2008, due to the combined effects of the regional economic recession and the adverse effects of Hurricane Irene and Superstorm Sandy.
- West of Hudson ridership decreased 0.1% during the past year. During CY 2019, Port Jervis Line ridership increased by 1%, while Pascack Valley Line ridership decreased by approximately 2%. This may be due in part to the shortage of NJT Engineers which caused the cancellation of numerous trains.
- Port Jervis Line ridership has increased by 51% since 1989 (from 639,000 annual rides to over 965,000 annual rides) but has decreased by 37% since 2008. Pascack Valley Line ridership also has increased by 55% since 1989, with most of this increase occurring after the implementation of weekday off-peak and weekend service in 2007.



### ANNUAL RIDERSHIP BY MARKET 1989-2019 (in millions)

- Initially, ridership growth was broad-based, with significant ridership growth occurring in all market segments.
- However, since the early to mid-1990's, the fastest growth has occurred in Non-Commutation and Intermediate markets:
  - Non-Commutation to/from Manhattan (+97% since 1990)
  - Intermediate (+106% since 1990)
- This growth is due largely to a combination of targeted marketing, service, and fare policy initiatives implemented over many years, as well as significant growth in suburban employment, especially in White Plains, Greenwich and Stamford.

Examples of targeted Intermediate markets which have experienced significant ridership growth include the following:

- o Bronx-Lower Westchester (e.g., Fordham to White Plains)
- Intra-Connecticut (e.g., East End New Haven Line to Greenwich/Stamford)
- In sharp contrast, Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan, which historically has been MNR's core market, has increased by only about 20% since 1990 and now constitutes less than half of total MNR rail ridership (48% in 2019 compared to 61% in 1989).

### 2019 Ridership by Market



### Market and Ridership Trend Analysis (2019 vs. 2018)<sup>1</sup>

### Commutation to/from Manhattan

 Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan decreased slightly by 0.5%; however, regional economic growth continues (i.e., a 1.9% increase in total New York City employment, with larger increases in Professional and Business Services, Education and Health Services and Information employment).

### Non-Commutation to/from Manhattan

- Non-Commutation ridership to/from Manhattan increased 1.8%, reflecting the safe and reliable service during CY 2019.
- Weekday off-peak ridership increased by 1.9%

### Intermediate

- Total Intermediate ridership decreased 1.8%:
  - Intermediate Commutation ridership decreased 3.9%
  - Intermediate Non-Commutation ridership decreased by 0.2%
- This trend is in sharp contrast to the rapid historical ridership growth in this market and may be due to relatively low and stable gasoline prices which, combined with the shorter distance of intermediate trips, encourages greater travel by auto.
- Harlem line intermediate ridership decreased 2.9% because of weekday off-peak and weekend track work that required transfers at Crestwood Station as well as single-tracking through the White Plains Enhanced Station Initiative project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data is based on a combination of ticket sales and allocated fall passenger counts



### Trends by Line/Segment and Branch

### East of Hudson

- Record ridership increased on the Hudson Line, with the Hudson Line increasing at the fastest rate (+1.3%).
- Ridership increases occurred on some of the major line segments, with modest increases occurring on the Lower Hudson (+1.7%) and the Inner New Haven (+0.2%). The Upper Harlem decreased by 0.8% due to extensive track work and capital improvements.
- The largest ridership increases occurred on Bronx portions of both the Harlem (+2.9%) and Hudson (+0.6%) lines. These increases occurred in both the Commutation and Non-Commutation markets to/from Manhattan. The growth on the Harlem Line was partly attributed to additional train service at Tremont and Melrose stations.

### West of Hudson

- Port Jervis Line ridership increased 1.2%.
- Pascack Valley Line ridership decreased 2.0%.
- The decrease in West of Hudson ridership of 0.1% is primarily due to Pascack Valley Line decreases in both Non-Commutation (-3.8%) and Non-Commutation (-0.1%) markets.

Line/Segment	Annual Ridership 2019	Annual Ridership 2018	% Change vs. 2018		
Hudson Line	17.38	17.16	1.3%		
Bronx (Hudson)	1.36	1.35	0.6%		
Lower Hudson	8.72	8.58	1.7%		
Upper Hudson	5.24	5.16	1.5%		
Intermediate	2.06	2.07	-0.4%▼		
Harlem Line	27.37	27.45	-0.3%▼		
Bronx (Harlem)	2.12	2.06	2.9%		
Lower Harlem	14.86	14.81	0.2%		
Upper Harlem	6.46	6.52	-0.8%		
Wassaic Branch	0.43	0.45	-4.1%		
Intermediate	3.50	3.61	-2.9%▼		
New Haven Line	40.23	40.29	<b>-0.1%</b> ▼		
Inner New Haven *	14.83	14.79	0.2%		
Outer New Haven *	15.78	15.72	0.4%		
New Canaan Branch	1.38	1.38	-0.8%▼		
Danbury Branch *	0.49	0.49	0.0%		
Waterbury Branch *	0.12	0.13	-5.5%		
NY Intrastate	2.23	2.29	-2.5%		
Interstate	1.33	1.29	2.6%		
CT Intrastate	4.07	4.18	-2.6%		
Port Jervis Line	0.97	0.95	1.2%		
Pascack Valley Line	0.67	0.69	-2.0%		

### ANNUAL RIDERSHIP BY LINE/SEGMENT (In Millions)

Legend: A increase; A decrease; A no change \* Includes travel to/from Manhattan

### 2020 Outlook

- Metro-North is projecting continued ridership growth in 2020
- 2020 total annual Metro-North system ridership is projected to increase 0.6% to approximately 88 million due to favorable economic forecasts.
- Ridership increases are projected to occur on all lines, with the largest growth projected to continue in the Non-Commutation and Intermediate markets.

### Appendix

Additional ridership statistics are provided in an online appendix. Listed as an exhibit within the March 2020 Metro-North and LIRR Committee materials, the appendix is available at:

http://web.mta.info/mta/news/books/



### **INFORMATION**

### ITEMS

## Long Island Rail Road Elevator/Escalator

2019 Annual Report



2019 Elevator Availability by Station (Goal 98%)

			•
Branch/Station	Availability	Branch/Station	Availability
BABYLON		HEMPSTEAD	
Babylon (A)	99.3%	Queens Village (A)	99.3%
Babylon (B)	99.4%	Queens Village (B)	99.5%
Baldwin	98.8%	FAR ROCKAWAY	
Bellmore	99.3%	Valley Stream	99.3%
Freeport	99.2%	Rosedale	98.4%
Massapequa	99.4%	PORT JEFFERSON	
Merrick	99.4%	Hicksville (A1)	99.3%
Rockville Centre	90.0%	Hicksville (B1)	99.1%
Seaford	99.4%	Hicksville (A2)	98.8%
Wantagh <sub>(2)</sub>	99.3%	Hicksville (B2)	98.3%
PORT WASHINGTON		Mineola Garage 1	98.9%
Great Neck (A)	99.5%	Mineola Garage 2	98.7%
Great Neck (B)	99.2%	LONG BEACH	
Auburndale	99.1%	Lynbrook (A)	99.4%
Flushing (A)	99.2%	Lynbrook (B)	99.5%
Flushing (B)	98.1%	<b>CITY TERMINAL</b>	
RONKONKOMA		Atlantic Terminal 1	98.9%
Ronkonkoma (A)	99.3%	Atlantic Terminal 2	98.4%
Ronkonkoma (B)	99.5%	Woodside 415x	98.8%
Ronkonkoma (C)	99.3%	Woodside 418x	99.1%
Ronkonkoma Garage 1	99.5%	Woodside 419x	99.2%
Ronkonkoma Garage 2	99.4%	Penn 34S-ELV-P34 (2)	95.1%
Wyandanch 1	99.6%	Penn CEN-ELV-P-7	90.5%
Wyandanch 2	99.5%	Penn CEN-ELV-P-8	99.7%
Wyandanch 3	99.7%	Penn CEN-ELV-P-9	83.9%
Wyandanch A	99.0%	Penn CEN-ELV-P10	99.5%
Wyandanch B <sub>(1)</sub>	97.6%	Penn CEN-ELV-P11	98.4%
(1) Wyandanch B Elevator below goal due to warranty issues with the control valve, and door operator issues	e to warranty issues with the contro	ol valve, and door operator issues.	

wyandanich b Elevator Delow goal due to warranty issues with the control valve, and door op Penn Station data provided by Amtrak. Bad resistor, replaced motor and pump. Penn Station data provided by Amtrak. Door controller board issue. Penn Station data provided by Amtrak. Penn Station data provided by Amtrak. Penn Station data provided by Amtrak.

(5) (2) (3) (5) (5) (4) (5) (5) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (7)

(7) Penn Station data provided by Amtrak MTA Long Island Rail Road

## 2019 Passenger Elevator Availability



Definition: Availability measures the percent of time that a unit is running and available for customer service.

Availability		95.6%	%0.76	98.2%	93.7%	97.3%	9.6%	98.2%	91.8%	91.9%	96.7%	95.0%	95.5%	90.3%	98.8%	
Branch/Station	PENN STATION (13)	Penn 34S-ESC-34A (4)	Penn 34S-ESC-34B	Penn 34S-ESC-34C	Penn EXI-ESC-09E (5)	Penn EXI-ESC-10E	Penn EXI-ESC-10W	Penn EXI-ESC-7EW	Penn EXI-ESC-8EW (6)	Penn MG-ESC-011 (7)	Penn MG-ESC-1MG (8)	Penn MG-ESC-2MG (9)	Penn MG-ESC-3MG (10)	Penn MG-ESC-7MG (11)	Penn MG-ESC-8MG	Penn WEC-ESC-8WE <sub>(12)</sub>
Availability		97.9%		97.3%	97.5%		95.8%		98.5%							
Branch/Station	FAR ROCKAWAY	Valley Stream	PORT JEFFERSON	Hicksville A (W/B)	Hicksville B (E/B)	LONG BEACH	Lynbrook <sub>(3)</sub>	HEMPSTEAD	Floral Park							
Availability		97.3%	97.6%	97.1%	97.5%	98.3%	97.8%	96.5%	98.4%	93.9%	97.7%	97.9%	90.6%	97.7%	97.7%	
Branch/Station	BABYLON	Babylon A (W/B)	Babylon B (E/B)	Lindenhurst	Copiague	Amityville	Massapequa Park	Massapequa <sub>(1)</sub>	Seaford	Wantagh	Bellmore	Merrick	Freeport <sub>(2)</sub>	Baldwin	Rockville Center	

2019 Escalator Availability by Station (Goal 97%)

Penn MG-ESC-7MG below goal due to burned motor, vandalized steps, ESB Penn EXI-ESC-2MG handrails issues, emergency stop switch activation-ESB Penn 34S-ESC-1MG below goal due to handrail, drivebelt, vandalism, ESB Penn WEC-ESC-8WE was taken out of service for the Moynihan Project Penn EXI-ESC-3MG below goal due to controller issues, speed monitor (8) (9) (11) (11) (12) (13)

Penn Station data provided by Amtrak for existing/not refurbished units.

Penn EXI-ESC-34A below goal due to the vandalized handrail replacement and controller Lynbrook below goal due to warranty issues with speed deviation and controller issues Penn EXI-ESC-9E below goal due to broken track replacement, controller issues, ESB Freeport below goal due to scheduled step chain replacement and clean-down issues

Massapequa below goal due to emergency stop switch activation-ESB

(1) (2) (2) (2)

Penn EXI-ESC-8EW below goal due to emergency stop switch activation-ESB, controller issues

(5)

Penn ESB 011 below goal due to emergency stop switch activation-ESB, controller issues

MTA Long Island Rail Road

## **2019 Escalator Availability**



Definition: Availability measures the percent of time that a unit is running and available for customer service.
2019 Elevator Customer Injuries/Entrapments by Station

Entrapment	7	1	2	5	Ţ	ĸ	0	£	1	2	Ţ
Human Factor Injuries	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	1	0	0	0	Ο
Mechanical Injuries	0	0	0	ο	0	O	0	0	0	ο	0
Station Name	Atlantic Terminal	Auburndale	Babylon	Flushing	Great Neck	Hicksville	Jamaica	Mineola	Valley Stream	Woodside	Wyandanch

MTA Long Island Rail Road

Human Factor Injuries	œ	2	œ	1	1	2	14	2	2	1
Mechanical Injuries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Station Name	Babylon	Baldwin	Copiague	Floral Park	Jamaica Station (1)	Lindenhurst	Penn Station (2)	Rockville Centre	Valley Stream	Wantagh

# 2019 Escalator Customer Injuries by Station

# **Definitions:**

intoxication, caught between, etc. Entrapment is defined when customers are removed from an elevator with special tools or training. These events require Mechanical includes sudden changes in speed, handrail, alignment. Human Factor includes lost balance, encumbrances, Slip/Trip/Fall, pushed/shoved, intervention but not necessarily involve a customer injury.

Please note: These numbers are subject to change based upon additional customer injury reports and claims that may be received after the reporting period end date of December 31, 2019.
(1) Jamaica elevators and escalators maintains
(2) Penn Station elevators and escalators mair

Jamaica elevators and escalators maintained by the Port Authority Penn Station elevators and escalators maintained by Amtrak and Nouveau

### **Staff Summary**



Subject SPRING	TRACKWO	rk progr	AMS			Date March	3, 2020		
Departm		RESIDENT	– OPERATIO	NS		Vendor	Name		
	ent Head Na		211.1			Contra	ct Number		
Departm	ent Head Si	gnature				Contra	ct Manager Signature		
		Board A	Action				Internal App	roval	
Order	То	Date	Approval	Info	Other	Order	Approval	Order	Approval
1	LI COMM	3/23/20				3	VP - Corp Comm Chi	1	President
						2	CTO M		a.

### **PURPOSE:**

This is to inform the Long Island Committee of the MTA Long Island Rail Road's plan to adjust schedules for Concrete Tie Replacement in Ronkonkoma Station, State of Good Repair on the Atlantic Branch, and for Surfacing on the Montauk Branch.

### TRACK WORK PROGRAMS

Construction Activities (Short-term trackwork items requiring a special program)

- Ronkonkoma Branch Ronkonkoma Station Concrete Tie On the weekends of March 28-29, and April 4-5, new concrete ties will be installed at Ronkonkoma Station.
  - **Temporary Service Adjustments:** Buses will replace all train service between Brentwood and Greenport. Customers should expect additional travel time, and earlier westbound departures to allow connections with train service.
- Atlantic Branch Jamaica to Valley State of Good Repair Work On the weekends of April 18-19, April 25-26, May 2-3, and May 16-17, State of Good Repair Work will be performed between Jamaica and Valley Stream on the Atlantic Branch.
  - Temporary Service Adjustments: Buses will replace all train service at Locust Manor, Laurelton and Rosedale to/from Valley Stream where train service will resume. West Hempstead Branch service will also be replaced by buses between Valley Stream and West Hempstead. Additionally, schedule adjustments will be required on the Far Rockaway, Long Beach and Babylon Branches, with connecting Montauk Branch service adjusted accordingly.

- Montauk Branch Speonk-Montauk North Main & Accabonac Bridge Surfacing and State of Good Repair Work (Weekdays) – On the week of April 6-10, single main track will be out of service middays between Speonk and Montauk for Track Surfacing on the North Main & Accobonac Bridge, and for State of Good Repair Work to be performed.
  - **Temporary Service Adjustments:** With the single main track out of service middays between Speonk and Montauk, two eastbound and two westbound midday trains will be replaced with bus service. Additionally, one eastbound and one westbound South Fork Commuter Connection train will be replaced with buses.
- Montauk Branch Hampton Bays-Montauk North Main & Accabonac Bridge Surfacing (Weekdays) – On the week of April 13-17, single main track will be out of service middays between Hampton Bays and Montauk for Track Surfacing on the North Main & Accobonac Bridge.
  - **Temporary Service Adjustments:** With the single main track out of service middays between Hampton Bays and Montauk, two eastbound and two westbound midday trains will be replaced with bus service. Additionally, one eastbound South Fork Commuter Connection train will be replaced with buses, and one westbound train will originate in Hampton Bays rather than Montauk.
- Montauk Branch Babylon-Sayville Track Surfacing (Weekdays) On middays beginning April 20<sup>th</sup> through May 15th, one of the two main tracks will be out of service between Babylon and Sayville for Track Surfacing.
  - **Temporary Service Adjustments:** With one of the two main tracks out of service middays, three eastbound and three westbound midday trains will be replaced with bus service, and remaining trains will operate on adjusted schedules to preserve service patterns.

As part of our communication campaign for these service changes, public timetables will be issued, and additional information will be shared via our website, e-mail alerts, and social media messaging. Stay connected. Find real-time LIRR service status information on <u>www.mta.info</u>, by signing up for E-Alerts at <u>www.MyMTAAlerts.com</u>, or call the LIRR's Customer Service Center at 511 or 718-217-LIRR (718-217-5477).

### **IMPACT ON FUNDING**

Funding for these projects is contained in the Long Island Rail Road Operating and Capital budgets.

# 2019 Customer Satisfaction Survey

Long Island Rail Road



Overall Context	<ul> <li>Overall customer satisfaction increased to 80% from 76% in 2018</li> <li>Many important initiatives, such as the LIRR Forward program contributed to service improvements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In 2019, the LIRR undertook a record number of trackwork programs, signal improvements, major capital renewal projects (i.e. Main Line Expansion), and still managed to experience improved OTP, record ridership, reduced short trains, enhanced reliability – all impacting customer perceptions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Customer Satisfaction scores varied among branches: The completion of the Double Track project improved the customer experience and led the Ronkonkoma Branch to the largest increase among all branches</li> </ul>	Penn Station site conditions due to capital improvements impacted customer perceptions	<ul> <li>Train interior areas that historically scored very low, such as restrooms, are trending upward</li> </ul>	
-----------------	---	--	--	---	--	--









ഹ

	2017	2018	2019	2017 2018 2019 '19 vs.'18
LIRR Overall Score	77%	76%	80%	Ļ
Long Beach	81%	82%	87%	Ļ
Port Washington	81%	86%	87%	Ļ
Far Rockaway	81%	79%	85%	Ļ
Hempstead	%6 <i>L</i>	73%	84%	Ļ
Montauk	74%	67%	83%	Ļ
West Hempstead	77%	80%	82%	Ļ
Ronkonkoma	71%	59%	78%	Ļ
Babylon	71%	75%	78%	Ļ
Oyster Bay	74%	84%	77%	1
Huntington	75%	70%	75%	•
Port Jefferson	76%	78%	75%	+



Value for the Money Using the Railroad 2019 2019 76% 58% 26% 50% 16% 42% **Overall Schedule Of Trains** 2018 2018 71% 58% 21% 51% 15% 42% Bottom Area = Satisfied 57% 73% 2017 2017 18% 14% 55% 43% **-IRR Train Service** 2019 2019 Top Area = Very Satisfied 71% 75% 24% 24% 51% 47% **On-Time Performance** 2018 68% 2018 71% Availability of Seats 22% 46% 22% 49% 71% 2017 73% 2017 20% 51% 20% 54%

LIRR Employees - Courtesy and Responsiveness



 $^{\circ\circ}$ 

ig Stations and ation/Atlantic Terminal	Overall Atlantic Terminal	71%     84%     85%     81%       19%     18%     28%     22%       52%     66%     56%     59%	2019 2017 2018 2019
ation 1/Atl	tion	75% 21% 54%	2018
	Overall Penn Station	75% 16% 58%	2017
Boardin Penn St	tation	86% 32% 54%	2019
a d	Overall -IRR Boarding Station	82% 28% 54%	2018
	Overall LIRR Bo	84% 28% 56%	2017

Top Area = Very Satisfied Bottom Area = Satisfied

	on Building	76% 27% 50%	2019	79% 31% 48%	2019
	Cleanliness: Inside the Station Building	72% 23% 49%	2018 Parked Car	79% 28% 51%	2018 ied
UC	Cleanliness:	75% 23% 52%	2017 2018 Security of Parked Car	79% 26% 53%	2017 Bottom Area = Satisfied
rding Station		8 8 8	6 5	8 8 8	
	uc	80% 27% 53%	2019 & Shelters	79% 28% 51%	2019 2019 ery Satisfied
LIRR Boa	Maintenance of Station	76% 23% 53%	2017 2018 2019 Cleanliness: Platforms & Shelters	78% 25% 53%	Top Area = Very
	Maintena	78% 22% 55%	2017 Cleanlines	78% 22% 56%	2017 To
					E

10

	edules	_			Г			_			ſ	
	h Train Sche	88%	39%	48%	2019			82%	31%	51%	2019	
	Electronic/LED Signs with Train Schedules	86%	39%	47%	2018	curity		82%	30%	52%	2018	sfied
	Electronic/	88%	37%	51%	2017	Personal Security		83%	29%	53%	2017	Bottom Area = Satisfied
ation	_				Г		_				_	Bottom
ding St		86%	35%	52%	2019	ncements		%6/	32%	47%	2019	<sup>r</sup> Satisfied
LIRR Boarding Station	Jnage	85%	32%	53%	2018	<b>Quality of Audio Announcements</b>		/8%	30%	48%	2018	Top Area = Very Satisfied
	Station Signage	86%	29%	57%	2017	Quality of	i I I	%//	27%	51%	2017	To
					L		_				_	T

LIRR On-Board Conditions



LIRR Customer Communication





- Methodology Full Set of Attribute Ratings Survey



$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$
$\mathcal{O}$
$\bigcirc$
$\overline{O}$
Ō
0
$\square$
$\sum$

The LIRR survey used an onboard distribution methodology among a sample of trains. A total of 14,834 surveys were completed and tabulated, as follows:

		LIRR S	LIRR Survey	
	Total	AM Peak	AM Peak Off-Peak	Reverse Peak
Completed Surveys	14,834	11,277	2,968	589
Survey dates	Sept/Oct 2019	Sept/Oct 2019	Sept/Oct 2019	Sept/Oct 2019
Trains sampled (from original sample plan regardless of what trains respondents answered for)	112	80	24	ω

The sample selection allowed for maximum representation of lines while keeping data collection as cost efficient as possible

Survey data were first weighted to actual ridership levels within line segments by time period. Weighting survey data helps ensure representativeness of results.

Margin of error: ±0.84% at the 95% level of statistical confidence for a total satisfaction rating of 80% overall. The response rate was 64%.



# SERVICE ATTRIBUTE RATINGS 2015-2019



		TOT	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
1. Long Island Rail Road overall	82	84	LT	76	80
Your Home Boarding Station					
2. LIRR boarding station overall*	98	86	84	82	86
3. Personal security	85	84	83	82	82
4. Cleanliness inside the station building (excluding restrooms)	76	76	75	72	76↑
5. Maintenance of station	67	81	78	76	80
6. Station signage	87	88	86	85	86
7. Quality of audio announcements**	81	81	ĹĹ	78	791
8. Electronic or LED signs with train schedules	06	06	88	86	88
9. Availability of parking on weekdays	58	57	55	56	58
10. Security of your car while parked at the station	78	78	6 <i>L</i>	62	79
11. Cleanliness of platforms and outdoor shelters	67	79	78	78	791
*2019 wording change. Was previously "Your boarding station overall"					

\*\*2019 wording change. Was previously "Sound quality of audio announcements".

Master Page # 165 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

		TOT/	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Your Train (AM & PM)					
12. LIRR train service overall	82	82	9 <i>L</i>	75	80
13. On-time performance	78	79	73	71	75
14. Availability of seats	74	74	۱L	68	71
15. Condition of seats	75	75	71	73	75
16. Train interior maintenance – lights, floors, windows, etc. (excluding seats)	81	80	76	77	77
17. Personal security	88	88	85	85	85
18. Cleanliness of train interior (excluding restrooms)*	77	77	73	75	75
19. Onboard safety**	91	92	88	89	87
20. Value for the money using the railroad	61	62	57	58	58
21. Quality of audio announcements***	78	77	72	72	71
*2019 wording change. Was previously "Cleanliness of train's interior (excluding restrooms)"	excludi	ng restr	"(smoo		

\*\*2019 wording change. Was previously "Safety from train accidents". \*\*\*2019 wording change. Was previously "Sound quality of audio announcements". as previously 



Master Page # 166 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

 $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ 

	TC	TOTAL SATISFIED	SFIED	
2015	5 2016	6 2017	2018	2019
%	%	%	%	%
Courtesy and Responsiveness of Our Employees				
22. The overall courtesy and responsiveness of our employees	6 01	06	89	61
23. Conductors	92	92	61	426
24. Ticket Sellers	68 (	88	88	406
25. Customer Service Ambassadors 89	89	88	87	468
26. Personnel at Penn Station 85	85	83	80	85
27. Customer service call center representatives	82	78	79	83
28. MTA Police 87	89	86	84	88



		TOT/	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Train Scheduling					
29. Overall schedule of trains to and from your station*	78	<i>6L</i>	£ <i>L</i>	11	76
30. The weekday AM and PM peak schedule**	79	79	76	71	781
31. The weekday off-peak schedule***	76	75	۱L	68	76
32. The weekend schedule**	77	76	72	69	74
Communication					
33. Overall communication to you	82	81	76	75	77 <b>↑</b>
34. Overall communication during normal service conditions	86	85	82	80	821
35. Overall communication during unplanned service disruptions	69	68	62	64	<del>6</del> 3
36. Overall communication during planned service disruptions (track work, etc.)	80	79	74	73	72
*2019 wording change. Was previously "Overall schedule of trains".	visuoive	annen	לת קת	end of	attribut

\*\*2019 wording change. The words "to and from your station" were previously appended at end of attribute. \*\*\*2019 wording change. The words "to and from your station (including late night train service)" were previously appended at end of attribute.

20

		101/	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Communication During Unplanned Service Disruptions					
37. At your boarding station	70	67	62	65	66
38. On board your train*	71	69	64	67	68
39. At your destination station	74	71	99	68	69
40. At our website (www.mta.info/lirr)	76	73	68	69	72
41. Through the "Service Status" box on web	77	74	89	89	72
42. Via our email alerts (if you subscribe)	77	74	٤٦	69	73
43. Via our social media sites (Facebook/Twitter/Instagram)**	78	74	٤٩	69	То
44a. Through Train Information Tools: TrainTime App	77	74	69	71	761
44b. Through Train Information Tools: MYmta App	NA	NA	NA	NA	77
44c. Through Train Information Tools: MyLIRR.org	NA	NA	ΝA	NA	77
45. With the Automated Phone System (Schedules, Fares, etc.)	75	73	99	67	73
*2019 wording change. Was previously "On board your trains".		- E			

		TOT	total satisfied	FIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Destination Station					
46. LIRR destination station overall	81	67	76	76	74
47. Cleanliness of destination station/waiting room (excluding restrooms)	72	72	68	70	67 🗸
48. Personal security	82	80	78	ĹĹ	77
49. Signs providing directions*	85	86	83	83	79
50. Presence of MIA Police	84	84	82	82	81 🗸
51. Quality of audio announcements**	79	80	75	77	75
52. Electronic or LED signs with train schedules	87	88	86	85	83 🗸
*2019 wording change. Was previously "Signs providing directions to and from LIRR trains"	om LIRF	R trains			

2019 wording change. Was previously "Sound quality of audio announcements".

Master Page # 170 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

		101/	TOTAL SATISFIED	FIED	
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	%	%	%	%	%
Restroom Facilities*					
53. Boarding Station - Cleanliness of restroom	49	52	47	48	51
54. Boarding Station - Physical condition of restroom	54	55	51	50	54 🕇
55. On Board - Cleanliness of restroom	31	41	35	68	41
56. On Board - Physical condition of restroom	39	45	39	42	46 🕇
57. Destination Station - Cleanliness of restroom	46	48	40	42	44
58. Destination Station - Physical condition of restroom	51	50	43	45	46 🕇
Miscellaneous					
80. Your wireless service on board train	NA	NA	NA	NA	55
81. Your wireless service at your home station	NA	NA	NA	NA	76
85. MTA eTix	NA	NA	89	92	92
2015 data reflects scores only for clistomers who lised a restroom in the past 12 months	+ 10 mC	othc			

\*2015 data reflects scores only for customers who used a restroom in the past 12 months. 2016 data excludes station scores for West Hempstead customers.



Road	
I Rail	IT O V
g Island	INCITO ATOTA O DISCOTOLO
Long	CINC.
	E O I O

# 2019 CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY OVER THE PAST 12 MONTHS, HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH: OVER THE F

LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD OVERALL

ş	tow satisfied are you with:	Very	8	try Disabilied		Satisfied	8	2 B	Very Satisfied	N
	Long Island Rail Road overall	12	3	12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 NA	9	-	00	6	2	Ž
E.	YOUR HOME BOARDING STATION	ÿ	S1	F	ō	-				
ş	<ul> <li>satisfied are you with:</li> </ul>									
~	LIRR boarding station overall	12	3	4 5	9	67	00	6	910	
-	Personal security	12	3	45	9	~	0	B	910	ž
	Cleanliness inside the station building									
	(excluding restrooms)	12	0	45	9	~	00	6	9.10	Ň
	Maintenance of station	12	3	45	9	~	00	6	910	ž
	Station signage	12	3	45	9	1	00	6	12	ž
2.0	Quality of audio announcements	12	3	4 5	9	~	00	6	2	Ž
	Electronic or LED signs with train schedules	12	3	45	9	2	00	6	910	M
	Availability of parking on weekdays	12	3	4 5	6	1	00	c,	910	Ž
0	Security of your car while parked at the									

## 12 345 678 910 NA 812 345 678 910 NA ms and outdoor shelters 1.2 Station

		9 10 NA	Ž	M	Ž	0.10 NA	ž		ž	12 345 678 910 NA	Ž	678 910 NA	s			Ž	Ž	N	¥	N	
		2	2	2	2	9	2		2	2	2	2	н			2	2	2	2	910	
		6	5	5	5	a	0		-	6	6	0	N			6	6	-	0	5	
		8	00	00	00		00		00	00	~	80	2			00	00	00	-	00	
		~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~	~	α.			~	~	~	~	~	
		678	6	9	60	678	67		60	6	9	9	2			9	9	ω	6	67	
		5	5	5	ŝ	6	6		5	ŝ	5	12 345				345	50	5	S	9	
=		-1	-	-	-1		12		~	-1	-	-	ō			-	-1	10	-1	1	
2		3	12 345	3	5	12 345	3.4		3	3	3	3	S			3	3	3	3	3	
-25		2	2	$\sim$	N	0	2		04	N	2	2	ŝ			$\sim$	2	-	2	~	
5		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	Ħ			-	-	-	-		
YOUR TRAIN (AM & PM)	How satisfied are you with:	<ol><li>LIRR train service overall</li></ol>	<ol><li>On-time performance</li></ol>	14. Availability of seats	<ol><li>Condition of seats</li></ol>	<ol> <li>Train interior maintenance - lights, floors, windows atc. levelution seats)</li> </ol>	17. Personal security	18. Cleanliness of train interior (excluding	restrooms)	19. Onboard Safety	20. Value for the money using the railroad	21. Quality of audio announcements	COURTESY AND RESPONSIVENESS OF EMPLOYEES	How satisfied are you with:	22. The overall courtesy and responsiveness of	our employees	23. Conductors	<ol> <li>Ticket Sellers</li> </ol>	<ol><li>Customer Service Ambassadors</li></ol>	26. Personnel at Penn Station	27. Oustomer Service Call Center
	윤	54	55	4	5	9	12	20		13	22	2		운	2		23	24	25.	8	23

# 12 345 678 910 NA 12 345 678 910 NA NULING 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 NA . Personnel at Penn Station . Customer Service Call Center Representatives

28. MTA Police	12 345 678 910 MA	3	4	2	9	~	00	6	2	ž
TRAIN SCHEDULING	E	9								
How satisfied are you with:										
29. Overall schedule of trains to and from your										

station

Very Descripted Satisfied Very

# The weekday AM and PM preak schedule 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 910 NA The weekday off-preak schedule 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 910 NA The weekend schedule 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 910 NA The weekend schedule 12 3 4 5 6 7 910 NA

30. The w 31. The w 32. The w

12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 NA Overall communication to you.
 Overall communication during normal service conditions

12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 NA 12 345 678 910 NA Overall communication during unplanned service disruptions Service disruptions 36. Overall communication during planned service disruptions (track work, etc.)

Communication during unplanned service disruptions How satisfied are you with the information we give you about the length and cause of the

 Approximation
 12
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 38.
 Approximation environment and mode on a program of anticipation
 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 38.
 Approximation environment and mode on a program of anticipation
 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 39.
 Approximation environment and mode on a program of anticipation
 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 30.
 Attribut destination station
 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 41.
 Intrough the "Services Statis" force much 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 42.
 Via our errant alter (fi you subscribe)
 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 43.
 Through the "Services Statis" force much 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

 44.
 Through their Information Todis
 1.2
 34.5
 6.7.8
 910
 MA

12 345 678 910 NA umunu 45. With the Automated Phone System 45. With the Automated Phone System 50-bid lefs, Farts, etc.) 70 UR DESTINATION STATION 70 UR DESTINATION STATION 75 6 7

12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 NA Cleanliness of destination station/waiting LIRR destination station overall 47. Cleanliness of destination station/ver room (excluding restrooms) Personal security
 Signs providing dire

12 345 678 910 NA 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 NA **RESTROOM FACILITIES**  Signs providing directions
 Presence of MTA Police
 Usafty of audio announcements
 Electronic or LED signs with train chedules

how satisfied you are with:

workglace) and an antimetriversation workglace) and antimetriverse of traveling torfrom Arport visiting) and the please specify: visiting) 62. Is this address where you..?? (Select one answer only)  $\Box$  Live  $\Box$  Work  $\Box$  Shop ABOUT YOUR TRIP 63. What time did you leave this place? City/Borough/Town: \_

65. At what time is your train scheduled to depart from your boarding station today?

66. How did you get to your first Lifek station for this trip? (Select one answer - the Took the subway (please specify line: Took a taxi
 Took a rideshare service (Uber, Lyft, primery mode)

Drove alone and parked

Carpooled and parked (please
specify number of people in

car including yourself:

etc.) Took Air Train Rode a bicycle 1 Olther (please specify: Was dropped off
 Walked
 Took a bus (please specify

nule or bas number.

67. In the course of this Lifth trip, wild/dd you transfer between LFR trains to reach you frank desimitation.
Charlen of the course of the another LIPR train O ko, 1 wild/dd transfer to another LIPR train at ... (Please select station)

Other (please specify.

Jamaica

C Babylon

 Please tell us which are the 5 most important aspects of the LIRR service in rark order with item 1 being most important. IMPORTANCE OF SERVICE FACTORS

1-2 days per week
 <1 day per week, but >1 day per month
 1 day per month or less

 5 days per week
 3-4 days per week 6-7 days per week

How frequently do you travel on the LIRR?

89

B3. What type of LIPR tocket are you using today? (Select one answer only)
 Monthy UnitLot I on type task. I only licket I on Wy OH Pask. I on Chylicket
 Weeky.
 Weeky. The I family OH Pask. I Employee Pass.
 Weeky UnitLot I Servin OH Pask. Of the (Weeks specify.
 One Way Pask.
 With Describes Pass.

B0. What is the main purpose of **IMIS Info**? (Select one answer only)
 Communiting bufform work
 Depring a purpore work
 Depring a purpore of the selection of the

At the end of this trip, how will/did you get from your last LIRR station to your FINAL destination (i.e., office)? (Select all that apply)

20. At which station will you end this top today? (Select one arcswer only)
 24 mice attalow
 Attancia (Strondyn)
 Cuther (Decays station:
 Cuther (Decays specify station:
 Cuther (Decays specify station:

61. Where did you begin your trip today (e.g. home, work, school, etc.)?

State:

 Walk only
 Parked and will drive drive above Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Parked and will approximation of parket in Parked and will approximate of parket in parket service (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Parket are and approximation of parket in parket are indiring yourself.

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Review (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Review (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Review (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Review (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Review (luber, lyth, etc.)

 Bit Blobstane service (luber, lyth, etc.)
 Bit Review (luber, lyth, etc.)

Other (please specify.

AM / PM (Please circle AM or PM)

64. At what station did you board this train today? (Please write in station name)

Where is the final destination location of your trip today? (Not the LIRR train station, but for example your office location, etc.)

State:

73. What time will/did you arrive at your final destination? AM / PM (Please circle AM or PM)

Address/Nearest Intersection:

City/Borough/Town: Zip code:

AM / PM (Please circle AM or PM)

C Mineola

C Huntington

C Valley Stream

 At our website (www.mta.info/lirr)
 Other (please specify: 
 74. How do you typically receive your train information? (Select all that apply)

 76. Terrail Masts
 0 source and Masts:

 0 customer Service Call Center
 1 fracebook

 1 can information hose:
 0 initiate
 2 0 75. Do you currently carpool to your station? C TrainTime App MYmta App MyURR.org

76. If no, would preferred parking for carpooling be something you would take advanage of?  $\hfill\square$   $\hfill\square$ 

Please proceed to other side to continue the survey







Natural Resources Mining Contraction International Education Contraction Across Mean (Care Contraction Contraction Contraction Control Cont	Natural Resources Mining Ecources Mining Ecources Mining Ecources Mining Ecources Mining Fealth Services Mining Fealth Services Mining Conservations and Service Ministry Economics Mining Conservation Ministry Economics Ministry M	In Resources Mining In Services Mining In Services Mealth Carte In Services Mealth Carte In Services Mealth Carte In Mixan A metican or Minican A metican any task and the mexic helpitu many carter any approved the ministry and the mexic helpitu or any approved the mexic helpitu or any carter any approved the mexic helpitude a mexic a suppletions. Is and Sel Region D No prefer helpitude a suppletions. Is and Sel Region D S 550,000 on S57,499 I S 550,000 I	Characteristication       Closing and the public features Mining       Closing and Mining Education       Education and Admining Education       Education and Admining Education       Education and Admining Education       Constrained Administic and Education and Unlikes Services Education and Unlikes       Constrained Administic and Education and Unlikes Services and Windows and Mining Administry and Administry Administry and Constrained Mathematication       Constrained Administic and Education and Unlikes Services and Windows Education and Unlikes and Constrained Mathematication       Constra		Construction	
The constant of the second schedules and the constant of	Teudation     Teudation     Tendada Achiles     Constrment     Minib Shydos     Construct     Minib Shydos     Construct     Construct     Minib Shydos     Construct     Construct     Construct     Construct     Minib Shydos     Construct     Minib Shydos     Construct     Constre	Clandamon     Clandamon     Clandamon     Concentrent     Concent     Concentrent     Co	Concarnon		Natural Resources/Mining	<ul> <li>Leisure and Hospitality</li> </ul>
Treatm shorts remain data Financial Activities Covernment Covernment Covernment Covernment Covernment Covernment Pagian Nethigan American Pagian Nethigan American Pagian Nethigan American Pagian Nethigan American Menti Supuage would be most height Menti Supuage speeken in Denglash D	Treatm sources treatminute Treatminutes Towning Applied and the Towning Applied and the Town	□ Francis on construction     □ Francis on construction and Unlines       □ Francis Archites     □ Nonscale Trads       □ Who scale Trads     □ Who scale Trads       □ Who scale Trads     □ Who scale Trads       □ Who scale Trads     □ Other (specify:       0 Min (Targuage would fer most helpful to you but in resolving written of electrons in the trads of t	Treatin Synchronian Carlos     Treatin Synch Syn		coucation	Manufacturing
Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comparison Comment	Comment Comment Comment Comment Comment Pay voc Wholesain Tada Wholesain Tada Pay voc Mich Sampage would the most helpful Alstain Curfury Countries were? Count Alstain Curfury Countries were? Count Alstain Curfury Countries were? Count	□ Forencial Activities     □ Other (specify:       □ Covernment     □ Interscontand and unterse       □ Covernment     □ Interscontand and unterse       □ Nubble     □ White       □ White     □ Other (specify:       □ Nubble     □ Disk (specify:       □ Stain     □ Disk (specify:       □ Stain     □ Disk (specify:       □ Spatish     □ Nother space specify:       □ Spatish     □ Nother space specify:       □ Disk (spatish)     □ Nother space	Construction		realth Services/Health Care	
To ovariment     Townesse Trads     Teo Sup Structure     To Wholesse Trads     Teo Sup Structure     To Whole     T	To ovariment     Townesse i mask     ter you of Hspanic origin? □ Yes [         Townesse i mask         ter you         Townesse	Commention     Control of the stand of	□ Coveriment         □ Sole           □ Star you of Hispanic onlight?         □ Windowsei indo           □ Windowsei indo         □ Windowsei indo           □ Sol Kar you of Hispanic onlight?         □ Windowsei indo           □ Sol Kar Artican-American         □ Marke Havalian or of Hard Pacific Islandiu           □ Sol Kar Artican-American         □ Marke Havalian or of Hard Pacific Islandiu           □ Nubor:         □ Other (specify- Asian         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nu mat country/countries were?         □ Other (specify- Asian         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfancestors born:         □ LISA         □ Other (specify- Dibor:         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfancestors born:         □ LISA         □ Other (specify- Dibor:         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfancestors born:         □ LISA         □ Other (specify- Dibor:         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfancestors born:         □ LISA         □ Other (specify- Dibor:         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfances born:         □ Nut premiser         □ Nut be specify the nontroperations of Dibor:         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfances born:         □ Specify Dibor:         □ Specify Dibor:         □ Other (specify- Dibor:           ○ Nut premisfances born:         □ Specify Dibor: </td <td></td> <td>Inancial Activities</td> <td></td>		Inancial Activities	
any our Missian indo Car Wholesare indo Rev your Missian chigh? Tyes C Rev your Missian chigh? Tyes C Rev your Missian chigh? Tyes C Rev your Curranters/sector C Back on whote country/countries wee. 2 Back on whote country/countries wee. 2 Rev Lorm: CLSA Cur arents/sectors/sector CLSA Mich: Bayagage would be missi helpide Mich: Bayagage would be missi helpide Countries with URR personnel (e.g. un contrast helpide Contexs with URR personnel (e.g. un contrast helpide) Contexs with U	D Whotesaer rade tray you of Hispanic oright? Tytes [ are you. Bush or African-American ] Bush or African-American ] Bush or African-American ] Bush or African-American ] Uko bur. Amch Binguage would be most helpful electronically displayage mould be most helpful abstration for a periodical process with URR periodical process with LIRR personnel (e.g. b. c. Sharish ] D No periodical scheduction for a periodical process with URR periodical process with LIRR personnel (e.g. b. c. Sharish ] D No periodical Sharish ] D No periodical scheduction (e.g. b. c. Sharish ] D No periodical Sharish ] D No periodical for well of your sept transpectivent? D Nor World D Your Scheduction 25:500, 930 ] S150,000 25:500, 95:77,499 ] S150,000 25:500, 95:77,490 ] S1,400 25:500, 95:77,490 ] S1,400 25:500, 95:77,490 ] S1,400 25:500, 95:77,490 ] S1,400 25:500, 95:77,490 ] S1,500 25:500, 95:77,400 ] S1,500 25:500, 95:77,400 ] S1,500 25:500, 95:77,400 ] S1,500 25:500, 95:77	Click Are your Hispanic origin? This	37. Ale you of Histanic orbit? □ Yes □ Non     38. Are you:     30. More start of the proof of Histanic orbit? □ Yes □ No     38. Are you:     30. Invitat country/countres we?     30. Invitat country/countres we?     30. Not born:     30. Diver (speed)		Soverment	
the you of Nsyanic origin? □ Yes [ the you. of Nsyanic origin? □ Yes [ D Back or African-American □ A Sain much country/countries were? Lou born: □ LSA four prantisancestors born: □ LSA four prantisancestors born: □ LSA four prantisancestors born: □ LSA duration in the prantisancestors born: □ Sains Amoth simplage would be most helpfund activity and □ Avoiter 1 Arother 1 D Arother 1 D Arother 1 Arother 1 D Arother 1 Arother 1 Arother 1 D Arother 1 Arother 1 Arother 1 Arother 1 D Arother 1 Arother 1 D Arother 1 Arother 1 Aroth	the your of Nsyamic origin? □ Yes [ the your of Nsyamic origin? □ Yes [ Bioly or African-American □ A Kain Muhate and the analysis of the analysis of the analysis out both: and the analysis of the anal	37. Are you of Hspanic origin? ("I'S: DNO     37. Are you of Hspanic origin? ("I'S: DNO     37. Are you of Hspanic origin? ("I'S: DNO     38. Are you: DNO     38. Are youthered preseated one     38. Are you one     38. Are yout one	8. Are your of Hestanic origin? □ Yes □ No 9. Are your and Hestanic or of Hestanic or Ataska Native 1. Variation of Ataska Native 1. Variation of Ataska Native 1. Askin and country/countres were			
a wyu:     a wyu	Whu:         2 Whu:           D kein         1 Liston American           D kein         1 Liston           n what country/countries were?         1 Liston           Amontain         1 Liston           D Spanish         1 Another I           Amontain         2 Nother I           D Spanish         1 Another I           Amontain         2 Nother I           D Spanish         1 Another I           D Not well         D Not well           D Not well         2 Not well           D Not well         <	B. Ara yuc:	B. Are yut:		If Hispanic origin?	
Whee         □           Develope         □           Selan         □           Astan         □           Astan         □           Astan         □           Astan         □           Astan         □           Astan         □           Cu born:         □ Skats           Mich language would the most helpfunction for bectonically displayed information for Display.         □ No prefix           Sharesh         □ No prefix         □ Avablet           Display         □ No prefix         □ No prefix           Display         □ No prefix <td>White     White       Black for African-American     Black for African-American       Astain     Astain       Astain     Maket Supparent for African-American       Astain     LLSA       Kou born:     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Kou parter     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Spanish     LLA porteral for the parter and the most helpful for the parter and the parter and the most helpful for the parter and the parter andit parter and the parter andit parter and the parter and the part</td> <td>□ Write     □ Write       □ Nut     □ Buck or Mitcan-American     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Buck or Mitcan-Minetican     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Nut     □ Lish     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       0. Mitch Bangage would be most height uf you hand receiving written or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       10. Mitch Bangage would be most height uf you to understand amouroaments or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Spanish     □ Not whether most height uf you to understand amouroaments or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Spanish     □ Not whether mutch height uf you to understand amouroaments or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ English     □ Ruther Hanguage Ruther Hanguage Ruther Hanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Hanguage Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders       □ English     □ Ruther Hanguage Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ English     □ Ruther Islanguage Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Isl</td> <td>□ White         □ White         □ Nonician float or Aladids kindling or Aladids kindling with a comprehension or other factic Islande           □ Asian Minit country/countres were?         □ Other (specify- Nou bour:         □ Other (specify- pecific Islande)           00. Minich Inaugape would be mark Hepfold to you when receiving written or electronically displayed information from the LRF?         □ Other (specific)           □ Diversity and the mark Hepfold to you to understand arrouncomments or conneces with LIRB personal (a), to ask for transl displaying personal □ Town with a the personal (a), to ask for transl displaying (specify- □ Town with a the primary language spotent in you to nonestand □ Network is the primary language spotent in you for nonestand □ Network (a) = □ None is in your forme?           10. Nonch Inaugape (specify- □ Town will do you specific field = □ None is in your forme?         □ So more □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ N</td> <td>Are</td> <td>100r.</td> <td></td>	White     White       Black for African-American     Black for African-American       Astain     Astain       Astain     Maket Supparent for African-American       Astain     LLSA       Kou born:     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Kou parter     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Kon Saman     LLSA       Spanish     LLA porteral for the parter and the most helpful for the parter and the parter and the most helpful for the parter and the parter andit parter and the parter andit parter and the parter and the part	□ Write     □ Write       □ Nut     □ Buck or Mitcan-American     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Buck or Mitcan-Minetican     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Nut     □ Lish     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       0. Mitch Bangage would be most height uf you hand receiving written or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       10. Mitch Bangage would be most height uf you to understand amouroaments or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Spanish     □ Not whether most height uf you to understand amouroaments or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ Spanish     □ Not whether mutch height uf you to understand amouroaments or     □ Ruther Handian or other Pacific Islanders       □ English     □ Ruther Hanguage Ruther Hanguage Ruther Hanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Hanguage Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders       □ English     □ Ruther Hanguage Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ English     □ Ruther Islanguage Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanguage Ruther (amour or other Pacific Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders       □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Islanders     □ Ruther Isl	□ White         □ White         □ Nonician float or Aladids kindling or Aladids kindling with a comprehension or other factic Islande           □ Asian Minit country/countres were?         □ Other (specify- Nou bour:         □ Other (specify- pecific Islande)           00. Minich Inaugape would be mark Hepfold to you when receiving written or electronically displayed information from the LRF?         □ Other (specific)           □ Diversity and the mark Hepfold to you to understand arrouncomments or conneces with LIRB personal (a), to ask for transl displaying personal □ Town with a the personal (a), to ask for transl displaying (specify- □ Town with a the primary language spotent in you to nonestand □ Network is the primary language spotent in you for nonestand □ Network (a) = □ None is in your forme?           10. Nonch Inaugape (specify- □ Town will do you specific field = □ None is in your forme?         □ So more □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 = 0 or 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 or mean □ Network (a) = 0 or mean □ N	Are	100r.	
D Buok or Alfrican-Annerican → Annerican → Anner	Busk or Alfican-Ahmerican □     Sam	Construction	Back or Artican-American			
A hand a model of the specify	B. I Akisian     Control/countries were. 2     Wu bori:     Wu to Karents were. 2     Warents were and the match regist to Drafe (specify-     English     Drafest     Dra	Chick (Specify- Not Lotin: You Lotin: You Lotin: You Lotin: Not Lotin: Development: D	B. In what account's event. 3     Conter (specify-         Vou born:         Vou born:         Other (specify-         Other (		or African-American	
No under country/countries avear.	No lin what country/countres week     Disk       No under country/countres week     Disk       No no predistances benn.     Disk       Order layongas would be mast helpful by our when or exching written or exching systems     Disk       Display     Disk of the mast helpful by our understand amourcoments or connects with thish personnel (e.g. http://www.intex.org/persor/persor/persor)       Display     Display for the program of exching helpful by our understand amourcoments or connects with thish personnel (e.g. http://www.intex.org/persor/persor)       Display     Display for the program of exching helpful by our understand amourcoments or connects with thish personnel (e.g. http://www.intex.org/persor/persor)       Display     Display for the program of exching helpful by our understand amourcoments or connects with thish personnel (e.g. http://www.intex.org/persor/persor)       Display     Display for the program of exching helpful by our understand amourcoments or connects with the program of exching the program of exching the program of exching helpful by our understand amourcoments or connects o	90. In whet: 10. Much country/countries wee? 10. Much representation from the LRFA English displaye would for mark helpful by own that receiving written or electronicarly displayed intermisting the any under tangage (specify: 2 Spariet) — Devote tangage would for mark helpful by you to understand arrounconnerts or corress with LRF personnel (e.g. to ack travel directions)? 2 Spariet) — Devote tangage specify: 2 Spariet) — Devote tangage (specify: 2 Devote tangage) = Space (spariet) = Spariet) = Space (spariet) = Space (spariet) = Space (spariet) = Spariet) = Space (spariet) = Space (spariet) = Space (spariet) = Spariet) = Spari	No Lom:     Differ (\$\$ee30)- No Lom:       No paints'ancestors born.     DLSA     Other (\$\$ee30)- DLSA       No paints'ancestors born.     DLSA     Other (\$\$ee30)- DLSA       Row hour hargaper stagent in the mitter of electronicity (\$\$ef3ept in from the LFR? Elegish.     D Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.       Data ish English.     D Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.     D Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.       Data ish English.     D Noroller language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.     D Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.       Data ish English.     D Noroller language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.     D Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.       Data ish perimeny classification of more service strand English.     D Noroller language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.     D Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DLSamish.       Data is the function of the perimenois of the in your from the LFR Arother language (\$\$eo50)- DL arother annal form rangeode her in your from enable (DA. Inbudding yourset). Informating the mit of the asser ontyl (DA. Inbudding yourset). Informating from the test and the second of the information in any of the asser ontyl (DA. Arother annal form expondente annal form expondent D. 12, 2003;57,489     D \$\$00,000;54,489       D 12, 2003;57,489     D \$\$00,000;54,489     D \$\$00,000;54,489       D 12, 2003;57,489 <t< td=""><td></td><td>sian C</td><td></td></t<>		sian C	
Non the observed the most height in you when receiving written or the propertion statistic statistic statistic speak/p- ending and statistic statistic speak/p- ending and statistic statistic speak/p- ending and statistic statistic speak/p- ending and statistic speak-p- ending and statistisprending and statistic speak-p- ending and statistis	Vou nom: Vou pranents/annesters born: □LSA □ Other (specify: Vour pranents/annesters born: □LSA □ Other (specify: electronically displayed information mile Lift): English: □Nother tempage (specify: Sparish: □Nother tempage (specify: Sparish: □Nother tempage (specify: English: □Nother tempage (specify: English: □Nother tempage (specify: English: □Nother tempage (specify: □Sparish: □Nother tempage (specify: □Stanish: □Nother tempage (specify: □Nother start): Sparish: □Nother starts (sparish): □Nother starts (sparish): □Nother starts): □Nother start): Sparish: □Nother starts): □Nother start): □Nother start): Nother star	Wou premissions born:         DLSA         Other (speady: (row premissions) visition in the LRN:         Other (speady: (row premission) visition in the LRN:         Other (speady: (row premission) visition in the LRN:         Display visition in the Visition in the LRN:         Display visition in the Visition in the LRN:         Display visition in the Visition in the Visition in the Visition is the Visition in the Visition is the Visition in the Visition	Wu born:         Other (specify:           Yuu born:         Ding (specify:           100 Mirch Isnguage would te most hepful to you when reconsing written or electronicably displayed information in the Liffy:         English           101 Mirch Isnguage yould te most hepful to you when reconsing electronicably displayed in provide the most hepful to you when reconsing or converses with Lifty personnel (a, b, ack for trade displaying performancy)         Devolute tanguage (specify:           101 Mirch Isnguage yould te most hepful to you to understand amouncoments o converses with Lifty personnel (a, b, ack for trade displaying performancy)         Devolute tanguage (specify:           102 Mirch Isnguage yould the most hepful to you to understand amouncoments o converses with Lifty personnel (a, b, ack for trade displaying performancy)         Devolute tanguage (specify:           103 Mirch Isnguage yould the most hepful to you to most Statish         Devolute tanguage (specify:         Devolute 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000		untry/countries wer	
OW Morth larguage would be match D Other (geody)- Control larguage would be match right in you when receiving written or electronically displayed information from the LIRFT English D rothon the match right in you when receiving written or electronically displayed information from the LIRFT English D rothon the match right in you with receiving match English D rothon the match right in you with receiving the end- English D rothon the insupage (geody)- English D rothon the iny our from the LIRFT D Roth is the premoval of the match right (from the English D rothon the iny our from the D rothon the iny and right (from the D Rothon the iny our from the D Rothon the premoval of D Rothon the premoval of D Rothon the D Rothon the	00. Which transitions born. TLL Other (geod):- 01. Which transition from the LRP? Explort in Control for the LRP? Explort in Control for the transition (geod):- Explort in Control for the match length is you to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS transition and the match length is you to undestand amouncoments of controls with LRP personnel (e.g. and set for the directions)? English Control for the match length is you to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS transition and the match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS transition and the match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The match length is your to undestand amouncoments on CONNICIS The second amount is a match length in your through precify CONNICIS TAS 2000 CONS 253, 2630 CONS 254,	Total Service South Carlos Dorn: Club, Club Repeady- club Michot Inguage would the match length in your in necesing written or electronically displayed intermation from the LRPR Club Michot Inguage would the match length in your in necesing Spanish.       Clym Michot Inguage Space South Club Michot Inguist in Club Spanish.     Clym Michot Inguige Space South Club Michot Inguist in Necessary Club Michot Inguage Space South Club Michot Inguist in Necessary Club Michot Inguistry and Rest Inguistry.       Clym Michot Inguistry Space South     Clym Michot Inguistry (Space South Club Michot Inguistry (Space South Space South Club Michot Inguistry (Space South Space	100. Which lengtage would be mad height in you when receiving written or electronically displayed intermation from the LBFP English D Andria transpace yould be mad height in you to understand announcements on CONNech lengtage would be mad height in you to understand announcements CONNech lengtage would be mad height in you to understand announcements CONNech lengtage would be mad height in you to understand announcements CONNech lengtage would be mad height in you to understand announcements CONNech lengtage you have the intervence CONNech lengtage you have the intervence CONNech lengtage you would be mad height you to understand CONNech lengtage you have the intervence CONNech lengtage you would be mad height you to understand CONNech lengtage you would be any other CONNech lengtage you would be not your house of the CONNech lengtage you would be not your house of the CONNech lengtage you would be not your house of the CONNECH and you would be not hour house of the your house CONNECH and you would be not your house of the any other CONNECH and be not here and house you includ on which we can do to intraction with the you are not stated with our pleavor here any hour house here and house runders. Through Especial diverts your input on what we can do to intraction with the stated and why below. Here any here researd projects, through the providen your and all here numbers, hour an agreeing to noolive annearies or service suggestions.	Nou		
And the second term call behavior of the network written of detrotricit largage and the mark heat function the LIRP? English Carlows and the mark heat function the LIRP? English Changage work to mark heat in you to understand amouncoments or converse with LIRP personnel (ap. to sak for travel directions)? English Changage work to mark the call of the	And the long learning free many free many mitter of exchanges yields and the match transfor from the LRP? exchanges which learning from the LRP? Explore information from the LRP? Explore the annual or from the LRP? Explore the annual properties of the annual transformation from the LRP? Explore the annual properties of the annual transformation of the annual transformation from the LRP? Explore the annual transform in your from the LRP? Explore the annual transform of t	(e) Minch language would be mark head fully by un when eceiving written of electronicity fissiblenet information from the LFR? electronics for a concrease with LFR personalion from the LFR? electronics for a concrease with LFR personalion from the LFR? electronics for a concrease with LFR personalion for a concrease with LFR personalion gas a sex for travel denotions for a concrease with LFR personalion gas a concrease with the mark is the transformed gas a concrease gas a concrease with LFR personalion gas a concrease with LFR personalion gas a concrease with the LFR personalion gas a concrease with a specific data gas a concrease show a concrease with a specific data gas a concrease show a concrease of a concrease and a concrease with such a specific data gas a concrease show a concrease of a concrease show a concrease of a concrease and a concrease of a concrease and a concrease of a concrease of a concrease and a concrease of a concrease and a concrease of concreases, vua are a gareed in participating of nuture LFR market research projects. The concrease of concreases, our are or concreases of concreases, our are or concreases of concreases. A concrease of concreases of concreases of concreases. A concrease of concreases of concreases of concreases. A concreases of concreases of concreases of concreases of concreases of concreases. A concrease of concreases of concreases of concreases. A concreases of concreases of concreases of concreases. A concrease of c	Of example of the most hereach frequent by own denotements or examinary displayer information from the LFR? Examples (speach): — English — Divorther language (speach): — Stanish — Divorther language (speach): — Stanish — Divorther language (speach): — Divorther language (spea	Your	parents/ancestors born: 🗆 US	A Dother (specify:
Englands         Control restructions in one cuerts           Englands         Devolver Englange (pp. 2007)-           England         Devolver Englange (pp. 2007)-           England         Devolver Englange (pp. 2007)-           Classifier         Devolver Englange (pp. 2000)-	English	Total Standard         Total Standard           English         Dispetitencos           English         Dispetitencos           English         Dispetitencos           English         Spanish           English         Spanish           English         Spanish           English         Spanish           English         Spanish           Explore Isngarge Speen?         English           Spanish         Envolve Isngarge Speen?           English         Explore IsngargeSpeenglish           English	English     English     English     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)     Connerse mathematication anometation of travel directions     Connerse mathematication and travel directions     Satistic (Cons 519, 939     Connerse mathematication and travel we can do to introve.     If you are address, you are aquedions     for a cannerse or subjections     for an era address, you are aquedions     for an era address, you are aqueding to receive mathematication     for address, you are appresition     for address, you areaddress, you are appresing to recorrevelowed     fo	100.Whit	In language would be most help winically dismanded information	Mul to you when receiving written or from the LIDDP2
(1) Mich language would be match height its you to understand announcements or converse with Life presonating (e.g. to ack for traved directions)? Converses with Life presonating (e.g. to ack for traved directions)? English Development (e.g. to ack for traved directions)? English Development (e.g. to ack for traved directions)? Cat Miral Is the primary language spoken in your forme? Cat Miral Is the primary language spoken in your forme? Cat Miral Is the primary language spoken in your forme? Cat Miral Is the primary language spoken in your forme? Cat Miral Is the primary language spoken in your forme? Cat Miral Is the primary language spoken in your forme? Cat Miral Is your approximate annual household? Cat I and a sourt approximate annual household? The use and statelised house diversity your input on what a can do to inprove. Through Statel Bread wants your input on what a can do to inprove. Through Statelised with our presonal algority and annual the areas in quaditar cat the areas of the areas of an areadon's through any differ comments or services suggestions.	Controls fraggape would be match befull (in you to understand arrouncoments or connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) ask for traved (re-chons)? English Dependent (eq. 1) ask for traved (re-chons)? Connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) ask for traved (re-chons)? Connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) ask for traved (re-chons)? Connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) ask for traved (re-chons)? Connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) ask for traved (re-chons)? Connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) ask for travel (re-chons)? Connerse with LRR personnel (eq. 1) and travel (re-chons)? Connerse (re-chons) (re-chons)? Connerse (re-chons) (re-chons)? Connerse (re-chons)? Conn	Converse with the mest height if you to understand amouncoments or converse with the personal (e.g. to ask for travel directions)?     English □ No preference.     Converse with the Reprint any appendix properticity of the print of the personal (e.g. to ask for travel directions)?     Converse with the Reprint □ Norther language (specify-     □ Spatiat) □ Norther language (specify-     □ Spatiat) □ Norther language (specify-     □ Norther language (spe	Characterial     Converse with LFR personnels to environments on converse with LFR personnels the privation and performance of converses with LFR personnels on any acceleration announcements on converses with LFR personnels on a converse with LFR personnels on a converse with LFR personnels on the performance and announcements on converses with LFR personnels on Annother language (specify-		nglish D Anothi	r language (specify:
Minch language would be most height in you to understand amouncoments or converse with LRR personnie (a), a hardine tenziones (periody- English) — Audine tenzione (periody- Spatish) — Disopretierco: Spatish — Disopretierco: CR Wait is the primary language spoken type of the CR Wait is the primary language spoken type of the CR Wait is the primary language spoken through the con- center of the construction of the construction of the Linguage spoken in your household from the CR Wait is your approximate annual household from the Sciologic Science spots in the Sciologic Science Science Science Science and the CR The Linguage spoken with your myour on where it you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questors of the unpistal Rinear Wait Weitwich Peaces also include any other comments or service subgradions.	10. Which Bangage world te mits helds the your functional announcoments or converse with LIRA personnel (e.g., 1b sak for travel directions)? English English — Devotine Innyation (precision) CR2 Martis the primary language specien in your hum? English — Dispatch and the your human process of the primary and the primary species of the primary and the primary species of the primary and and the primary species of the primary species of the primary and the primary species of th	101. Mir/ch language would for next height (in you to understand amouncoments or converses with LRR personnel (e.g. to ask for travel directions)? Children Statistical Diverse international provider language speady. Diverse the primary language speader in your householdry or statistical Diverse the primary language speador. Diverse the primary language speader in your householdry or set in the primary language speador. Diverse the primary language speador at all tot. Another language (speadyr. Diverse the primary language speador at all tot. Another language (speadyr. Diverse the primary language speador at all tot. Another annual house hour householdry or set in the structure and the structure structure structure structure and individual provision of the intersection of the intervent of the structure structure structure and the structure structure and the structure structure structure and the structure structure structure structure structure structure structure structure structure structure. If you would be intersected in participating in future language structure structure structure structure.	In Which lengage would be most begin uf you to undestand amouncements of contenses with LIRR personnel (e.g., to safe for travel discriptors)? Converses with LIRR personnel (e.g., to safe for travel discriptors)? TEnglish Drove lide portage profinent in your for home? TICL How well do your state for the your home? Discription Drove lide portage lide in your home? Discription Discription Drove lide prove. Discription Discription Discri			ference
converse with UHR personnel (e.g. to ask for trave data converse with UHR personnel (e.g. to ask for trave data converse with a large several prover forme? The several many length of the several prover forme? And is the primary large several prover forme? The several prove of the several prover travel of the several provement of the severa provement of the several provement of the several pro	To converse with UHR personnel (e.g. to ask for the converse with the personnel (e.g. to ask for the personnel (e.g. to ask for the personnel (e.g. the primary language (spand)). The primary language (spand) what is the primary language (spand). The primary person in your home? To make the primary (e.g. the primary (	The concess with URP personnel or a back for travel dread/onsig/ Concress with URP personnel or a back for travel dread/onsig/ Cas Marsis Cas Marsis	Comress with LRR personnel (a), the sak or traver directions// English  Spanish  Devote the structure insurgange spear/by- CR Mark is the primary insurgange spear(h) is your from and CR Mark is the primary insurgange spear(h) is your from and TRY well and Well and Well Mark Hanglage (spear)/ TRY well and Well Mark Mark Hanglage (spear)/ TRY well and TRY well Mark Hanglage (spear)/ TRY well and TRY well Mark Mark Mark Hanglage (spear)/ TRY well and TRY well Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	101.Whic	th language would be most help	iful to you to understand announcements or
English         □ Another langape (\$\$eeo?)           CSpatish         □ No preference           Dinglish         □ No preference           Dinglish         □ No preference           Dinglish         □ No preference           Dinglish         □ No preference           Diverse         □ No preference           Diverse         □ Notal           Diverse         □ Notal           Diverse         □ Notal           Diverse         □ Notal           Diverse         □ Section	Tonjski – Okonter kny paraper (spear) Spanish – Okonter kny paraper (spear) Mart is http primary language spearen ihor vary fow well do you spank English? – A worther language (s) www.ell do you spank English? – Northery well anburd yoursel. – Northery well mound yoursel. – Old – Northery well anburd yoursel. – Old – Storo – Storo Storo Storo Mart Is your approximate armual household income? (s Mart Is your agent a staffsled with our on wartwort you are not satisfield with our on wartwort you are not satisfield with our performance in any dt i through is, please explain why below. Please also in comments or satisfield with our performance in any dt	English       Divolpte language (specify- Calibit)       Divolpte/language (specify- Calibit)       Divolpte/language (specify- Calibit)       Divolpte/languag	English             In More preferences                 Constrain             Think preferences                 Constrain             Think preferences                 Constrain          Another language (specify- thou well down grout home?)                 Diversels, how many process, how many process, how many process, how many process the in your home?                 Out Anodong yourses, how many process proben in your home?              Out anodong yourses, how many process the propertion of the another annual home?                Out Anodong yourses, how many process the propertion of the another properties and process the propertion of the another annual home?              Solution 0.5439 globes               Other Division School Schol School Schol Schol School School Schol Schol School	NUCO	erse with LIRR personnel (e.g. 1	o ask for travel directions)?
D sparse in a primer language spoken in your home? T singish □ Spansish □ Another kinguage (sp 1 we well ob you sparse tringish □ Another kinguage (sp 1 we well ob you sparse tringish □ Mat we well mounding yoursek. how many people then in your house multi syour approximate amound in your (sp 1 ± 2 ± 3 ± 3 ± 4 ± 3 ± 6 ± 7 ± 8 ± 2 ± 3 ± 1 ± 2 ± 2 ± 3 ± 2 ± 3 ± 3 ± 3 ± 3 ± 3 ± 3	Dispatish Display language speler in your home? Tergish □ Spatish □ Another language (you will you you home? 0 www in your space trugber. □ Net your your 0 wey will □ Net □ Net □ Net 1 = 0 Net your will 0 wey your spectrometar annual household income? 1 - 2 = 1 - 3 = 1 - 5 - 6 = 7 - 8 = 7 + 8 = 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 +	Click Statistical Chooper In your Dimer Click Mesis is the primery language system in your Dimersence (II OHW well Diverse Figures T II Yey well Diverse T II Yey well Diverse T II Yey well Diverse Figures T II Yey well Diverse	TO Spanish     D No preference       TO Mari Is the primary language spoten in your home?       English     D Spanish       D English     D Monther Inhyuage (specify- (ICI) How well doyoraster. English T       D Mari Is the primary language spoten in your home?     D Monther Inhyuage (specify- cif)       D Mari Is your approximate armual household income?     D Monther Inhyuage (specify- cif)       D Mari Is your approximate armual household income?     D S mone       D 1-2     D 3-4     D 9 mone       D 1-3     D 3-6     D 200000 or more       S 55:000 537, 439     D 55:0000541, 439     D 50:0000 or more       D 1-4     S 75:5000 54:09.99     D 50:00000 or more       D 1-5     D 3-6     D 30:0000 or more       D 1-6     S 75:5000 54:09.99     D 50:00000 or more       D 1-7     D 30:0000 54:099     D 50:00000 54:099       D 1-8     S 75:5000 54:09.99     D 50:00000 or more       D 1-8     D 30:0000 54:099     D 50:0000 54:099       D 1-12     D 30:0000 54:099     D 50:0000 54:099       D 1-12     D 30:0000 54:099     D 50:00000 54:099       D 1-12     D 30:0000 54:099     D 50:00000 54:099       D 30:0000 54:0900 10000 54:0900 10000 54:0999     D 50:0000 54:099       D 30:0000 54:0900 100000 54:0999     D 50:00000 54:0999       D 30:0000 54:0900 10000 54:0999			sr language (specify:
Matis the primery language devent in your home? English. □ Spanish. □ Another language (k low wild in you strake English? □ Very Wall □ Very Wal	Matis the phonen in your home? D forgish — D spanish — D Anohter language (s fow wild on you sprake ingist? D very wall moluding yourself, how many pcore live in your house) moluding yourself, how many pcore live in your house D very wall D very	102 Mvart is the primary language spoken in your houne? 103 How well or your space spoken in your house? 103 How well or your space finglish 104 Muchting yourset. In with any well in your houseshold? 104 Muchting yourset. In with any well in your houseshold? 104 Muchting yourset. In with any species on an answer only 105 Muchting yourset. In the species of the yourset of the species of	102 Mind is the primery isopage sponden in your hours? (103 How well only on sprake kng/str? (103 How well only on sprake kng/str? (104 Houbdrdg yourself, how many people lee in your household? (104 Houbdrdg yourself, how many people lee in your household? (105 What is your approximate amual hour household? (105 What is your approximate amual hourself) (104 Houbdrdg yourself, how many people lee in your household? (105 What is your approximate amual hourself) (104 Houbdrdg yourself) (100 Houbdrdg your your approximate amual hourself) (100 Houbdrdg your approximate amual hourself) (100 Houbdrdg your gene) (150 What is your approximate a first) (100 Str3, 499 Hourself) (100 Str3, 499 Ho	S	panish 🛛 🗤 pre	ference
Langlar D spansfi D Annther language (s) drow well droy us spansk English? D Very well D Mell D Mc very well multurin yours (how rany transmission than the D 1-2 D -3 -4 D -5 G - 7 -8 D -7 -8 D - 1 -2 D -1 -3 -4 D -5 -5 D -7 -8 D - D Mart S your approximate annul household in home? (s) D Mart S your approximate annul household in hour house 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 3 -4 D -5 -6 D -7 -8 D - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 3 -4 D -5 -6 D -7 -8 D -2 -5 -0 D -2 -8 D -2 -5 -0 D -2 -8 D -2 -2 -5 -0 D -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	Torgian C aparesis – A worther language fol- drow well do yu spank English? — A worther language fol- auburd y yvell — 1 Well — Not very well muburd yver approximate annual household income? ( Mrat is yvur approximate annual household income? ( Mrat is yvur approximate annual household income? ( Mrat is yvur approximate annual household income? ( 25:2,000;54:0,99 — 15:50,000;51:90;999 = 25:200;54:0,99 — 15:50,000;51:90;999 = 25:200;54:0,99 — 15:50,000;51:90;999 = 10:10 are not satisfield with our performance in any di t through 58, please explain why before. Please also in comments or satisfield with our performance in any di t through 58, please explain why before. Please also in comments or satisfield with our performance in any di t through 58, please explain why before.	Clargent Dispatch Danibler danglage (specify. Dispatch Dispatch Danibler of New Verlin Diversibility of at all Divervell of vorsgeak Englant Diversibility in our household income? (Select one answer only Divervised Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch (Select one answer only Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch (Select one answer only Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch Dispatch (Dispatch Dispatch	Tugstan "Spansen" — Another language (specory.     Tugstan "Spansen" — Another language (specory.     Tuck how well on your spanses English?     Tuck how well on your spanses (not well and well and the injour household)     Tuck house and the injour household more and the injour house and the injour house and the any other comments or service suggestores.     Through 56, please while your remain domes, you are agreeing in roceive house and domes, you are agreeing in roceive house and domes.     Through 56, please while your remain domes, you are agreeing in roceive house the and when where a non-domestic more and the any other comments or service suggestores.     Street Adviser you are agreeing in roceive house the any other comments or service suggestores.     Through 56, please and an three units on the initiation will be any other comments or service suggestores.		primary language s	n in your home?
To were well by spack tragitary 2 Year well by spack tragitary molucing yourself, how many people live in your housel molucing yourself, how many people live in your housel 1 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 + 8 - 6 mat syour approximate annual household income? (A Mat is your approximate annual household income? (A Mat is your approximate annual household income? (A mat syour approximate annual household income? (A mat syour approximate annual household income? (A mat syour approximate annual household income? (A 1 house) statistication (A = 10 + 10 + 10 + 10 + 10 + 10 + 10 + 10	Ore well op, spack tragistry to well own your spack tragistry nobuding yourself, how many people live in your housel on 1-2 is 1-3 4	10.1 More well on yurst periode he in your household? 10.1 More yeal and the second more periode he in your household? 10.1 Anolding yourset, how many periode he in your household? 10.1 Anolding yourset, how many periode he in your household? 10.1 House 312:000 TS:000.0591.4999 15:000.000 or more 10.5 12:500 8547.4999 15:00.000 5:14.9999 15:000.000 5:99.999 10.5 12:500 8547.4999 15:100.000 5:19.9999 15:000.000 5:19.9999 10.5 12:00 8540 840 your input on wate we can ob in improve. 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions. 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions. 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions. 11.9 you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions. 11.9 you with the source in the satisfies and that we are the strongless. 12.9 unworld the interested in participating in future LFR market research projects. Places with the your arean datters, you are agreeing to nocive without the strongles. 13.9 and the interested in participating in white surveys. 14.9 and the strongless and three surveys.	10.3 how well do yoste trigger? 1 10.4 houlding yourself, how many people he in your household? 10.4 houlding yourself, how many people he in your household? 10.4 houlding yourself, how many people he in your household? 10.4 houlding yourself, how many people he in your household? 10.4 houlding yourself, how many people he in your household? 10.4 houlding your approximate annual household in hourier (Select on answer only) 10.4 houlding yourself. How many people he in your household in your approximate annual household in your approximate and you and satisfied with un participating in hunter. Lift house also include any other 275500, 650, 530, 530 = 5150,000 519, 930 = 5250,000 6 more 1757,000 6 507,439 = 5150,000 519,930 = 5250,000 6 more 1757,000 6 577,439 = 5150,000 519,930 = 5250,000 6 more 1757,000 6 577,439 = 5150,000 519,930 = 5100,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 5150,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 510,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 510,000 519,930 = 510,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 510,000 519,930 = 5250,000 519,930 = 510,000 510,950,510 = 510,000 510,950,500 = 510,000 510,000,510 = 510,000 510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510,000,510 = 510,000,51		nglish U spanish D A	nomer language (specify:
Therry years, Devel were lower lower low more provident lower mobuling years, how many peedua here in your house hour approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate annual household income? (§ 10 Mart Is your approximate and the supplementation of satisfied with our performance in any of through 16, please edgel in my below. Please about a comments or sanyics suggestions.	The yrans, $\Box$ werth the the yrans, $\Box$ werth were the provided in the product of the provided in the product of the provided in the provided	10. Linky years. Link many people here in your household? 11.2. District years. The many people here in your household? 11.2. District years. The many people here in your household? 10.5. Mark Str.2.600 \$53,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$59,599 Distr.0.0000 or more 15.12.500 \$53,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$59,599 Distr.0.0000 or more 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.0000 or more 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.0000 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.000 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.000 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.000 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.000 15.87,500 \$50,7499 Distr.0.0005 \$19,3999 Distr.0.0005 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 17.900 are not satisfied with our area in dates, you are agreening to rockie areas in any other areas advections our area in dates, you are agreening to rockie the areas areas areas and areas and areas a	104. Abiding protest, the number of her in your house and the interpretent in the number of the interpretent in the number of the interpretent is your approximation and the interpretent is your approximation and the number of	103.How		
Throwall yconer intown fairly programmer in province of AB 15 your anotation of the AB 15 your anotation and the AB 15 your and the AB 15 your anotation and the AB 16 your anotation anotation anotation anotation and the	Through your more any part of the province of the mount your more and the your approximate annual household income? (A Malls your approximate annual household income? (A House 25, 200, 54, 499) Through 51, 2, 500, 549, 399) Through 51, 2, 500, 549, 399) Through 51, 7, 500, 549, 399) Through 51, 7, 500, 549, 399) Through 51, 7, 500, 549, 399) Through 31, 4, 7, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	Or Lindong yoarsa, law frant yoarwa m your incound, yoarsa, law frant your yoarsa much your approximate annual from koeshold insome? Select ore answer only 10km sts. your approximate annual from schedule in Section 53:12,600 – 155:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:53.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:53.9399 – 150:000:531.9399 – 150:000:531.9499 – 150:000:531.940, nu et lift nu et lift market research projects, bases autile your entile of surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys. Au are aptering to tooke multions to participate in MIA.URR online surveys.	Thrownary growth and support and any province in your housing years and with synar approximate annual yoursen in your house \$25,000 \$24,999 \$35,000 \$54,900 \$54,000 \$5	V D V		NOL VET Y WEII LI NOL AL AII
Watt is your approximate annual household income? ( Watt is your approximate annual household income? ( Under \$12,500 = \$50,000 \$514,399 = \$12,500 \$439,399 = \$150,000 \$149,999 = \$37,500 \$46,999 = \$150,000 \$199,999 = \$17,500 \$46,999 = \$150,000 \$199,999 = \$150,000 \$190,000 \$199,999 = \$150,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$199,999 = \$150,000 \$100,000 \$190,000 \$199,999 = \$150,000 \$100,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$199,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$199,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$100,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$100,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$190,000 \$100,000 \$190,000 \$100,000 \$190,0000 \$190,000 \$190,00	D - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	105. Mirzl is your approximate amual household informer (Select one answer only) 105. Mirzl is your approximate amual household informer (Select one answer only) 105. Mirzl is your approximate amual household informer (Select one answer only) 105. Mirzl is your approximate amual household informer (Select one answer only) 105. The Long Stand Sel Read wards your input on what we can bb bi inprove. 115. Store Select and Select one amount of the areas in questions 11 through 56, please application in and rith areas in questions 11 through 56, please applications. Healow Flease also include any dither commarks or service suggestions. Healow Flease also include any dither find u would be interested in participating in thurke LRR market research projects. Mirzl contractions and address, you are address, you	105. Mhzt is your approximate amount household in house 72,500 and 92,4,999 and	I U4.Inclu	ang yourseir, now many people	r irve in your nousenoid?
waru sywar concentrate animation bocehoou moniter (s 0. binde \$12,500 = \$55,000 \$57,499 0. \$25,000 \$57,499 = 0. \$57,500 \$59,999 0. \$25,000 \$57,499 = 0. \$515,000 \$59,999 0. \$25,000 \$57,499 = 0. \$150,000 \$199,999 10. bind Fand Fand Road warus your inyut on what we hyu uare of satisfied with our performance in any of 1 1 through 58, please edial m/h below Please also in comments or sanitice suggestions.	Warts your approvrate an amonosenous norus norus (see Warts your 512.500 \$54,999 \$\$15,500 \$59,999 \$\$12,500 \$59,999 \$\$12,500 \$50,900 \$\$19,999 \$\$12,500 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,999 \$\$10,990 \$\$10,990 \$\$10,990 \$\$10,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$14,990 \$\$10,000 \$\$10,0	Too. Write Synut approximation mount event on marker only D Under \$12,500 Test \$20,000 \$514,999 Test \$200,000 or more \$12,500 \$34,999 Test \$20,000 \$14,999 \$200,000 or more Test \$500 \$30,399 Test \$20,000 \$14,999 \$200,000 or more Test \$500 \$30,399 Test \$20,000 \$19,999 Test \$500 \$30,399 Test \$50,000 \$19,999 Test \$500 \$30,399 Test \$500 \$19,999 Test \$500 \$300 \$10,90	O must syru approvate arruan consolut onzoner (recent on asserve only consult syru approvate arruan consolut onzoner (recent on asserve only consult syru approxement arruan consolution) as 325,000, 549,399 CSC,000, 540,550,500 CSC,000, 540,550,500 CSC,000, 540,550,500 CSC,000, 540,550,500 CSC,000, 540,550,500 CSC,000,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,500,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,550,500,550,500,550,550,550,550,550,550,500,550,		-2 0.3-4 0.5-6	
Druck S1,2,00, 28,4,999 [357,5,00,54,3,99 ] \$50,0,00 or more 357,5,00,5,4,499 [375,5,00,54,3,99 ] \$500,000 or more 255,000,5,7,499 [357,5,000,54,3,999 ] \$500,000 or more 357,5,00,5,999 [357,5,000,54,3,999 ] [57,00,54,3,999 [357,5,000,54,3,999 ] [57,00,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,	I brind st.2000 524.999 1575.0000 54.999 15200.000 or more 2525.000 524.999 1575.0000 549.999 15200.000 or more 2525.000 557.499 1575.0000 549.9999 15200.000 or more 2527.503 5929 1515.0000 5519.9999 157.503 593 1515.0000 5519.9999 157.004 stand faile Read wards your input on what we can do to improve 166. The Long stand faile Read wards your input on what we can do to improve 166. The Long stand faile Read wards your input on what we can do to improve 110 you are not statisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 58, please applications.	Under \$1,2,00 S27,500 \$37,499 S57,500 \$37,499 S57,500 \$37,499 S57,500 \$37,499 S57,500 \$37,499 S57,500 \$37,499 S57,500 \$37,499 S50,000 \$47,499 S50,000 \$49,999 S50,000 \$40,999 S50,000	Tomer St.2000 254.999 IS 255.000 94.4599 IS 200.000 v arrore St.255.000 524.999 IS 255.000 549.9999 IS 255.000 549.9999 IS 255.000 557.600 549.9999 IS 255.000 557.600 549.9999 IS 257.500 549.999 IS 257.500 549.999 IS 257.500 549.999 IS 257.500 549.999 IS 257.500 549.9999 IS 257.500 549.999 IS 257.500 540.999 IS 257.500 540.999 IS 257.500 549.990 IS 257.500 549.999 IS 257.500 540.999 IS 277.500 550 IS 277.500 540.999 IS 277.500 540.500 IS 277.500 540.500 IS 277.500 540.500 IS 277.500 550 IS 277.500 540.500 IS 277.500 550 IS 277500 550 IS 277.500 550 IS 277.500 550 IS 277.500 550 IS 277.500	105.Wha	t is your approximate annual ho	usehold income? (Select one answer only)
Through TS, 2005, 442, 390 = 0.57, 2005, 454, 390 = 0.55, 0005, 549, 399 = 0.55, 0005, 549, 399 = 0.55, 0005, 549, 399 = 0.55, 0005, 549, 399 = 0.55, 0005, 549, 399 = 0.55, 0005, 5199, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 5199, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 5199, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 5199, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 5199, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 519, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 519, 3999 = 0.55, 0005, 519, 519, 519, 519, 519, 519, 519, 51	Through the second second second second second or more TST 2500 SST 489 TST 5000 S143 2090 TST 2500 SST 499 TST 5000 S143 2099 105 The Long Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on whater and bu improve. Through Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on whater and bu improve. If through Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on whater and bu improve. If through Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on whater areas in questions through Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on whater areas in questions or through Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on the areas in questions through Stand Tsalf Read waters your input on the areas in questions comments or service surgrestions.	TI: 500: 557, 439 TI: 500: 554, 439 TI: 500: 554, 439 TI: 500: 557, 430 TI: 500: 557, 430 TI: 500: 557, 430 TI: 500: 557, 600: 571, 630 TI: 570: 500: 571, 930 TI: 570: 570: 590: 930 TI: 570: 570: 580: 580: 580: 580: 580: 580: 580: 58	T1: 2506 252, 4599 157,000 9593,4599 25500,000 or more 2555,000 557, 4590 2510,000 5419,999 2550,000 5419,999 2557,500 5406,999 25150,000 54199,999 2557,500 5406,999 25150,000 54199,999 2557,500 5406,999 25150,000 54199,999 11 you are on satisfied with work performance in any of the areas in quastrost 11 through 156, plases explain why below. Pleases also include any other comments or samice suggestions. The areas in quastrost 11 through 156, plases explain why below. Pleases also include any other comments or samice suggestions. The areas in quastrost through 156, plases explain why below. Pleases also include any other comments or samice suggestions. The areas include any other hit you uould be interested in participating in hube. Lifet market research projects, best confidential. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to receive hubble to providing your email address, you are agreeing to receive hubble to be address. Source suggestions. Street Address. You are agreeing to receive hubble.			
ESC: 500.65X, 902. SI 150.0005 514 9399 ESC: 500.65X, 902. SI 150.0005 519.9399 66. The Long Island Rail Read wants your input on what we can do to improve. To use and xatalished with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through SI, phase explain why How. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions.	T \$55,000 \$57,900 \$15,000 \$51,93,999 T \$57,500 \$59,990 \$155,000 \$51,93,999 108 The Long Yaan Yaal Raad wants your input on what we can ub to improve. If you are not satisfied with sur performance in any of the areas in questions of through \$8, please explain why below. Please also include any other ormmerits or service suggestions.	Dischools Actional Constraints of the card of improve. Dischools Actional Constraints of the card of thippone. Tok The Long Stand Pall Read wants your input on what we can do to improve. The Long Stand Pall Read wants your input on what we can do to improve. If you are not statisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions of the Long Stand Pall Read wants your input on the areas in questions to the point reame, address, and fause number(b) below. All information will be lastic confidential. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to tookie multitions to participate in MTA. LIRR online surveys. Nu are agreeing to tookie multitions to participate in MTA. LIRR online surveys. Struet Address.	The Science Science and Science Sci			
T \$57,500,509 C \$15,00,005.519,3,999 G \$16,0005.519,3,999 GG The Long Island Freed warrs your input on what we can do to improve. If you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions at through 58, please explain why below. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions.	STX:500.45409. II STS:000.519.9369 CI STS:000.519.9369 OID Inprove. OID The Long Jakand Fall Read wanks your input on what we can do to inprove. If you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 56, please explain why below. Please also include any differ comments or service suggestions.	The STA's Got Set and State Control State	105.Tis Org. 459:20 S15:100:59:00.590 S100:500.500 S00 S00 S00 S00 S00 S00 S00 S00 S00			000-\$149,999
60 The Long stant Cal Read wants your mput own with a car to bu inforve. If you are not satisfied with our performance in any induce any duestors 1 through 50, phese explain why below. Please also include any diter comments or service suggestions.	105 The Long stand Fala Read wairs your input on whater and bu importow. If you are not stated with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 5, please explain whelew. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions.	106. The Long Island Eleval wards you mput on what are are to be improve. If you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 56, please endelin why below. Please also include any diter comments or service suggestions. If you would be interested in participating in hune LIRR market research pojects and the providing your enable with a large thread of induced any diter- be large confidential. By providing your enable advise, you are agreeing to incode mutations to participate in MTA, LIRR, online surveys. An are agreeing to tookine mutations to participate in MTA, LIRR, online surveys. Stread Advises.	If you are not satisfied with you may one do by improve. If you are not satisfied with work performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 58, please explain why below. Please also include any other commetts or service suggestions. If you would be interested in participating in thure LRR market research projects, bees while your iname, adhess, and Juliura nuitber(b) below. All infumitation will homation to participate in MIA, LRR online surveys. Name	ŝ	37,500-\$49,999 🗆 \$150,	000-\$199,999
If you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 58, please explain why below. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions.	If you are not stated with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 58 please explain why below. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions.	Through 58, phase and with our performance in any of the areas in questions 1 through 58, phase anguistions, comments or service suggestions, if you would be interested in participating in future LRA market research projects, be stor comfiscion and address, and and address, you are agreeing to rocorive instations to participate in MTA, LIRR online surveys.	If you are not satisfied with our performance in any of the areas in questions If through S6, please applian which we have a last provide any other comments or service suggestions. If you would be interested in participating in hume. FRR market research projects, please write your insime, adultics, and plane number(s) below. All internation will be apt contributed by providing your email address, you are agreeing to nocive interations to participate in MiA, URR online surveys. Name.	106.The	Long Island Rail Road wants yo	ur input on what we can do to improve.
<ol> <li>through 58, please explain why below. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions.</li> </ol>	1 through 58, please explain why below. Please also include any other comments or service surgestions.	1 through 165 plase explain why below. Please also include any other comments or service suggestors. If you vouid be interested in participating in huture LRR market research projects, allesses while your reame, adultass, and you are numberigh below. All information velocitie initiations to participate in MIA, LIRR, online surveys. You are agreeing to receive initiations to participate in MIA, LIRR, online surveys. Street Andreess, you are agreeing to receive Street Andreess.	1 through 56 please explain Mry helow. Please also include any other comments or service suggestions. If you would be interested in participating in thute LPR market research projects, allesses while your runarie. Jackses, and Jaluara number(b) below. All intimutation will hele set contributed. By providing your enail address, you are agreeing to receive metations to participate in MrA. LIRR online surveys. Name. Street Address.	If you	u are not satisfied with our perfi	ormance in any of the areas in questions
comments or service suggestions.	comments or service suggestions.	comments or service suppestions. you would be interested in participating in thurse LPR market research projects, abcses write your address, and address, your enail address, you are agreeing to roochve interioris to participate in MTA, LIRR online surveys. You are agreeing to toochve materioris to participate in MTA, LIRR online surveys.	comments or service suggestions. If you would be interested in participating in hunce LRR market research projects, lacese where your means, and you are unitaries) heave. All internation will matitions to participate in MTA URR online surveys.	1 thr	ough 58, please explain why be	slow. Please also include any other
		If you would be interested in participating in future LRR market research projects, the least value your the address, and the number of the market research projects, be least comfounded. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to roceive initiations to participate in MTA, LIRR online surveys.	I you would be interested in participating in future. LRR market research projects, blease write your reame, adutess, and jatue a rundher(s) below. All internation will be apt contributial. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to receive mitations to participate in MiA. URR online surveys. Vame:	E CO	ments or service suggestions.	
you would be interested in participating in future LIRR market research projects,		invitations to participate in MTA LIRR online surveys. Name: Street Address:	invitations to participate in MTA. LiPR online surveys. Name: Street Address:	please wi be kent n	ite your name, address, and ph onfidential. By providing your er	one number(s) below. All information will nail address, vou are agreeing to receive
you would be interested in participating in future LFR market research projects, lease write your name, aukitess, and plane number(5 below. All information will be kent confidential. By providing your email address, you are agreend to breake	Alesse write your name, address, and phone number(s) below. All information will be kept confidential. By providing, your email address, you are adreeide to raceive	Name: Street Address:	Name. Street Address.	invitation	s to participate in MTA LIRR onl	ne surveys.
you would be interested in participating in turke LRR market research projects, lease while your reame, adhinss, and jub en unitherig) below. All information will be approximated by providing your email address, you are agreeing to nocive windows to participate in ALRA. Life online surveys.	Access while your name, address, and phone number(s) below. All information will se kept confidential. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to receive rwitations to participate in MTA LIRR online surveys.	Street Address:	Street Address:	Name:		
you would be interested in partopating in turke LRR market research projects, lease while your reame, address, and plane runiber(s) below. All internation will be ket confidential. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to receive validions to participate in MTA. LIRR online surveys.	desse write your reame, address, and plonte number(s) below. All information will be kept confidential. By providing your email address, you are agreeing to receive multitories to participate in MTA URR online surveys.			Street Ad	dress.	



III Long Island Rail Road



վրինելիկյուլովեկույթիիկիսյինեիներեսյիկ BELLE MEAD NJ 08502-9802 PO BOX 609 **DAOR LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD** 

## Please take a few minutes to fill out the Long Island Rail Road Customer Satisfaction Survey. Your answers to the **Satisfaction Survey 2019 Customer** Dear Customer:

survey will guide our efforts to provide better service to you

Please answer each question based upon your experience with the Long Island Rail Road over the past 12 months. Your responses will be tabulated and reported back to you.

Several survey specialists will be assigned to your train for today's trip. They are there to distribute and collect your completed questionnaire. If they miss you, or if you need no postage necessary. To mail back, refold and seal the more time, the questionnaire can also be returned by mail survey on the right side with a small piece of tape.

Thank you for helping us serve you better and for riding the Long Island Rail Road.

Si usted prefiere completar esta encuesta en español, dígaselo al encargado.

In the first portion of this survey, please tell us how satisfied you have been with various aspects of our services over the past 12 months. INSTRUCTIONS:

Please circle one of the numbers in the scale that best reflects your opinion of our service.

 Circle 9 or 10 if you are "very satisfie Circle 6, 7, or 8 if you are "satisfied

Circle 3, 4, or 5 if you are "dissatisfied
 Circle 1 or 2 if you are "very dissatisfi

Circle NA if you are not familiar with a

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING

Zip Code:

Work (

Phone: Home ( -mail Address fown or City:



### **2019 ANNUAL RIDERSHIP REPORT**

### 2019 ANNUAL RIDERSHIP REPORT LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Long Island Rail Road (LIRR) remains the busiest commuter railroad in North America, experiencing a new modern record in ridership with 91.1 million passengers in 2019. Total ridership increased 1.5%, compared to 2018's 89.8 million passengers. Positive local economic conditions, service improvements, and continuous growth in non-commutation travel, including discretionary trips to entertainment/sports venues and local attractions contributed to these ridership numbers.

### 2019 Ridership Summary

- In 2019, the LIRR experienced its highest ridership since the post-war high of 91.8 million passengers in 1949
- 2019 Non-Commutation ridership reached a record high of 40.5 million passengers. Non-Commutation ridership increased 4.1%, reflecting a growing trend of discretionary trips and gains resulting from the introduction of the Atlantic Ticket.
  - Total Weekend ridership increased 0.7%. Saturday ridership increased 0.5% and Sunday ridership increased 0.9%. Off-peak weekday travel increased 2.1% compared to last year.
- 2019 Commutation ridership reached 50.6 million passengers decreasing 0.5% from last year. Commutation ridership was potentially affected by the March 2019 fare increase (average 4% increase) and weaker employment in the Financial Activities sector where many LIRR commuters are employed.
  - Reverse Commute ridership increased 1.4% in the AM period and 0.4% in the PM Peak Period compared to 2018

### Annual Ridership (in millions)\* 2019 vs 2018

	2019	2018	% Change vs 2018			
Total Ridership	91.1	89.8	1.5%			
* Annual ridership is based on a calendar adjustment to reflect same workdays in 2019 and 2018.						
	🛕 Increase 🛛 🔻	Decrease 🔵 No Change				

### Major Factors and Initiatives Affecting Ridership

### 1. REGIONAL ECONOMY

- NYC Non-Agricultural employment increased 1.9% during CY 2019 vs. 2018
- Education and Health employment increased 4.6%
- Mining, Logging & Construction employment increased 0.1%
- Business and Professional Services employment increased 2.4%
- Leisure and Hospitality employment increased 0.7%
- Financial Activities employment decreased 0.6%

### 2. SERVICE ENHANCEMENTS

- During 2019, the LIRR implemented several service enhancements, while the benefits of the *LIRR Forward* plan continued to improve customer experience & satisfaction:
  - The LIRR made several individual train service changes based on the recommendation of customers. Working with the South Fork communities, the LIRR launched a pilot program called the South Fork Commuter Connection (SFCC), which provides additional "shuttle" service on the South Fork of Long Island that meets with buses (provided by the local municipalities).
  - New M9 rail cars entered passenger service in September 2019. The new cars incorporate and improve upon the most successful and popular features of the MTA's recent electric car fleets.
  - All LIRR train fleets exceeded their Mean Distance Between Failure (MDBF) targets, and overall MDBF reported higher performance in 2019 compared to the previous year.
  - In 2019, 14 stations (including Baldwin, Bayside Bellmore, Brentwood, Deer Park, East Hampton, Farmingdale, Great Neck, Merrick, Northport, Port Jefferson, Stewart Manor, Syosset and Valley Stream) received enhancements and upgrades, including new LED lighting, USB charging ports, free public Wi-Fi, new digital screens (with train and customer information).
  - Replacing low-hanging bridges improves the safety and reliability for both vehicles and LIRR passengers. Seven bridges were replaced and/or rehabilitated including Cherry Lane, Springfield Boulevard, Tyson Avenue, Nassau Boulevard, Accabonac Road, North Main Street and Flushing Main Street.
  - Several other accomplishments achieved in 2019 include: rebuilding 13 priority switches (including the Nassau Switch, which is one of the most highly trafficked LIRR switches); installed 3,329 new threshold plates on M7 electric cars to reduce service delays due to door misalignments; repairing approximately 1,700 rail joints; installing 14 third-rail heaters; doubling the number of wheel truing machines, etc.

### 3. ON-TIME PERFORMANCE

The LIRR's OTP was 92.4%, an increase of 2.0% from the 2018 OTP rate of 90.4%. Service reliability gains
encompassed all travel periods (AM, PM and Off-Peak), and almost all LIRR branches. Moreover, turnaround
was achieved while running more trains (+1.2%); carrying more riders (+1.3 million passengers); and
undertaking the largest system expansion in more than a century, along with extensive state-of-good-repair
work.

### 4. RIDERSHIP GROWTH OF LEISURE TRAVEL AND OTHER BUSINESS SEGMENTS

- The 2019 PGA Championship at Bethpage State Park was completed successfully with 100,000 customers using the LIRR
- The 2019 Belmont Park service continued, bringing 35,000 LIRR to the park during the whole season, including the Stakes Day.
- In 2019, the LIRR continued its popular Summer Saturdays and Autumn Weekends, LIRR's special customer appreciation programs
- The LIRR expanded the MY LIRR LOYALTY Program with over 100 participating merchants, offering special "flash sale" offers to monthly customers
- The "Atlantic Ticket" continued its successful debut. There were 1.7 million customers in 2019.
- Continue the fourth year of successful growth for the LIRR Holiday Express train service on two branches (from Ronkonkoma and Babylon to Penn Station) with on-board entertainment and giveaways
- Sales & Promotions programs (deals, getaways and group travel) contributed to LIRR's 2019 total Non-Commutation business of \$388 million (+6.2%). Fostered new opportunities for LIRR Getaways and Group Sales in 2019, generating \$2.2 million Leisure Travel business and 309,000 leisure ridership.
- The South Fork Commuter Connection (SFCC) program was introduced by offering a special rail & bus combination to provide better access to businesses in the South Fork region of Long Island with 47,000 customer taking advantage of the new service.
- Spearheaded the 7th Annual "Long Island Car Free Day" encouraging Long Islanders to give up their cars and try mass transit, carpooling, walking, and bicycling
- Implemented a unique three-way partnership with "Discover LI" and "NYC & Co" to position the LIRR as the connecting mode between NYC and LI, attracting leisure travelers to the region. Targeted the tourism segment working with travel agents and leisure organizations.
- Completed two Sponsorship programs and initiated discussions with multiple prospective companies for new "adopt-a-station" sponsorships
- Reached agreement with Molloy College to introduce the first-ever discount program subsidized by a college, offering a sustainable value-added incentive to students commuting via the LIRR

### Long-Term Ridership Trends

### **Ridership Trends**

- Over the past 30 years, system-wide ridership has grown by 21%
- Non-Commutation ridership has climbed, recording a 75% increase over the 30-year span, while Commutation ridership has shown a small decrease (-3%)



### **Ridership Trends by Market**

- Over the past 10 years, significant growth has occurred in the Non-Commutation market, which grew 19%
- Commutation ridership to western terminals has increased by 8% since 2009
- Non-Commutation growth increased due to favorable market/economic conditions and aggressive marketing programs (i.e., NYC/LI Getaways, etc.)
- Intermediate ridership outside of western terminals has decreased by 4% over the past 10 years



### <u>Notes</u>

Intermediate: passengers traveling outside of the Western Terminals (Penn Station, Atlantic Terminal, and Hunters Point/LIC) Non-Comm. to/from the Western Terminals: passengers traveling to/from Western Terminals with ticket types other than Weekly & Monthly tickets Comm. to/from Western Terminals: passengers traveling to/from Western Terminals with Weekly & Monthly tickets

### 2019 vs. 2018 Branch Comparisons

Total LIRR ridership went up 1.5% with most LIRR Branches experiencing ridership gains in 2019. The Greenport Branch showed the highest percent increase of 17.8%, followed by the City Zone with a 5.9% increase. Montauk Branch showed the largest percent decrease of 2.6%, while the Port Jefferson/Huntington Branch declined 1.5%
 both Branches were impacted by trackwork programs. The Port Jefferson/Huntington Branch continued to maintain the highest 2019 ridership (18.8 million customers), followed by the Babylon Branch with 18.4 million customers.

**RIDERSHIP BY BRANCH (in millions) \*** 

	ANNUAL RIDERSHIP*		
Branch	2019	2018	% CHANGE
Babylon Branch	18,385,072	18,306,986	0.4% 🔺
City Zone Branch	7,663,873	7,239,713	5.9% 🔺
Far Rockaway Branch	6,631,871	6,402,692	3.6% 🔺
Greenport Branch	88,135	74,819	17.8% 🔺
Hempstead Branch	4,519,573	4,329,862	4.4% 🔺
Long Beach Branch	4,916,649	4,849,085	1.4% 🔺
Montauk Branch	2,360,848	2,424,499	-2.6% 🔻
Oyster Bay Branch	1,914,082	1,929,262	-0.8% 🔻
Port Jefferson Branch**	18,819,640	19,114,377	-1.5% 🔻
Port Washington Branch	14,526,328	14,242,594	2.0% 🔺
Ronkonkoma Branch	10,158,848	9,766,249	4.0% 🔺
West Hempstead Branch	1,120,218	1,092,420	2.5% 🔺
Total	91,105,137	89,772,559	1.5%
	Increase	V Decrease	No Change

### **RIDERSHIP BY BRANCH**

\* Ridership data is based on ticket sales.

\*\* Port Jefferson branch includes ridership from Huntington branch
#### 2020 Outlook

- Throughout the remainder of 2020 (and for the next few years), the LIRR will continue several large-scale projects (i.e. Main Line Expansion), resulting in a more modern system well-suited to meet future transportation needs. In the short term, these system upgrades require service changes to allow for construction primarily during the off-peak period, but in some cases even during the peak period.
- The LIRR will be monitoring the impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on ridership.
- The South Fork Commuter Connection (SFCC) program will continue, offering a special rail & bus service combination (eliminating the \$1 bus voucher).
- A new program called "NYC Outer Borough Rail Discount Pilot" will be introduced in 2020, offering fare discounts to customers travelling within the City Zone.
- Continue introducing attractive NYC & LI value-added packages and capitalize on cross marketing opportunities with regional partners and venues to increase non-commutation ridership and grow leisure travel between New York City and Long Island.
- Continue promotional partnerships with local sports venues to grow off-peak ridership.
- Ridership growth is expected to continue with several opportunities to serve all local airports, building incremental ridership growth of travel through the LIRR.
- New sponsorship and revenue initiatives will be undertaken to raise additional funds.
- Continue the successful three-way partnership with "Discover LI" and "NYC & Co" to position the LIRR as the connecting mode between NYC and LI, attracting leisure travelers to the region.

#### 2019 LIRR Annual Ridership Report Appendix

Additional ridership statistics are provided in an online appendix. Listed as an exhibit within the March 2020 Metro-North and Long Island Rail Road Committee materials, the appendix is available at:

http://web.mta.info/mta/news/books



## Procurements



Subject Request for Authorization to Aw ard Various Procurements							]	Date									
Depart		ement and	Material	Manage	ement			<b>Vendo</b> Variou	or Name								
Depart	mentHeadNan	ne							act Number								
	y Gardner, Sr D							Variou									
Depart	mentHeadSig	nature						Contract Manager Name									
Project	t Manager Nam	е						Various Table of Contents Ref #									
		Board A	ction														
Order	То	Date	Appro	oval	Info	Other			Approval	Approvals		pproval					
1	MNR Comm. Mtg.	3-23-20			-			Х	President	Х	Executi	ive Director ement &					
2	MTA Board Mtg.	3-25-20	×	(				Х	Executive Vice President	Х	V.P. Ca Program	apital					
								Х	Sr. V.P. Operations								
								Х	V.P. & General Counsel								
						ternal Ap	-	· · · ·									
Order	Approv	al	Order	Α	Appro	val	0	rder	Approval	Order	A	pproval					
	<u>JSSION</u> : proposes to	o award	non-co	ompeti	tivep	orocure	me	ents in	the following cates	gories:							
										# of Act	<u>ions</u>	<u>\$ Amount</u>					
<u>Scheo</u>	<u>dules Requiri</u>	ing Two-	<u>Thirds '</u>	<u>Vote (c</u>	or mo	<u>re, whe</u> i	<u>re r</u>	<u>noted)</u>		NON	<u>E</u>						
<u>Schec</u>	Schedules Requiring Majority Vote NONE																
									SUB TOTAL:								



MNR proposes to award competitive procurements in the following categories:											
		# of Actions	<u>\$ Amount</u>								
Schedules Requiring Two-Thirds Vote (or more, where noted)		NONE									
Schedules Requiring Majority Vote											
Schedule H: Modifications to Personal/Miscellaneous Service Contracts <ul> <li>Clearway Industries, LLC \$2,000,000</li> </ul>		1	\$2,000,000								
SUB T	TOTAL:	1	\$2,000,000								
MNR presents the following procurement actions for Ratification:											
Schedules Requiring Two-Thirds Vote (or more, where noted		NONE									
Schedules Requiring Majority Vote		NONE									
SUB T	TOTAL:										
г	TOTAL:	1	\$2,000,000								
The contractors noted above and on the following Staff Summary Sheets h responsive and responsible, and are in compliance with State laws and reg											
<b>BUDGET IM PACT:</b> The purchases/contracts will result in obligating MNR of amount listed. Funds are available in the current MNR operating/capital but											
<b>RECOMMENDATION:</b> That the purchases/contracts be approved as propresolution of approval at the beginning of the Procurement Section.)	osed. (Ite	ems are includ	ed in the								

#### METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 1265-a and Section 1209 of the Public Authorities law and the All Agency Procurement Guidelines, the Board authorizes the award of certain non-competitive purchase and public work contracts, and the solicitation and award of request for proposals in regard to purchase and public work contracts; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the All Agency Procurement Guidelines, the Board authorizes the award of certain non-competitive miscellaneous procurement contracts, and certain change orders to procurement, public work, and miscellaneous procurement contracts; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 2879 of the Public Authorities Law and the All Agency Guidelines for Procurement of Services, the Board authorizes the award of certain service contracts and certain change orders to service contracts.

NOW, the Board resolves as follows:

1. As to each purchase and public work contract set forth in the annexed Schedule A, the Board declares competitive bidding to be impractical or inappropriate for the reasons specified therein and authorizes the execution of each such contract.

2. As to each request for proposals (for purchase and public work contracts) set forth in Schedule B for which it is deemed in the public interest to obtain authorization to solicit competitive proposals through a publicly advertised RFP for the reasons specified therein the Board declares it to be impractical or inappropriate to utilize a procurement process inviting sealed bids with award to the lowest responsive/responsible bidder.

3. As to each request for proposals (for purchase and public work contracts) set forth in Schedule C for which a recommendation is made to award the contract, the Board authorizes the execution of said contract.

4. The Board ratifies each action taken set forth in Schedule D for which ratification is requested.

5. The Board authorizes the execution of each of the following for which Board authorization is required: i) the miscellaneous procurement contracts set forth in Schedule E; ii) the personal service contracts set forth in Schedule F; iii) the miscellaneous service contracts set forth in Schedule G; iv) the modifications to personal/miscellaneous service contracts set forth in Schedule H; v) the contract modifications to purchase and public work contracts set forth in Schedule I; and vi) the modifications to miscellaneous procurement contracts set forth in Schedule J.

6. The Board ratifies each action taken set forth in Schedule K for which ratification is requested.

#### MARCH 2020

#### METRO-NORTH RAILROAD

#### LIST OF COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENTS FOR BOARD APPROVAL

#### Schedules Requiring Majority Vote:

#### H. Modifications to Personal/Miscellaneous Service Contracts

(Approvals/Staff Summaries required for substantial change orders and change orders that cause the original contract to equal or exceed monetary or durational threshold required for Board approval)

#### 1. Clearway Industries, LLC \$2,000,000 (not-to-exceed) <u>Staff Summary</u> <u>Attached</u>

#### Brush and Tree Cutting and Removal Services for Harlem and Hudson Lines

Approval is requested for a contract modification in the not-to-exceed amount of \$2,000,000 to the existing miscellaneous service contract with the firm Clearway Industries, LLC (Clearway). This contract modification is for on-going scheduled brush and tree cutting including debris removal services for Metro-North's Harlem and Hudson Lines as well as emergency services to remove tree and brush that are deemed dangerous, damaged or has fallen throughout Metro-North's entire territory.

The original 24-month contract was competitively solicited and awarded to Clearway Industries, LLC in September 2018 in the amount of \$1,658,893. In 2019, there were a number of unforeseen adverse weather conditions causing multiple fallen trees, which resulted in unexpected suspended train service due to loss of wayside power and/or signal communications; therefore, repair and emergency as-needed cutting and removal of tree services was required throughout Metro-North's territory. As a result, there is an on-going effort to aggressively continue this work to mitigate potential hazards for maintenance workers along the wayside as well as disruption of service due to unexpected falling trees.

Based on the current contractual rates which has not changed, the additional funding requested will be required for continuation of these services for the remaining contract term through August 2020.

Metro-North completed a responsibility review of Clearway Industries, LLC. as defined in the All Agency Responsibility Guidelines in connection with this award recommendation, which yielded no significant adverse information (SAI).

This total not-to-exceed amount of \$2,000,000 is to be funded by the MNR Operating Budget.



# Competitive Procurements



#### Schedule H: Modifications to Personal Service and Miscellaneous Service Contracts

ltem Number: H		
Vendor Name (& Location)	Contract Number	AWO/Modification #
Clearway Industries, LLC		
Pine Island, New York	1000000080346	6
Description		
Brush and Tree Cutting and Removal Services for Harlem and Hudson Lines	Original Amount:	\$1,658,893
Contract Term (including Options, if any)	Prior Modifications:	\$2,113,508
24 Months	Prior Budgetary Increases:	\$0.00
Option(s) included in Total Amount? 🔲 Yes 🛛 No	Current Amount:	\$3,772,400
Procurement I Competitive I Non-competitive		\$2,000,000 (not to
Solicitation Type 🔲 RFP 🛛 Bid 🔲 Other:	This Request:	\$2,000,000 (not-to- exceed)
Funding Source		1
Operating Capital Federal Other:	% of This Request to Current Amount:	53%
Requesting Dept./Div. & Dept./Div. Head Name: Procurement & Material Management, Anthony Gardner, Sr. Director	% of Modifications (including This Request) to Original Amount:	250%

#### Discussion:

Approval is requested for a contract modification in the not-to-exceed amount of \$2,000,000 to the existing miscellaneous service contract with the firm Clearway Industries, LLC (Clearway). This contract modification is for on-going scheduled brush and tree cutting including debris removal services for Metro-North's Harlem and Hudson Lines as well as emergency services to remove tree and brush that are deemed dangerous, damaged or has fallen throughout Metro-North's entire territory.

The original 24-month contract was competitively solicited and awarded to Clearway Industries, LLC in September 2018 in the amount of \$1,658,893. In 2019, there were a number of unforeseen adverse weather conditions causing multiple fallen trees, which resulted in unexpected suspended train service due to loss of wayside power and/or signal communications; therefore, repair and emergency as-needed cutting and removal of tree services was required throughout Metro-North's territory. As a result, there is an on-going effort to aggressively continue this work to mitigate potential hazards for maintenance workers along the wayside as well as disruption of service due to unexpected falling trees.

Based on the current contractual rates which has not changed, the additional funding requested will be required for continuation of these services for the remaining contract term through August 2020.

Metro-North completed a responsibility review of Clearway Industries, LLC. as defined in the All Agency Responsibility Guidelines in connection with this award recommendation, which yielded no significant adverse information (SAI).

This total not-to-exceed amount of \$2,000,000 is to be funded by the MNR Operating Budget.



### **Operations Report**

John Kesich Senior Vice President, Operations



M7 EMU

M8 EMU



Comet 5 Cab Car

#### February 2020 Highlights: Operations Report

February service delivery operated above goal at 97.2% overall with 96.7% in peak periods. All service periods operated above goal and YTD we operated 1.2% better than in 2019.

<u>Hudson Line – 98.2%</u> with 98.1% in peak 99.2% of trains arrived within 10" of schedule with 1 (0.02%) cancelled or terminated

<u>Harlem Line – 96.7%</u> with 95.1% in peak 98.7% of trains arrived within 10" of schedule with 1 (0.02%) cancelled or terminated

<u>New Haven Line – 97.1%</u> with 97.2% in peak 98.7% of trains arrived within 10" of schedule with 25 (0.32%) cancelled or terminated

In February 1.6% of trains arrived 6-10" late with 27 (0.15%) cancelled or terminated. Overall, 98.8% of trains arrived within 10" of schedule.

Train delay minutes are reduced by 17% from last year.

Consist Compliance: 99.7% overall with 99.5% during Peak.

We continue to have additional M8 cars out of service due to on-going warranty modifications.

Due to significant ridership increases on the New Haven we have the minimum number cars to support the daily requirement. The additional 66 new M8 cars will start to be available for service Summer 2020 with delivery completion early 2021.

**West of Hudson Service** operated above goal at 96.5%. Port Jervis Line performance improved 4.3% from January

Pascack Valley – 97.2% YTD: 96.8%

Port Jervis – 95.6% YTD: 93.4%

#### **Cancelled Trains**

**2** (0.1%) PVL: 1, PJL: 1

2 – NJT Equipment Failures

#### **Equipment Performance**

Fleet Availability was good during February.

Fleet MDBF in January operated 23% above goal at 208,810 against the goal of 170,000.

Our 31 Genesis locomotives are at the end of their useful life and we are proceeding with a RFP for their replacement.



#### OPERATING REPORT For the Month of February 2020

				2020 Data		2019	Data
Performance Summary			Annual		YTD thru		YTD thru
i ononnanoo o'anniaiy			Goal	February	February	February	February
On Time Performance	System	Overall	93.0%	97.2%	97.3%	96.3%	96.1%
(Trains that arrive at	•	AM Peak	93.0%	96.3%	96.2%	94.8%	92.6%
their final destination	AM	Reverse Peak	93.0%	96.6%	97.5%	97.2%	95.7%
within 5 minutes 59 seconds		PM Peak	93.0%	97.3%	97.7%	97.1%	97.3%
of scheduled arrival time)		Total Peak	93.0%	96.7%	97.0%	96.1%	95.0%
,	Off P	eak Weekday	93.0%	96.4%	96.7%	95.4%	95.9%
		Weekend	93.0%	99.2%	99.0%	98.3%	98.3%
	Hudson Line	Overall	93.0%	98.2%	97.9%	97.1%	96.3%
		AM Peak	93.0%	97.2%	95.8%	95.7%	91.3%
	AM	Reverse Peak	93.0%	98.1%	98.0%	97.1%	93.9%
		PM Peak	93.0%	99.2%	98.6%	99.1%	98.6%
		Total Peak	93.0%	98.1%	97.2%	97.3%	94.7%
	Off P	eak Weekday	93.0%	97.3%	97.5%	95.6%	96.1%
		Weekend	93.0%	99.5%	99.4%	99.1%	99.2%
	Harlem Line	Overall	93.0%	96.7%	97.2%	97.5%	97.2%
		AM Peak	93.0%	94.8%	96.1%	95.7%	93.5%
	AM	Reverse Peak	93.0%	94.2%	96.1%	97.5%	96.2%
		PM Peak	93.0%	95.8%	96.9%	97.2%	97.8%
		Total Peak	<b>93.0%</b>	95.1%	96.4%	96.6%	95.7%
	Off F	eak Weekday	93.0%	96.7%	96.8%	97.9%	97.7%
		Weekend	93.0%	99.2%	99.5%	98.5%	98.7%
	New Haven	Overall	93.0%	97.1%	97.1%	95.0%	95.2%
	Line	AM Peak	93.0%	96.8%	96.4%	93.4%	92.6%
	AM	Reverse Peak	93.0%	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%	96.2%
		PM Peak	93.0%	97.3%	97.8%	95.7%	96.0%
		Total Peak	<b>93.0%</b>	97.2%	97.3%	94.9%	94.5%
	Off P	eak Weekday	93.0%	95.7%	96.1%	93.5%	94.5%
		Weekend	93.0%	99.1%	98.3%	97.8%	97.6%
<b>Operating Statistics</b>		ns Scheduled		18,019	37,407	17,568	36,976
Δ	vg. Delay per Lat excluding trains ca	te Train (min) ncelled or terminated		12.5	12.3	11.1	11.7
		• 15 min. Late	2,300	109	210	87	238
		ins Canceled	230	14	21	4	13
		s Terminated	230	13	32	29	47
Percent of	of Scheduled Trip	s Completed	99.8%	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%	99.8%
Consist Compliance	System	Overall	<b>99.0%</b>	99.7%	99.6%	99.2%	98.9%
(Percent of trains where the		AM Peak	98.0%	99.0%	98.8%	98.0%	96.7%
number of seats provided	AM	Reverse Peak	99.5%	100.0%	99.9%	100.0%	100.0%
was greater than or equal		PM Peak	98.0%	99.8%	99.7%	98.2%	97.6%
to the required number of		Total Peak	<b>98.0%</b>	99.5%	99.3%	98.4%	97.5%
seats per loading standards)	Off P	eak Weekday	99.5%	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%	99.6%
		Weekend	99.5%	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%	99.5%
	Hudson Line	AM Peak	99.0%	99.6%	99.6%	100.0%	99.9%
		PM Peak	99.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%	99.7%
	Harlem Line	AM Peak	98.0%	99.2%	99.4%	98.3%	98.4%
		PM Peak	98.0%	99.6%	99.6%	97.8%	98.5%
	New Haven	AM Peak	97.0%	98.4%	97.6%	96.5%	93.0%
	Line	PM Peak	97.0%	99.9%	99.6%	97.7%	95.2%

#### Metro-North Railroad

#### OPERATING REPORT For the Month thru February 2020

#### SYSTEM Category of Delay

or or Elli outogory or Boldy			2020	Data	2019	Data	
Delay Minutes /				YTD thru		YTD thru	<b>YTD</b> 2020
Delay Threshold	% Total	January	February	February	February	February	<b>Vs</b> 2019
Engineering (Scheduled)	2.4%	57	26	82	169	255	-172
Engineering (Unscheduled)	36.2%	264	384	648	228	637	12
Maintenance of Equipment	17.0%	389	180	570	301	663	-93
Transportation	4.2%	50	45	95	46	98	-3
Capital Projects	1.3%	20	14	33	56	169	-136
Weather and Environmental	10.7%	57	114	171	72	175	-4
Police	8.8%	184	93	278	79	295	-17
Customers	3.4%	44	36	80	33	112	-32
Other	15.7%	98	167	265	153	285	-20
3rd Party Operations	0.2%	1	3	4	0	1	2
TOTAL	100.0%	1,163	1,061	2,224	1,137	2,688	-464
HUDSON LINE	% Total	January	February	YTD thru February	February	YTD thru February	YTD 2020 Vs 2019
Engineering (Scheduled)	2.3%	5	4	9	35	50	-41
Engineering (Unscheduled)	17.6%	47	31	78	26	103	-25
Maintenance of Equipment	25.0%	74	44	118	66	166	-48
Transportation	2.3%	11	4	16	4	16	0
Capital Projects	2.3%	13	4	17	7	75	-58
Weather and Environmental	9.1%	18	16	35	20	54	-19
Police	9.1%	23	16	39	12	63	-24
Customers	6.3%	14	11	26	11	29	-3
Other	26.1%	1	46	48	11	70	-22
3rd Party Operations	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100.0%	206	176	386	192	626	-240
HARLEM LINE	% Total	January	February	YTD thru February	February	YTD thru February	YTD 2020 Vs 2019
Engineering (Scheduled)	1.6%	18	6	24	3	14	10
Engineering (Unscheduled)	50.1%	70	184	255	81	193	62
Maintenance of Equipment	8.2%	60	30	90	94	161	-71
Transportation	6.5%	19	24	43	9	21	22
Capital Projects	1.4%	1	5	6	4	35	-29
Weather and Environmental	6.5%	1	24	25	30	86	-61
Police	7.6%	61	28	89	21	75	14
Customers	2.7%	16	10	26	4	27	-1
Other	15.3%	2	56	58	34	43	15
3rd Party Operations	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100.0%	248	367	616	280	655	-39
NEW HAVEN LINE	% Total	January	February	YTD thru February	February	YTD thru February	YTD 2020 Vs 2019
Engineering (Scheduled)	3.6%	29	16	44	130	190	-146
Engineering (Unscheduled)	37.0%	138	165	303	121	337	-34
Maintenance of Equipment	12.6%	147	56	202	130	264	-62
Transportation	3.1%	7	14	21	34	60	-39
Capital Projects	1.1%	5	5	10	45	59	-49
Weather and Environmental	16.4%	38	73	111	22	36	75
	10.1%	92	45	137	46	157	-20
Police	-						
Police Customers	3.1%	12	14	26	17	54	-28
		12 53	14 58	26 111	17 108	54 171	-28 -60
Customers	3.1%						

Master Page # 192 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020



#### OPERATING REPORT For The Month of February 2020

#### EVENTS RESULTING IN 10 or MORE LATE (L), CANCELED (C) or TERMINATED (T) TRAINS

			Number of Late Trains																	
			A	M Pe	ak	AM	Rev	erse	PI	И Ре	ak	Ot	ff Pe	ak	W	eeke	end		TOTAL	
Date	Day	DESCRIPTION OF EVENT	L	С	Т	L	С	Т	L	С	Т	L	С	Т	L	С	Т	Late	Cxld	Term
02/04	Tue	Delayed for a disorderly passenger on Train 1508 on Track 3 at Harlem-125th Street Station.	7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
02/05	Wed	NHL Delays account the 53 Switch at CP 235 is out of correspondence.	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0
02/07	Fri	Crossing gate arm at Cross Street Crossing (MP 1.7) is broken on Danbury Branch, Stop & Warn is in effect.	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	7	1	0	0	0	0	11	1	1
02/07	Fri	A large tree down on New Canaan Branch at Cat 183.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	8	1	0	0	0	1	9	7
02/08	Sat	Residual delays from NC Tree on 2/07.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	17	0	0
02/10	Mon	Train 916 departed late account late Engineer resulting in congestion.	10	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0
02/14	Fri	A dog on the track area on Track 4 at Williams Bridge Station.	21	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0
02/19	Wed	Scheduled opening of Harlem River Lift Bridge.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0
02/20	Thu	The 31 Switch at CP 109 failed due to broken moveable frog.	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	0
02/21	Fri	Broken rail on Track 2 at MP 19.6, just North of CP 119.	21	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0
		TOTAL FOR MONTH	65	0	0	27	0	0	45	1	7	110	9	1	17	0	0	264	10	8
																			282	

#### Metro-North Railroad

					2020		2019 Data				
	Equip- ment Type	Total Fleet Size	MDBF Goal (miles)	Jan MDBF (miles)	Primary Failure Goal	Jan No. of Primary Failures	YTD MDBF thru Jan (miles)	12 month MDBF Rolling Avg (miles)	Jan MDBF (miles)	Jan No. of Primary Failures	YTD MDBF thru Jan (miles)
Mean											
Distance	M8	405	285,000	264,021	9	10	264,021	367,897	257,430	10	257,430
Between	M3	138	80,000	109,684	4	3	109,684	83,278	319,297	1	319,297
Failures	M7	334	335,000	500,435	6	4	500,435	392,105	219,026	9	219,026
	Coach	207	205,000	184,201	8	8	184,201	284,271	184,008	8	184,008
	P-32	31	21,000	48,519	9	4	48,519	38,797	38,409	5	38,409
	BL-20	12	13,000	14,412	4	3	14,412	31,507	19,301	2	19,301
	Fleet	1127	170,000	208,810	40	32	208,810	241,740	187,644	35	187,644
	N	18	285,000	264,021	9	10	264,021	367,897	257,430	10	257,430
	M	3/7	232,000	332,971	10	7	332,971	256,452	229,053	10	229,053
	Diesel	/Coach	85,000	114,062	21	15	114,062	148,824	113,514	15	113,514

Mean Distance Between Failures (MDBF) is the average number of miles a railcar or locomotive travels in revenue service before breaking down and causing a delay. The higher the MDBF, the more reliable the equipment and the service.





MTA



#### OPERATING REPORT For the Month of February 2020

West of Hudson				2020 Data		2019	Data
West of Hudson Performance Summary			Annual Goal	February	YTD thru February	February	YTD thru February
On Time Performance	West of	Overall	93.0%	96.5%	95.3%	90.6%	90.4%
(Trains that arrive at	Hudson Total	AM Peak	93.0%	94.7%	94.2%	93.1%	89.2%
their final destination		PM Peak	93.0%	95.5%	94.2%	87.4%	87.3%
within 5 minutes 59 seconds	e	Total Peak	<b>93.0%</b>	95.1%	94.2%	90.3%	88.3%
of scheduled arrival time)	Off F	Peak Weekday	93.0%	96.5%	95.3%	87.5%	89.6%
		Weekend	93.0%	98.2%	96.8%	97.1%	94.9%
	Pascack Line	Overall	<b>93.0%</b>	97.2%	96.8%	95.4%	93.7%
	Valley Line	AM Peak	93.0%	96.2%	95.7%	96.2%	93.2%
		PM Peak	93.0%	94.7%	95.4%	95.5%	92.5%
		Total Peak	93.0%	95.5%	95.5%	95.9%	92.9%
	Off F	Peak Weekday	93.0%	97.6%	96.5%	93.7%	93.8%
		Weekend	93.0%	98.4%	98.6%	97.7%	94.6%
	Port Jervis	Overall	93.0%	95.6%	93.4%	84.3%	85.9%
	Line	AM Peak	93.0%	93.0%	92.5%	89.5%	84.6%
		PM Peak	93.0%	96.5%	92.9%	78.1%	81.3%
		Total Peak	93.0%	94.7%	92.7%	83.8%	82.9%
	Off F	Peak Weekday	93.0%	95.1%	93.7%	79.3%	84.0%
		Weekend	93.0%	97.9%	93.8%	96.1%	95.3%
Operating Statistics	Trai	ns Scheduled		1,546	3,219	1,508	3,179
	Avg. Delay per La excluding trains ca	te Train (min) ancelled or terminated		20.3	22.3	33.1	26.5
		r 15 min. Late	300	24	70	60	138
	Tra	ains Canceled	60	2	10	6	10
	Train	s Terminated	60	8	18	6	11
Percent	99.4%	99.4%	99.1%	99.2%	99.3%		
Consist Compliance* (Percent of trains where the	99.0%	99.9%	99.6%	97.3%	98.3%		
number of coaches provided	d met Pasca	ck Valley - AM	99.0%	100.0%	99.8%	96.5%	97.7%
the scheduled requirement)		ort Jervis - AM	99.0%	99.9%	99.3%	98.1%	98.8%

\*Consist Compliance Reporting for West of Hudson PM Peak trains is currently unavailable.



#### OPERATING REPORT For The Month of February 2020

			Number of Late Trains														
			AM Peak PM Peak			Off	Pea	k	We	eken	d	Т					
Date	Day	DESCRIPTION OF EVENT	L	С	т	L	С	т	L	С	Т	L	С	т	Late	Cxld	Term
02/07	Fri	PJL: TRAIN 47 WAS TERMINATED AT SALISBURY MILLS - CORNWALL ACCOUNT UNABLE TO ACCELERATE / MAINTAIN TRACK SPEED .	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	1
02/11	Tue	PJL: TRAIN 64 WAS TERMINATED AT PLAUDERVILLE ACCOUNT TRESPASSER STRIKE.	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	1
02/19	Wed	PJL: MNR CAB SIGNAL FAILURE; NOT ABLE TO ESTABLISH TRAFFIC.	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
02/25	Tue	PVL: SERVICE IMPACTED ACCOUNT TRESPASSER INCIDENT WEST OF LINCOLN BLVD., MP 19.6.	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	2
		TOTAL FOR MONTH	4	0	0	7	0	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	15	1	4
																20	

#### WEST OF HUDSON

#### EVENTS RESULTING IN 5 or MORE LATE (L), CANCELED (C) or TERMINATED (T) TRAINS

#### **FEBRUARY 2020 STANDEE REPORT**

East of Hudso	n		FEB	YTD	FEB	YTD
			2019	2019	2020	2020
Daily Average	Hudson Line	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
AM Peak		Add'l Standees	0	3	11	11
		Total Standees	0	3	12	11
	Harlem Line	Program Standees	31	16	31	16
		Add'l Standees	14	24	15	17
		Total Standees	45	39	46	32
	New Haven	Program Standees	24	12	24	12
	Line	Add'l Standees	77	153	34	58
		Total Standees	101	165	58	70
	EAST OF HUDS	SON TOTAL - AM PEAK	146	207	116	113
Daily Average	Hudson Line	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
PM Peak		Add'l Standees	0	0	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0	0	0
	Harlem Line	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
		Add'l Standees	14	9	0	1
		Total Standees	14	9	0	1
	New Haven	Program Standees	140	70	140	70
	Line	Add'l Standees	81	148	8	9
		Total Standees	221	218	148	79
	EAST OF HUD	SON TOTAL - PM PEAK	235	227	148	80

West of Hudso	on	FEB 2019	YTD 2019	FEB 2020	YTD 2020	
Daily Average	Port Jervis	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
AM Peak	Line	Add'l Standees	0	0	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0	0	0
	Pascack	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
	Valley Line	Add'l Standees	0	0	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0	0	0
	WEST OF HUD	SON TOTAL - AM PEAK	0	0	0	0
Daily Average	Port Jervis	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
PM Peak	Line	Add'l Standees	0	0	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0	0	0
	Pascack	Program Standees	0	0	0	0
	Valley Line	Add'l Standees	0	0	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0	0	0
	WEST OF HUD	DSON TOTAL - PM PEAK	0	0	0	0

\* Consist compliance and Standee Reporting for the West of Hudson Peak trains is currently unavailable .

#### **Definitions**

Weekday standees are calculated based on the most recent average weekday customer counts for the train's maximum load point. For Hudson, Harlem and most New Haven Line trains, this point occurs at GCT/125th St.. However, for certain New Haven Line trains, this maximum load point is east of Stamford.

"Program Standees" is the average number of customers in excess of programmed seating capacity. "Additional Standees" reflect the impact of reduced train car consists reported as consist compliance less than 100%.

**Note:** These statistics do not include the effects of daily ridership variation or uneven distribution of customers within trains. Holidays and Special Events for which there are special equipment programs are not included.

Operations Planning and Analysis/jc



#### ELEVATOR AND ESCALATOR OPERATING REPORT FOR MONTH OF February 2020

	20	20	20	19
Elevator Availability	February	Year to Date	February	Year to Date
Grand Central Terminal	98.75%	96.44%	87.50%	87.19%
Harlem	100.00%	98.44%	99.90%	99.95%
Hudson	99.67%	99.54%	99.92%	99.96%
New Haven	100.00%	94.96%	99.69%	99.85%
Overall Average	99.60%	97.34%	96.75%	96.74%

PLEASE NOTE: The NE-1 Elevator is Out of Service due to East Side Access construction. The NE-1 Elevator is excluded from this report.

]	20	20	20	19
Escalator Availability	February	Year to Date	February	Year to Date
Grand Central Terminal	100.00%	99.81%	75.00%	60.35%
White Plains	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Overall Average	100.00%	99.91%	87.50%	80.17%

PLEASE NOTE: Escalators #3, #4, #5 and #6 (East Side near Market) are all Out of Service for scheduled service upgrade work and is excluded from this report through March of 2020.



## **Performance Metrics Report**



#### **On-Time Performance**

The percentage of trains that arrive at their final destination within 5'59" of schedule.

	20	20	20	19
Goal	Feb	YTD	Feb	YTD
93.0%	97.2%	97.3%	96.3%	96.1%



#### **Short Trains**

The number of AM trains that operate with fewer than the scheduled number of cars.

	20	20	20	19
Goal	Feb	YTD	Feb	YTD
1138	27	70	59	108





#### Switch/Signal Delays

The number of trains that arrive at their final destination later than 5'59" of schedule due to Switch/Signal causes.

	20	20	20	19
Goal	Feb	YTD	Feb	YTD
1896	89	153	107	243





#### Fare Not Collected Rate

The percentage of instances an MTA Audit Operative's fare is not collected.

	20	20	20	19
Goal	Feb	YTD	Feb	YTD
4.0%	2.9%	3.7%	2.9%	4.0%



#### **Incorrect Fare Collected Rate**

The percentage of instances an incorrect fare is sold to or accepted from an MTA Audit Operative by a conductor.

	20	20	20	19
Goal	Feb	YTD	Feb	YTD
14.3%	12.3%	12.0%	22.2%	18.0%





#### **Credit Card Chargeback Rate**

The percentage of credit card sales in dollars that are rejected due to fraud.

	20	20	20	19
Goal	Feb	YTD	Feb	YTD
0.088%	0.073%	0.078%	0.079%	0.076%





## **Finance Report**

#### **Steven Weiss**

Executive Director, Management & Budget



Metro-North Railroad is on track to meet the December 2020 deadline to install Positive Train Control (PTC). As of February 6<sup>th</sup>, PTC covers the Hudson Line from Marble Hill to Poughkeepsie, the Harlem Line from Mount Vernon West to Wassaic, and the entire Danbury Branch in Connecticut. Between August 2019 and January 2020, 25,410 trains ran in full PTC.

**Metro-North Railroad** 

### February 2020 Highlights: Financial Report

The Metro-North Railroad's Monthly Financial Report provides year-to-date fiscal information comparing actual revenues, expenses and staffing levels to the Adopted Budget (budget) and key financial performance indicators.

#### Summary of Non-Reimbursable Year-to-Date (YTD) February 2020 Financial Results

Ridership and accrual results, versus the budget, are summarized as follows:

- Ridership of 13.2 million, which includes East of Hudson ridership of 12.9 million and West of Hudson ridership of 0.2 million, was flat vs. budget. Consequently, farebox revenue of \$117.7 million was \$3.6 million higher than budget.
- Total revenue of \$128.4 million was \$3.8 million or 3.1% higher than budget primarily due to higher average yield per passenger across all East of Hudson Lines.
- Total expenses of \$214.4 million were \$11.7 million or 5.2% favorable vs. budget primarily due to the timing of expenses for the BL-20 locomotive overhaul program, rolling stock materials and consulting and engineering services.

## Financial results for Year-to-Date (YTD) February 2020 are presented in the table below and compared to the Adopted Budget.

	Adopted		Fav/(Unfav)	Variance
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>\$ Var</u>	<u>% Var</u>
Total Revenue	\$124.6	\$128.4	\$3.8	3.1%
Total Expenses before Non-Cash Liability Adjs.	226.0	214.4	11.7	5.2%
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)	(101.5)	(86.0)	15.5	15.3%
Other Non-Cash Liabilities	41.8	38.3	3.4	8.2%
Net Surplus/(Deficit) after Non-Cash Liability Adjs.	(143.2)	(124.3)	18.9	13.2%
Cash Adjustments	34.7	37.3	2.6	7.6%
Net Cash Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$108.5)	(\$87.0)	\$21.5	19.8%

MTA Matra North Pailroad

#### **Steven Weiss** Executive Director, Management & Budget

#### NON-REIMBURSABLE and REIMBURSABLE SUMMARY

February 2020 YTD Net Deficit (Non-Reimbursable and Reimbursable) of \$124.3 million was \$18.9 million or 13.2% favorable vs. the budget.

Major drivers of the February 2020 YTD favorable result of \$18.9 million include higher Non-Reimbursable Revenues of \$3.8 million primarily driven by higher farebox revenue. Non-Reimbursable Expenses were \$15.1 million favorable mainly due to lower non-labor spending primarily in the professional services, maintenance and other operating contracts and materials & supplies categories as well as the timing of non-cash liability adjustments.

February 2020 YTD Reimbursable Expenses of \$42.8 million were \$7.3 million favorable vs. the budget primarily due to the timing of several capital projects including Waterbury Branch Cab Signal, Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk, and Connecticut Positive Train Control which are partially offset by the transfer of Maybrook Trailway costs from Operating Capital to Reimbursable Capital.

#### **REVENUE/RIDERSHIP**

- Farebox Revenue YTD results were \$3.6 million higher vs. the budget primarily due to higher average yield per passenger across all East of Hudson lines.
  - YTD Ridership of 13.2 million was flat vs. the budget and 0.1% favorable vs. YTD 2019.
- Other Operating Revenue YTD was \$0.2 million or 1.8% favorable vs. the budget primarily due to higher advertising and parking revenue.
- Capital and Other Reimbursements YTD was \$7.3 million or 14.5% unfavorable vs. the budget primarily due to scheduling and timing changes noted above.

#### TOTAL EXPENSES (Non-Reimbursable and Reimbursable)

*Total Expenses* – YTD expenses of \$257.2 million were \$18.9 million or 6.9% favorable vs. the budget.

*Labor Expenses (including fringes and overhead recoveries)* of \$184.5 million YTD were \$3.2 million favorable vs. the budget.

- **Payroll** YTD was \$0.5 million unfavorable vs. the budget which primarily reflects the timing of retiree payouts partially offset by hiring and attrition savings.
- **Overtime** YTD was \$0.7 million favorable vs. the budget primarily due to fewer weather events.

*Non–Labor Expenses* of \$72.7 million YTD were \$15.7 million favorable vs. the budget.

- Electric Power YTD results were \$1.8 million favorable vs. the budget primarily due to lower rates.
- Fuel YTD expenses were \$0.3 million favorable vs. the budget primarily due to lower heating fuel consumption and rates.
- Maintenance & Other Operating Contracts YTD was \$7.2 million favorable vs. the budget due to the timing of Reimbursable project activity primarily for the Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk Project as well as the timing of Non-Reimbursable expenses for the BL-20 Overhaul Program and miscellaneous maintenance and operating contracts.
  - **Professional Services** YTD was \$2.6 million unfavorable vs. the budget due to the timing of Reimbursable project activity primarily related to the transfer of Maybrook Trailway costs from Operating Capital to Reimbursable Capital partially offset by the Connecticut Positive

Train Control Project. This activity is partially offset by lower than anticipated Non-Reimbursable expenses for consulting and engineering services.

- Materials & Supplies YTD was \$8.4 million favorable vs. the budget primarily due to the timing of the Waterbury Branch Cab Signal and the AC Circuit Breaker and Switchgear Replacement Projects as well as timing of maintenance events and material usage.
- Other Business Expenses YTD was \$0.1 million favorable vs. the budget primarily due to timing of Maybrook Trailway Project and lower print, stationary and travel expenses.

**Depreciation and Other Non-Cash Liability Adjustments** were \$3.4 million favorable vs. the YTD budget primarily due to lower Depreciation expense reflecting lower capitalization of assets than budgeted due to timing differences in project completions and assets reaching beneficial use.

#### CASH DEFICIT SUMMARY

February YTD Net Cash Deficit of \$87.0 million was \$21.5 million or 19.8% favorable to the budget. This is mainly due to the revised milestone scheduling of capital projects and timing of payments in several expenditure categories.

#### FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- Adjusted Farebox Operating Ratio of 67.9% was 10.9 percentage points favorable vs. the budget due to a reclass of Maybrook Trailway expense from Operating Capital to Reimbursable Capital.
- Adjusted Cost per Passenger of \$14.76 was \$2.32 favorable vs. the budget due to a reclass of Maybrook Trailway expense from Operating Capital to Reimbursable Capital.
- Revenue per Passenger of \$8.93 was \$0.28 favorable vs. the budget.

	mbursable           Favora           Unifavor           Variance           0         0.000           0         0.000	<u>a</u>							SCHED	SCHEDULE I - A
Adopted Adopted Adopted Adopted Adopted Budget Adopted	Favora (Unfavor Variance 0 0.000 0 (0.396)	a		Reimbursable	able			Tota	_	
Budget         Adopted           Budget         Adopted           Crevenue         55.500         5           Toll Revenue         0.000         0.000           Parating Revenue         5.226         5           3.01her Reimburssements:         0.000         0.000           0.000         0.000         0.000           0.000         0.000         0.000           evenue         5.226         5           estital and Other Reimbursements         0.000         0.000           evenue         560.727         5           est         7.924         5           entre Velfare         7.924         5	Variance 9 \$2.489 0 0.000 0 (0.396)	ble)			Favorable (Unfavorable)	le ble)			Favorable (Unfavorable)	ole Ible)
Image:         \$55,500         \$           C Revenue         0.000         \$           perating Revenue         0.000         \$           & Other Reimbursements:         0.000         \$           & Other Reimbursements:         0.000         \$           Capital and Other Reimbursements         0.000         \$           Capital and Other Reimbursements         0.000         \$           evenue         \$         \$         \$           Additional and Other Reimbursements         \$         \$         \$           evenue         \$         \$         \$         \$           e         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$           e         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$		Percent	Adopted Budget	Actual	Variance P	Percent	Adopted Budget	Actua	Variance	Percent
x Unter Reimbursements: Capital and Other Reimbursements 0.000 capital and Other Reimbursements 0.000 evenue 560.727 \$ 60.727 \$ 61.968 \$ 62.732 \$ 63.000 7.924 \$ 63.000 7.924 \$ 63.000 7.924 \$ 64.000 7.924 \$ 65.000 7.924 \$ 65.000 7.924 \$ 65.000 7.924 \$ 65.000 7.924 \$ 7.924 \$ 7.924 \$ 7.920 7.900		4.5 - (7.6)	\$0.000 0.000 0.000	000 0 000 0 000 0	\$0.000 0.000 0.000		\$55.500 0.000 5.226	\$57.989 0.000 4.830	\$2.489 0.000 (0.396)	4.5 - (7.6)
es 840.968 \$ 7.924 and Welfare 8.459 and Welfare 3.000	00 0.000 00 0.000 00 0.000 19 \$2.093		11.258 10.213 1.383 22.854 <b>\$22.854</b>	8 424 10 195 0 823 19 441 <b>\$19 441</b>	(2.834) (0.019) (0.561) (3.414) <b>(\$3.414)</b>	(25.2) (0.2) (40.5) (14.9) <b>(14.9</b> )	11.258 10.213 1.383 22.854 <b>\$83.581</b>	8.424 10.195 0.823 19.441 <b>\$82.260</b>	(2.834) (0.019) (0.561) (3.414) <b>(\$1.321)</b>	(25.2) (0.2) (40.5) (14.9) (1.6)
8.319 8.319 10.258 (4.309) <b>\$</b> <b>\$74.619 \$</b>	40.846 \$0.122 6.825 1.100 7.569 0.880 3.580 (0.580) 7.828 0.491 7.828 0.491 7.828 0.491 7.828 0.491 7.828 0.491 7.828 0.491 7.295 1.1025 4.350	0.3 13.9 (19.3) 5.9 24.0 24.0	\$3.929 1.661 1.260 0.000 0.910 0.971 4.042 <b>\$12.773</b>	\$3.578 2.201 1.246 0.000 0.885 0.960 5.034 <b>\$13.903</b>	\$0.351 (0.540) 0.014 0.025 0.011 (0.992) (0.992]	8.9 1.1 2.7 (24.5) (24.5) (8.9)	\$44.897 9.585 9.718 3.000 9.229 11.229 (0.267) <b>\$87.392</b>	\$44.425 9.025 8.815 3.580 8.713 9.922 (0.307) <b>\$84.173</b>	\$0.472 0.560 0.903 (0.580) 0.516 0.516 1.307 0.040 <b>\$3.219</b>	1.1 5.8 5.8 9.3 1.1 1.6 1.1 1.6 1.1 1.1 0.1 1.1 0.1 1.1 0.1 1.1 0.1 1.1 0.1 1.1 0.3 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1
Non-Labor:         \$7,087         \$5,987           Electric Power         1,639         1,513           Fuel         1,639         1,513           Fuel         1,639         1,513           Insurance         0,008         0,000           Datamas         0,000         0,000         0,000           Paratensiti Service Contracts         8,77         2,340           Martienance and Other Operating Contracts         8,787         8,370           Professional Service Contracts         2,024         2,340           Martienance Schenses         2,024         2,340           Martenance and Other Operating Contracts         8,370         2,340           Martenance and Other Operating Contracts         8,326         2,323           Other Business Expenses         2,024         2,032           Antel Non-Labor         532,558         \$20,821	59         51118           13         0.126           22         0.098           000         0.098           000         0.098           000         0.098           000         0.098           011         0.000           02         0.000           03         0.145           0415         0.145           05         0.145           06         0.145           07         0.534	15.8 7.7 6.4	\$0.000 0.228 0.228 0.000 0.000 0.228 2.229 3.269 3.200 3.200 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.209 3.200 3.209 3.2000 3.2000 3.2000 3.2000 3.20000000000	\$0.000 0.000 0.163 0.163 0.000 0.000 0.000 3.129 3.129 3.129 3.123	\$0,000 0,005 0,000 0,000 0,000 1,728 1,885 1,885 0,837 0,028 <b>\$4.544</b>	28.6 28.6 53.5 21.1 45.8 45.4	\$7.087 1.639 1.639 0.098 0.098 0.000 12.016 5.519 12.490 2.086 <b>5.40</b>	\$5,969 1,513 1,545 (0,000) 0,0000 0,000000	\$1.118 0.126 0.160 0.008 0.008 0.008 0.008 0.143 2.143 2.143 2.291 (0.124) (0.124)	15.8 7.7 9.4 17.8 18.3 (6.0) <b>19.4</b>
Other Adjustments: 0.000 0.000 Total Other Adjustments \$0.000 \$0.000	<b>000<sup>-</sup>0\$    0</b>	1 1	000 <b>0</b> 0000	<b>000 0\$</b>	0.000 \$0.000		0.000 \$0.000	000 0	00000 <b>0</b>	
Total Expenses before Non-Cash Liability Adjs. \$107.178 \$99.091	91 \$8.087	7.5	\$22,854	\$19.441	\$3.414	14.9	\$130.032	\$118.532	\$11.500	8.8
Depreciation         20.542         19.085           OPEB Obligation         0.000         0.000         0.000           GASB0 Pension Adjustment         0.000         0.000         0.000           GASIA Pension Adjustment         0.000         0.000         0.000           GASB75 Adjustment         0.000         0.000         0.000	35 1.457 00 0.000 00 0.333 00 0.333	7.1 - 100.0	000.0 000.0 000.0 000.0	000 0 000 0 000 0	000 0 000 0 000 0		20.542 0.000 0.000 0.333 0.333	19.085 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.457 0.000 0.333 0.333	7.1 - 100.0
Total Expenses \$118.176	76 \$9.877	7.7	\$22 <u>854</u>	\$19.441	\$3 414	14.9	\$150,908	\$137.617	\$13.291	8.8
Net Surplus/(Deficit) (\$55.356)	56) \$11.970	17.8	\$0 <mark>.000</mark>	\$0 <mark>.</mark> 000	\$0.000	ı	(\$67.327)	(\$55.356)	\$11.970	17.8
Cash Conversion Adjustments:         20.542         19.085           Depreciation         (4.20)         (1.290)           Oher Cash Adjustments         5.243         (0.461)           Total Cash Conversion Adjustments         5.243         (3.7329)	35 (1.457) 35) 3.625 31) (5.704) <b>29 (\$3.536)</b>	(7.1) 73.7 * (16.9)	0.000 0.000 <b>0.000</b>	<b>000 0</b> 000 0 000 0	<b>000</b> 0000 0000 0000		20 542 (4 920) 5 243 <b>\$20 865</b>	19.085 (1.295) (0.461) <b>\$17.329</b>	(1.457) 3.625 (5.704) <b>(\$3.536)</b>	(7.1) 73.7 * (16.9)
Net Cash Surplus/(Deficit) (\$38.027) (\$38.027)	27) \$8.434	18.2	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	I	(\$46.461)	(\$38.027)	<b>\$8.4</b> 34	18.2

Antimitation of the property of the proproperty of the property of the property of the prop			FEBRUAR	MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET ACCRUAL STATEMENT of OPERATIONS by CATEGORY FEBRUARY YEAR-10-DATE	TA METRO-NORTH RAILROA NANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOF ATEMENT of OPERATIONS FEBRUARY YEAR-TO-DATE	RAILROAD 20 ADOPTED VTIONS by C/ 0-DATE	) BUDGET ATEGORY						
												SCHED	SCHEDULE I - B
Formula         Formula         Formula         Formula         Formula           Adopted         Junger         Variance         Junger         Junger         Junger         Junger           Banger         Junger         Variance         Junger         Variance         Junger         Junger         Junger           Banger         Junger         Junger <th></th> <th></th> <th>Nonreimbur</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Reimbur</th> <th>sable</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Total</th> <th></th> <th></th>			Nonreimbur				Reimbur	sable			Total		
Autor         Autor <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>I</th><th>Favorabi (Unfavorat</th><th>le Vle)</th><th></th><th>I</th><th>Favora (Unfavori</th><th>ble able)</th><th>- - -</th><th>I</th><th>Favorable (Unfavorable)</th><th>le ble)</th></th<>			I	Favorabi (Unfavorat	le Vle)		I	Favora (Unfavori	ble able)	- - -	I	Favorable (Unfavorable)	le ble)
Nithering (unif) (uni		Adopted Budget	Actual		ercent	Adopted Budget	Actua		Percent	Adopted Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent
Mile         0.000	Revenue Farebox Revenue Ventida Toll Revenue Otter Operating Revenue	\$114.072 0.000 10.485	\$117.718 0.000 10.671	\$3.645 0.000 0.186	3.2 - 1.8	\$0.000 000.0	\$0.000 000.0	\$0.000 0.000 0.000		\$114.072 0.000 10.485	\$117.718 0.000 10.671	\$3.645 0.000 0.186	3.2 - 1.8
SF7.363         389.961         (52.00)         (2.3)         38.334         56.875         51.518         16.1         386.347           17.394         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.74         15.75         15.74         15.75         15.74         15.75         15.74         15.75	Capital & Other Reimbursements: MTA CDOT Other Total Revolue/Receipts Total Revolue/Receipts	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$124.557</b>	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$128.389</b>	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$3.831</b>	3.1.1.	25.083 22.143 2.894 50.120 <b>\$50.120</b>	17.206 14.924 10.697 42.828 <b>\$42.828</b>	(7.877) (7.218) 7.804 (7.292) <b>(57.292)</b>	(31.4) (32.6) * (14.5) (14.5)	25.083 22.143 2.894 50.120 <b>\$174.677</b>	17.206 14.924 10.697 42.828 <b>\$171.217</b>	(7.877) (7.218) 7.804 (7.292) <b>(\$3.460)</b>	(31.4) (32.6) * (14.5) (2.0)
	<u>Expenses</u> Labor: Payroll	\$87.953	\$89.961	(\$2.008)	(2.3)	\$8.394	\$6.875	\$1.518	18.1	\$96.347	\$96.836	(\$0.489)	(0.5)
17,300 $71,730$	Overtime Health and Welfare OPEB Current Payment	17.394 18.686 6.000	15.874 18.042 6.790	1.519 0.645 (0.790)	8.7 3.4 (13.2)	3.535 2.688 0.000	4.398 2.407 0.000	(0.863) 0.282 0.000	(24.4) 10.5	20.928 21.375 6.000	20.272 20.448 6.790	0.656 0.926 (0.790)	3.1 4.3 (13.2)
S13.75         S12.069         S168         R2         S000         S007         S007         S007         S007         S13.75           3.582         2.347         0.200         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         5.562         3.552           2.584         2.747         0.207         7.0         0.491         0.313         0.177         96.2         3.552           2.564         2.747         0.200         0.000	Pensions Other Fringe Benefits Reimbursable Overhead Total Labor	17.909 21.626 (9.222) <b>\$160.346</b>	17 478 19 599 (10 284) <b>\$157 460</b>	0.431 2.027 1.062 <b>\$2.886</b>	2.4 9.4 1.5	1 942 2 074 8 703 <b>\$27 336</b>	1 731 1 867 9 740 <b>\$27 017</b>	0.211 0.207 (1.037) <b>\$0.318</b>	10.9 10.0 <b>1.2</b>	19.851 23.700 (0.519) <b>\$187.681</b>	19 209 21 466 (0 544) <b>\$184 477</b>	0.642 2.234 0.025 <b>\$3.205</b>	0 0 4 4 0 4 9 4 7
Rise         3.362         3.326         0.227         8.0         0.000         0.	Non-Labor: Elertic Power	\$13 757	\$12 059	\$1 698	10 3		(\$0.047)	\$0.09		\$13 757	\$11 962	\$1 795	13.0
stating contracts         0.190         0.000         0.190         0.000 <td>Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer</td> <td>3.582 2.954</td> <td>3.295 2.747</td> <td>0.207</td> <td>8.0 7.0</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>36.2</td> <td>3.582 3.445</td> <td>3.295</td> <td>0.287</td> <td>8.0</td>	Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer Fuer	3.582 2.954	3.295 2.747	0.207	8.0 7.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	36.2	3.582 3.445	3.295	0.287	8.0
Traing Contracts         17.235         15.07         2.158         15.07         2.158         15.07         2.138         2.005         7.5.8         2.3842           ating Contracts         17.035         14.367         15.02         3.374         5.739         56.75         15.336           ating Contracts         17.055         14.367         15.07         3.12         0.1057         51.73         36.75         4.633           ating Sc.560         556.903         58.771         13.4         522.784         51.33         3.06         58.844         4.633           ating Sc.560         56.600         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         -         0.000         -         4.633         4.633           ating Sc.560         56.600         50.000         -         0.000         0.000         -         0.000         -         0.000         -         50.000         -         50.000         -         50.000         -         50.000         -         41.084         52.61.145         52.728         52.61.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.761.145         52.7292<	Claims Paratransit Service Contracts	0.190 0.000	(0000) 0.000	0.190 0.000	* 1	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.190 0.000	(0000) 0.000	0.190 0.000	* 1
4.539       4.486       0.053       1.2       0.123       0.052       0.071       5.76       4.663 <b>565,680 566,909 58,771 13,4 52,784 51,5811</b> 56,973       30,6       8,84,44       * <b>655,680 566,909 58,771 13,4 52,774 51,5811</b> 56,973       30,6 <b>58,464</b> * <b>6,000 50,000 51,1,45</b> <th< td=""><td>Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Professional Service Contracts Materials &amp; Supplies</td><td>17.235 6.369 17.053</td><td>15.077 4.867 14.378</td><td>2.158 1.502 2.675</td><td>12.5 23.6 15.7</td><td>6.607 5.950 9.613</td><td>1.601 10.067 3.874</td><td>5.005 (4.117) 5.739</td><td>75.8 (69.2) 59.7</td><td>23.842 12.318 26.667</td><td>16.678 14.933 18.252</td><td>7 164 (2 615) 8 415</td><td>30.0 (21.2) 31.6</td></th<>	Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Professional Service Contracts Materials & Supplies	17.235 6.369 17.053	15.077 4.867 14.378	2.158 1.502 2.675	12.5 23.6 15.7	6.607 5.950 9.613	1.601 10.067 3.874	5.005 (4.117) 5.739	75.8 (69.2) 59.7	23.842 12.318 26.667	16.678 14.933 18.252	7 164 (2 615) 8 415	30.0 (21.2) 31.6
	Other Business Expenses Total Non-Labor	4 539 <b>\$65 680</b>	4 486 <b>\$56 909</b>	0.053 <b>\$8.771</b>	1.2 <b>13.4</b>	0.123 <b>\$22.784</b>	0.052 <b>\$15.811</b>	0.071 <b>\$6.973</b>	57.6 <b>30.6</b>	4 663 <b>\$88 464</b>	4.539 <b>\$72.719</b>	0.124 <b>\$15.745</b>	2.7 <b>17.8</b>
n-Cash Liability Adjs.         \$226,026         \$214,368         \$11,657 $5.2$ \$50,120         \$42,828         \$7.292 $14.5$ \$276,145         \$5           n-Cash Liability Adjs. $23170$ $23170$ $2314$ $7.1$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $ 0.000$ $-$	Other Adjustments Other Total Other Adjustments	<b>000'0\$</b>	000 0 000 0	0000 80'000		000 0 <b>\$</b>	000 <sup>-</sup> 0 <b>\$</b>	000 0 <b>\$</b>	1 1	0 000 0	000 0 0	000 0 <b>\$</b>	
41.084         38.170 $2.914$ 7.1         0.000	Total Expenses before Non-Cash Liability Adjs.	\$226.026	\$214.368	\$11.657	5.2	\$50.120	\$42.828	\$7.292	14.5	\$276.145	\$257.196	\$18,949	6'9
\$267.777         \$252.772         \$15.065         5.6         \$50.120         \$42.828         \$7.292         14.5         \$317.897         \$:           ris:         (\$143.220)         (\$124.323)         \$18.896         13.2         \$0.000         \$0.000          (\$143.220)         (\$14.5         \$317.897         \$:           ris:         (\$143.220)         (\$124.323)         \$18.896         13.2         \$0.000         \$0.000          (\$143.220)         (\$1           ris:         (\$1.084         38.170         (\$2.914)         (7.1)         0.000         0.000          (\$14.085)         (\$11.065)         (\$11.065)          41.084          41.084          41.084          41.084          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          41.085          4.663         .	Depreciation OPEB Obligation GASB9 Pension Adjustment Environmental Remediation GASB75 Adjustment	41.084 0.000 0.000 0.667 0.000	38.170 0.000 0.000 0.174 0.174	2.914 0.000 0.493 0.000	7.1 - 73.9	000.0	000 0 000 0 000 0 000 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		41.084 0.000 0.000 0.667 0.000	38.170 0.000 0.000 0.174 0.000	2.914 0.000 0.493 0.000	7.1 - 73.9
(\$143.220)         (\$124.323)         \$18.896         (13.2         \$0.000         \$0.000         \$0.000         -         (\$143.220)         (\$144.213)         (\$143.220)         (\$144.213)         (\$143.263)         (\$144.213)         (\$144.200)         (\$1000) <t< td=""><td>Total Expenses</td><td>\$267.777</td><td>\$252.712</td><td>\$15.065</td><td>5.6</td><td>\$50.120</td><td>\$42.828</td><td>\$7.292</td><td>14.5</td><td>\$317,897</td><td>\$295.540</td><td>\$22.356</td><td>7.0</td></t<>	Total Expenses	\$267.777	\$252.712	\$15.065	5.6	\$50.120	\$42.828	\$7.292	14.5	\$317,897	\$295.540	\$22.356	7.0
745: 746: 741.084 38.170 (2.914) (7.1) 0.000 0.000 0.000 - 41.084 741.065) 6.893 17.959 * 0.000 0.000 - (11.065) 7.503 (7.750) (12.413) * 0.000 0.0000 - 4.663 7.6 \$0.000 \$0.000 0.0000 - \$34.682 3. 9.34.682 \$37.313 \$2.631 7.6 \$0.000 \$0.000 - \$34.682 3. 7.6 \$0.000 0.0000 - \$34.682 3. 7.6 \$0.000 0.0000 - \$34.682 3. 7.6 \$0.000 0.0000 - \$34.682 3. 7.6 \$34.682 - \$37.31 3. 7.6 \$36.682 - \$37.31 3. 7.	Net Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$143_220)	(\$124.323)	\$18.896	13.2	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0 <sup>.</sup> 000	ı	(\$143_220)	(\$124.323)	\$18.896	13.2
(11.055) 6.893 17.959 (11.055) 6.893 17.959 (11.055) 4.663 (7.750) (12.413) * 0.000 0.000 - (11.055) <b>3.34.682 \$37.313 \$2.631 7.6 \$0.000 \$0.000 - \$3.4.682 1</b> justments <b>\$34.682 \$37.313 \$2.631 7.6 \$0.000 \$0.000 - \$3.4.682 1</b>	Cash Conversion Adjustments: Derrecistion	41 084	38 170	(2 914)	(7.1)					41 084	38 170	(2 914)	(1-1)
(64/01 53) (64/01 54) 100 60 000 60 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	Operating/Capital Option Capital Other Cash Adjustments Total Cash Conversion Adjustments	(11.065) 4.663 <b>\$34.682</b>	6.893 (7.750) <b>\$37.313</b>	(12.413) <b>\$2.631</b>	7.6	000 0 \$0.000	000 <b>.</b> 0 0000	00000 00000		(11 065) 4 663 <b>\$34 682</b>	6.893 (7.750) <b>\$37.313</b>	(12.413) (12.413) <b>\$2.631</b>	7.6
(\$108.537) (\$87.010) \$21.52/ 19.8 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 - (\$108.537)	Net Cash Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$108-537)	(\$87.010)	\$21.527	19.8	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	ı	(\$108.537)	(\$87.010)	\$21.527	19.8

reveals are used on the preliminate of does of the general ledger and are subject to review and adjustment. Please note that the current months' actuals do not include post-cleare adjustments, which will be captured in the subsequent month's YTD results.
 Differences are due to troinding.
 Variance exceeds 100%.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD ACCRUAL STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS BY CATEGORY EXPLANATION OF VARIANCE BETWEEN ADOPTED BUDGET AND ACTUAL RESULTS FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET (\$ in millions)

				Current Month vs. Adopted Budget			Year to Date vs. Adopted Budget
Generic Revenue	Non Reimb.	Vari. Fav (I	Variance Fav (Unfav)		Variance Fav (Unfav)	nce fav)	
or Expense Category	or Reimb.	\$	%	Reason for Variance	\$	%	Reason for Variance
FAREBOX REVENUE	Non-Reimb	\$2.489	4.5%	Primarily due to higher non-commutation ridership on all East of Hudson lines.	\$3.645	3.2%	Primarily due to higher non-commutation ridership on all East of Hudson lines.
OTHER OPERATING REVENUE	Non-Reimb	(\$0.396)	(7.6%)	Lower GCT retail income primarily due to timing.	\$0.186	1.8%	
CAPITAL AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENTS	Reimb	(\$3.414)	(14.9%)	Lower reimbursements reflect scheduling and timing changes in capital project expenditures.	(\$7.292)	(14.5%)	Lower reimbursements reflect scheduling and timing changes in capital project expenditures.
PAYROLL	Reimb	\$0.351	8.9%	Reflects primarily lower activity on Cyclical Track Program and Cyclical Replacement Insulated Joint Project partially offset by higher activity on Connecticut Track Program and Catenary C1A & C2 Replacement Project.	\$1.518	18.1%	Reflects primarily lower activity on Cyclical Track Program, Cyclical Replacement Insulated Joint Projects, Signal Bargecement from Greenwich to South Norwalk, GCT Turnouts - Switch Renewal and West of Hudson Track Improvement Projects.
OVERTIME	Non-Reimb	\$1.100	13.9%	See overtime tables.	\$1.519	8.7%	See overtime tables.
	Reimb	(\$0.540)	(32.5%)	See overtime tables.	(\$0.863)	(24.4%)	See overtime tables.
HEALTH AND WELFARE	Non-Reimb	\$0.889	10.5%	Primarily reflects lower than budgeted rates combined with lower labor costs.	\$0.645	3.4%	
	Reimb	\$0.014	1.1%		\$0.282	10.5%	Reflects primarily lower activity on Cyclical Track Program, Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk, GCT Turmouts - Switch Renewal, West of Hudson Track Improvement and Cyclical Replacement Insulated Joint Projects partially offset by higher activity on Connecticut Track Program and Catenary C1A & C2 Replacement Project.
OPEB CURRENT PAYMENT	Non-Reimb Reimb	(\$0.580)	(19.3%)	Reflects higher number of retirees receiving healthcare premiums than budgeted.	(062.0\$)	(13.2%)	Reflects higher number of retirees receiving healthcare premiums than budgeted.
PENSIONS	Non-Reimb	\$0.491	5.9%	Primarily reflects lower than budgeted rates combined with lower labor costs.	\$0.431	2.4%	
	Reimb	\$0.025	2.7%		\$0.211	10.9%	Reflects primarily lower activity on Cyclical Track Program, Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk and GCT Turnouts - Switch Renewal Projects.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD ACCRUAL STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS BY CATEGORY EXPLANATION OF VARIANCE BETWEEN ADOPTED BUDGET AND ACTUAL RESULTS FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET (\$ in millions)

				Current Month vs. Adopted Budget			Year to Date vs. Adopted Budget
	- - - -	- Vari	Variance		Variance	nce ,	
or Expense Category	Non Keimb. or Reimb.	+av (Untav) \$	ntav) %	Reason for Variance	Fav (Untav) \$	11av) %	Reason for Variance
OTHER FRINGE BENEFITS	Non-Reimb	\$1.295	12.6%	Primarily reflects a lower employee claim provision and employee reimbursements as well as lower than budgeted rates and labor costs.	\$2.027	9.4%	Primarily reflects a lower employee claim provision and lower than budgeted rates.
	Reimb	\$0.011	1.2%		\$0.207	10.0%	Reflects primarily lower activity on Cyclical Track Program, Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk and GCT Turnouts - Switch Renewal Projects.
REIMBURSABLE OVERHEAD	Non-Reimb	\$1.032	24.0%	The non-reimbursable and reimbursable variances reflect higher activity for several projects.	\$1.062	11.5%	The non-reimbursable and reimbursable variances reflect higher activity for several projects.
	Reimb	(\$0.992)	(24.5%)		(\$1.037)	(11.9%)	
ELECTRIC POWER	Non-Reimb	\$1.118	15.8%	Primarily due to favorable rates on all lines.	\$1.698	12.3%	Primarily due to favorable rates on all lines.
FUEL	Non-Reimb	\$0.126	%1.7	Primarily due to lower heating fuel consumption and rates.	\$0.287	8.0%	Primarily due to lower heating fuel consumption and rates.
INSURANCE	Non-Reimb	\$0.095	6.4%	Primarily reflects lower than budgeted Station Liability premiums.	\$0.207	7.0%	Primarily reflects lower than budgeted Station Liability premiums.
	Reimb	\$0.065	28.6%	Primarily reflects lower activity on GCT Turnouts - Switch Renewal and Cyclical Track Program.	\$0.177	36.2%	Primarily reflects lower activity on GCT Turnouts - Switch Renewal and Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norvalk Projects and Cyclical Track Program.
CLAIMS	Non-Reimb	\$0.098	*	Reflects a lower passenger claims provision than budgeted.	\$0.190	*	Reflects a lower passenger claims provision than budgeted.
MAINTENANCE AND OTHER OPERATING CONTRACTS	Non-Reimb	\$0.415	4.7%		\$2.158	12.5%	Reflects timing of BL-20 Locomotive Overhauls and miscellaneous maintenance and operating contracts.
	Reimb	\$1.728	53.5%	Reflects lower activity primarily due to timing and billing of the work for the Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk, Maybrook Trailway and GCT Leaks Remediation Projects.	\$5.005	75.8%	Reflects lower activity primarity due to timing and billing of the work for the Signal Replacement from Greenwich to South Norwalk, Maybrook Trailway (actuals are reflected in Professional Services) and GCT Leaks Remediation Projects.
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACTS	Non-Reimb	\$0.584	20.0%	Lower than anticipated consulting and engineering services as well as lower expense for New Haven Line MTA BSC and IT allocations.	\$1.502	23.6%	Lower than anticipated consulting and engineering services.
	Reimb	\$1.885	72.6%	Primarily reflects lower activity for Positive Train Control (CT), Oil Circuit Breaker Replacement, Sasco Creek Traction Power Supply Station and GCT Leaks Remediation Projects.	(\$4.117)	(69.2%)	Reflects transfer of costs on the Maybrook Trailway Project (budget in Maintenance Services) from Operating Capital and transfer of costs to Cos Cob Bridge Mitre Rail Replacement Project from Operating partially offset by timing of Positive Train Craction Power Supply Station, and GCT Leaks Remediation Projects.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD ACCRUAL STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS BY CATEGORY EXPLANATION OF VARIANCE BETWEEN ADOPTED BUDGET AND ACTUAL RESULTS FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET (\$ in millions)

				Current Month vs. Adopted Budget			Year to Date vs. Adopted Budget
Generic Revenue	Non Reimb.	Vari Fav (L	Variance Fav (Unfav)		Variance Fav (Unfav)	ince nfav)	
or Expense Category	or Reimb.	\$	%	Reason for Variance	\$	%	Reason for Variance
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	Non-Reimb	\$1.454	17.1%	Primarily due to timing of maintenance events and material usage and lower other inventory adjustments partially offset by higher infrastructure repairs.	\$2.675	15.7%	Primarily due to timing of maintenance events and material usage as well as lower other inventory adjustments and obsolete material reserves partially offset by higher infrastructure repairs.
	Reimb	\$0.837	21.1%	Reflects lower activity on the GCT/ESA Unified Trash Facility, Cyclical Track Program, GCT Turnouts-Switch Renewal and Mainline/High Speed Turnout Replacement Project.	\$5.739	59.7%	Reflects lower activity on the Waterbury Branch Cab Signal and Replace AC Circuit Breaker-Switchgear Projects, GCT/ESA Unified Trash Facility, Mainline/High Speed Turnout Replacement and West End Yard Construction Projects.
OTHER BUSINESS EXPENSES	Non-Reimb	(\$0.152)	(7.5%)	Primarily due to timing of subsidy payments to New Jersey Transit partially offset by lower print and stationary supply expense and scientivit hinder Amtrak recoveries.	\$0.053	1.2%	
	Reimb	\$0.028	45.8%	Primarily reflects lower activity on the Maybrook Trailway Project.	\$0.071	57.6%	Primarily reflects lower activity on the Maybrook Trailway Project.
DEPRECIATION	Non-Reimb	\$1.457	7.1%	Reflects lower capitalization of assets than budgeted due to timing differences in project completions and assets reaching beneficial use.	\$2.914	7.1%	Reflects lower capitalization of assets than budgeted due to timing differences in project completions and assets reaching beneficial use.
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	Non-Reimb	\$0.333	100.0%	Reflects timing of projects requiring remediation.	\$0.493	73.9%	Reflects timing of projects requiring remediation.
OPERATING CAPITAL	Non-Reimb	\$3.625	73.7%	Primarily reflects timing for the following projects: Replacement of the GCT Escalators, Vehicle Replacement Provision, Upper Harlem Pole Replacement, Renovation of the GCT Training Facility, Installation of the Wayside Energy Storage System and Video Surveillance System Initiative.	\$17.959	*	Primarity reflects timing for the following projects: Transfer of Maybrook Trailway expense to Reimbursable Capital, Replacement of the GCT Escalators, Track Geometry Car Purchase, Vehicle Replacement Provision, Upper Harlem Pole Replacement, Renovation of the GCT Training Facility, Installation of the Wayside Energy Storage System, Video Sturvellance System Initiative and Design/Reconfiguration of the Station Master's Office.
* Variance exceeds 100%.							

METRO-N	ORTH RAILR	METRO-NORTH RAILROAD – Non-Reimbursable Overtime Variance
February 2020 and \$M	February 2020 and YTD budget vs. variance $\$M$	e Overview
<ul> <li>Favorable</li> <li>Unfavorable</li> <li>Budget</li> </ul>	Annual budget: \$91.5M	<ul> <li>Overall decrease of \$1.1M or 6.4% compared to YTD February 2019</li> <li>8.7% below 2020 budget</li> <li>Favorable Weather variance in Maintenance of Way is offset by necessary activity to assure appropriate fleet availability in Maintenance of Equipment</li> <li>Connecticut paid \$4.7M of total \$15.9M; Connecticut's share of YTD Favorable Variance is \$0.5M of the \$1.5M</li> </ul>
	-6.4%	YTD variance by division (\$1.5M), (8.7%)
	\$17.0 \$15.9	Customer Service, \$0.0
		Maintenance of \$0.4 Necessary activity to assure appropriate fleet Equipment availability
\$7.8 \$6.8	\$17.5 \$17.4	
\$8.3 \$7.9		Maintenance of (\$1.9) Lower than planned weather events
\$0.6	\$0.5	
FEB 19 FEB 20	YTD YTD FEB FEB 19 20	YTD FEB 20
Source: 2020 Adopte	Source: 2020 Adopted Budget. General Ledger actuals for actual overtime spending.	or actual overtime spending.

METRO-N	ORTH RAILF	toAD – Reil	METRO-NORTH RAILROAD – Reimbursable Overtime Variance
February 2020 and \$M	February 2020 and YTD budget vs. variance $\$M$	nce Overview	We
<ul> <li>Favorable</li> <li>Unfavorable</li> <li>Budget</li> </ul>	Annual budget: \$29.4M		<ul> <li>Overall decrease of \$0.0M or 0.3% compared to YTD February 2019</li> <li>24.4% above 2020 budget</li> <li>Main cause for unfavorable variance is higher than forecast work on CT Capital projects</li> <li>Connecticut paid \$1.4M of total \$4.4M; Connecticut's share of YTD Unfavorable Variance is \$0.7M of the \$0.9M</li> </ul>
	-0.3%	<b>YTD variance</b> \$0.9M, 24.4%	YTD variance by division \$0.9M, 24.4%
-6.8%	\$4.4 \$4.4 \$1.7	Capital Programs	Various CT Reimbursable, MTA 5-Year and Other Reimbursable Projects • CT Network Infrastructure Phase 2 • CT Universal Interlocking CP 243 • CT Catenary Replacement • Bridge Programs • Customer Communications - Stations
81 0 80.5	\$3.5	Customer Service	Customer Service Coverage for GCT Staircase to NYCT Station, coverage ended in February \$0.3
\$1.3 \$1.7		Maintenance of Way	CT Universal Interlocking CP 243 CT Catenary Replacement
FEB 19 FEB 20	YTD YTD FEB FEB 19 20	Transportation	<ul> <li>\$02</li> <li>Incremental Flagging mainly for CT Reimbursable Projects</li> <li>CT Universal Interlocking CP 243</li> <li>TTD</li> <li>CT Catenary Replacement</li> <li>EEB</li> <li>Bridge Programs</li> </ul>

METRO-NORTH RAILROAI	ORTH R	AILF	
February 2020 and YTD budget vs. variance $\$ \mathbb{M}$	YTD budget v	/s. variaı	i.e
Favorable	Annual budget: \$120.9M	get: \$120	
Budget	(e)	5.1%	<ul> <li>Favorable Weather variance in Maintenance of Way is offset by necessary fleet activity in Maintenance of Equipment and reimbursable project coverage in other divisions</li> <li>Connecticut paid \$6.1M of total \$20.3M; Connecticut's share of YTD Favorable</li> </ul>
	\$21.4 <b>81</b> 2	\$20.3	Variance is (\$0.3M) of the \$0.7M YTD variance by division (\$0.7M), (3.1%)
			Capital Programs \$0.2 Reimbursable Project Coverage
			Customer Service \$0.2 Reimbursable Project Coverage
10.9%			Maintenarice of sold
\$10.1 \$9.0	\$20.2	\$20.9	Equipment Necessary activity to assure appropriate fleet availability
-			Maintenance of (\$1.6) Lower than planned weather events
\$9.6			May
9.04		\$0.7	
FEB 19 FEB20	YTD FEB 19	YTD FEB 20	YTD FEB 20
Source: 2020 Adopted	1 Budget, General L	edger actua	Source: 2020 Adopted Budget. General Ledger actuals for actual overtime spending.

FEBRUA	MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)	TRO-NORTH I AL PLAN - 20 (\$ in millions) (\$ in millions)	MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD RY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED I CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES (\$ in millions)	ed Budget Ies			S	SCHEDULE III
		FEBRUARY 2020	RY 2020			Year-to-Date		
		·	Favorable (Unfavorable)	ible able)			Favorable (Unfavorable)	able rable)
	Adopted Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Adopted Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent
Receipts Farebox Revenue Vehicle Toil Revenue Other Operating Revenue	\$54.257 0.000 6.924	\$54.271 0.000 10.584	\$0.014 0.000 3.660	0.0 - 52.8	\$111.546 0.000 13.942	\$113.217 0.000 17.167	\$1.671 0.000 3.225	1.5 - 23.1
Capital & Other Reimbursements: MTA CDOT Other Total Capital and Other Reimbursements	12.110 10.213 1.383 23.707	14.992 8.213 0.500 23.705	2.882 (2.000) (0.883) (0.002)	23.8 (19.6) (63.9) (0.0)	26.128 22.143 2.894 51.164	26.716 16.898 10.168 53.782	0.588 (5.245) 7.274 2.618	2.3 (23.7) 5.1
Total Receipts	\$84.888	\$88.560	\$3.672	4.3	\$176.653	\$184.166	\$7.513	4.3
Expenditures Labor: Payroll Overtime Health and Weffare OPEB Current Payment Pensions Other Fringe Benefits GASB Account Reimbursable Overhead <b>Total Labor</b>	\$44.627 9.632 10.624 3.000 10.270 10.612 0.000 \$88.766	\$44.589 9.069 11.202 3.623 10.195 10.195 0.000 \$90.390	\$0.038 0.563 (0.578) (0.623) 0.075 (1.100) 0.000 0.000 <b>(51.624)</b>	0.1 5.8 (5.4) (25.4) (25.4) (25.8) 0.7 (10.4) -	\$99.835 22.021 23.318 6.000 20.540 23.864 23.864 0.000 <b>\$195.578</b>	\$99.691 21.782 22.345 7.203 20.445 20.681 0.000 \$198.147	\$0.144 0.239 0.973 0.097 0.007 0.2817 0.000 0.000 ( <b>52.569</b> )	0.1 1.1 2.4.2 (20.1) (20.1) (1.8) (1.8) -
<i>Non-Labor</i> : Electric Power Fuel Insurance Claims Paratransit Service Contracts Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Maintenance and Other Operating Materials & Supplies Materials & Supplies Other Business Expenditures Other Business Expenditures	\$7.242 1.597 1.597 0.239 0.098 0.009 1.2567 1.2567 1.2567 1.2561 3.590 3.590	\$8.272 2.182 0.000 0.047 0.004 7.566 1.182 14.360 2.588 \$36.197	(\$1.030) (0.585) 0.239 0.051 0.000 5.001 3.476 (1.769) 1.002 <b>\$6.386</b>	(14.2) (36.6) 100.0 51.8 39.8 39.8 74.6 (14.1) 27.9	\$14.068 3.499 0.511 0.190 0.190 2.4.623 10.843 28.162 7.716 <b>\$89.612</b>	\$13.188 4.102 0.000 0.146 0.000 19.754 3.737 5.557 \$ <b>5</b> .557	\$0.880 (0.603) 0.511 0.511 0.004 4.869 7.106 7.106 2.159 <b>\$16.583</b>	6.3 (17.2) 100.0 23.2 5.3 65.5 5.7 28.0
Other Adjustments: Other Total Other Adjustments	0.000 <b>\$0.000</b>	0.000 \$0.000	0.000 \$0.000		00000\$	0000 <b>0\$</b>	0.000 \$0.000	
Total Expenditures	\$131.349	\$126.587	\$4.762	3.6	\$285.190	\$271.176	\$14.014	4.9
Net Cash Deficit ( excludes Opening Cash Balance)	(\$46.461)	(\$38.027)	\$8.434	18.2	(\$108.537)	(\$87.010)	\$21.527	19.8
Subsidies MTA CDOT Total Subsidies	33.708 12.754 <b>\$46.461</b>	32.789 16.577 <b>\$49.366</b>	(0.919) 3.823 <b>\$2.905</b>	(2.7) 30.0 <b>6.3</b>	81.238 27.299 <b>\$108.537</b>	101.275 29.251 <b>\$130.526</b>	20.037 1.952 <b>\$21.989</b>	24.7 7.2 <b>20.3</b>
Cash Timing and Availability Adjustment Motes:	\$0.000	\$0.540	\$0.540	•	\$0.000	\$7.596	\$7.596	•
<ul> <li>- review.</li> <li>- Differences are due to rounding.</li> <li>* Variance exceeds 100%.</li> </ul>								
MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 BUDGET CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

**EXPLANATION OF VARIANCE BETWEEN BUDGET AND ACTUAL RESULTS** (\$ in millions) \$ Detail eimbursement from a third party insurance carrier for a Spuyten Primarily reflects timing of premium payments for dental & vision payments of employee reimbursable expenses and injury claims Duyvil passenger injury settlement and timing of GCT revenues Primarily reflects higher number of retirees receiving healthcare partially offset by lower Amtrak reimbursements than budgeted. Primarily reflects lower capital related project activity combined Primarily reflects lower rates on all lines than budgeted partially Primarily reflects timing of payroll taxes partially offset by lower Primarily reflects higher reimbursable related project activity Primarily reflects timing of payments partially offset by lower Primarily reflects timing of payments for passenger injury Primarily reflects timing of commuter parking receipts, a Reflects timing of payments for insurance premiums. Year-to-Date as of February 29, 2020 vs. Adopted Budget combined with higher cash receipts than budgeted reating fuel consumption and rates than budgeted Reason for Variance with lower cash receipts than budgeted. offset by timing of payments penefits than budgeted han budgeted. settlements. nsurance. (23.7%) (11.8%) 23.1% 2.3% 1.1% 4.2% (20.1%) 6.3% 23.2% (17.2%) 100.0% Variance Fav (Unfav) % 3.225 (5.245)0.973 (1.203) (2.817) (0.603) 0.588 0.239 0.880 0.511 0.044 7.274 \$ Detail Primarily reflects higher number of retirees receiving healthcare ncreased availability based upon lower relief day coverage due revenues, a reimbursement from a third party insurance carrier for a Spuyten Duyvil passenger injury settlement partially offset Primarily reflects timing of payroll taxes partially offset by lower to lower unplanned absences partially offset by higher Capital Primarily reflects higher cash receipts than budgeted partially Primarily reflects the timing of payments for health insurance Primarily reflects lower cash receipts than budgeted partially Primarily reflects lower cash receipts than budgeted partially Primarily reflects timing of payments partially offset by lower Primarily reflects timing of payments partially offset by lower Primarily reflects fewer weather events than budgeted and Primarily reflects timing of payments for passenger injury Primarily reflects timing of commuter parking and GCT Reflects timing of payments for insurance premiums. offset by higher reimbursable related project activity. neating fuel consumption and rates than budgeted. by lower Amtrak reimbursements than budgeted offset by higher capital related project activity. offset by lower capital related project activity Reason for Variance February Month vs Budget ates on all lines than budgeted employee reimbursements. penefits than budgeted. project activity. settlements. premiums. (5.4%) (14.2%) 52.8% 23.8% (19.6%) (63.9%) 5.8% (20.8%) (10.4%) 100.0% 51.8% (36.6%) Fav (Unfav) Variance (0.883) (0.578) (1.100) (1.030) 3.660 2.882 (2.000) 0.563 (0.623) (0.585) 0.239 0.051 CAPITAL AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENTS: Generic Receipt or Expense Category OTHER OPERATING REVENUE **DPEB CURRENT PAYMENT** OTHER FRINGE BENEFITS HEALTH & WELFARE ELECTRIC POWER NSURANCE OVERTIME DTHER CDOT MTA CLAIMS Ē

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 BUDGET CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES EXPLANATION OF VARIANCE BETWEEN BUDGET AND ACTUAL RESULTS

\$ Detail and Reconfiguration, Oil Circuit Breaker Replacement and Sasco Replacement, Vehicle Replacement Program and Upper Harlem Replacement and West End Yard Construction Projects partially <sup>2</sup>ole Replacement), the timing of BL-20 Locomotive Overhauls Nayside Energy Storage, GCT Station Master's Office Design GCT/ESA Unified Trash Facility, Mainline/High Speed Turnout Primarily reflects the timing of engineering services for Capita Primarily reflects lower activity on the Waterbury Branch Cab Replacement - Greenwich to South Norwalk, GCT Escalator Signal and Replace AC Circuit Breaker-Switchgear Projects, Primarily reflects timing of payments for New Jersey Transit projects (Connecticut Positive Train Control, Installation of Primarily reflects timing of Reimbursable projects (Signal and lower than anticipated expenses for miscellaneous Year-to-Date as of February 29, 2020 vs. Adopted Budget Subsidy and lower miscellaneous expenses. Reason for Variance offset by materials placed into inventory. Creek Traction Power Supply Station). naintenance and operating contracts. 19.8% 65.5% 5.7% 28.0% Variance Fav (Unfav) % 4.869 7.106 1.617 2.159 \$ Detail partially offset by lower activity on the GCT/ESA Unified Trash Facility, Cyclical Track Program, GCT Turnouts-Switch Renewal Primarily reflects timing of Capital projects (Signal Replacement Primarily reflects the timing of engineering services for Capital Wayside Energy Storage, GCT Station Master's Office Design Greenwich to South Norwalk, GCT Escalator Replacement, Primarily reflects the timing of materials place into inventory Primarily reflects timing of payments for New Jersey Transit and Reconfiguration and Oil Circuit Breaker Replacement). Jpper Harlem Pole Replacement and Maybrook Trailway), projects (Connecticut Positive Train Control, Installation of and Mainline/High Speed Turnout Replacement Project anticipated expenses for miscellaneous maintenance & timing of BL-20 Locomotive Overhauls and lower than (\$ in millions) Subsidy and lower miscellaneous expenses. Reason for Variance February Month vs Budget operating contracts. (14.1%) 39.8% 74.6% 27.9% Fav (Unfav) Variance 3.476 (1.769) 1.002 5.001 Generic Receipt or Expense Category PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CONTRACTS MAINTENANCE & OTHER OPERATING OTHER BUSINESS EXPENSES MATERIALS & SUPPLIES CONTRACTS

\* Variance exceeds 100%

Primarily reflects available cash balance partially offset by lower

24.7%

20.037

cash deficit and higher CDOT subsidy.

Primarily reflects higher CDOT share of estimated deficit than

oudgeted.

7.2%

1.952

Primarily reflects higher CDOT share of estimated deficit than

budgeted.

30.0%

3.823

CDOT SUBSIDY RECEIPTS

(2.7%)

(0.919)

MTA SUBSIDY RECEIPTS

Master Page # 218 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

# MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET CASH CONVERSION (CASH FLOW ADJUSTMENT)

USTMEN	
OW ADJ	
CASH FL	n millions)
	(\$ i

		FEBRUARY 2020	RY 2020			Year-to-Date		
			Favorable (Unfavorable)	able rable)			Favorable (Unfavorable)	able rable)
	Adopted Budget	Δctual	Variance	Percent	Adopted Budget	Δctual	Variance	Percent
Receipts	56	-						
Farebox Revenue	(\$1.244)	(\$3.718)	(\$2.474)	*	(\$2.526)	(\$4.501)	(\$1.974)	(78.2)
Vehicle Toll Revenue	0.000	0.000	0.000	1 *	0.000	0.000	0.000	- 0 10
Capital & Other Reimbursements:	020.1	+0. 1.0	000.4		0.430	0.430	000.0	01.0
MTA	0.852	6.568	5.716	*	1.045	9.510	8.465	*
CDOT	000.0	(1.982)	(1.982)	1	000.0	1.974	1.974	ı
Other	0.000	(0.323)	(0.323)	ļ	000.0	(0.529)	(0.529)	I
Total Capital and Other Reimbursements	0.852	4.264	3.412	*	1.045	10.954	606.6	*
Total Revenue/Receipts	\$1.307	\$6.300	\$4.993	*	\$1.976	\$12.949	\$10.973	*
Expenditures								
Labor:								
Payroll	\$0.270	(\$0.164)	(\$0.435)	*	(\$3.488)	(\$2.855)	\$0.633	18.1
Overtime	(0.047)	(0.044)	0.003	6.9 9	(1.093)	(1.510)	(0.416)	(38.1)
ODER Ourrent Doviment	(906.0)	(7.387)	(1.481)		(1.944) 0.000	(1.697)	0.047	2.4
Pensions	(1.041)	(0.043)	(0.440)	(42.3)	0.689)	(0.1.0) (1.236)	(0.547)	(79.3)
Other Fringe Benefits	0.617	(1.790)	(2.407)	*	(0.164)	(5.215)	(5.051)	*
GASB Account	0.000	0.000	0.000	ı	0.000	0.000	0.000	i
Reimbursable Overhead	(0.267)	(0.307)	(0.040)	(15.1)	(0.519)	(0.544)	(0.025)	(4.9)
Total Labor	(\$1.374)	(\$6.217)	(\$4.843)	*	(\$7.897)	(\$13.670)	(\$5.773)	(73.1)
Non-Labor:				4				4
Electric Power	(\$0.155) 0.042	(\$2.303)	(\$2.148)	• *	(\$0.310) 0.083	(977.1.\$)	(319.04) (0800)	< *
Insurance	1.466	(0.009) 1.545	0.079	5.4	2.933	3.060	0.127	4.3
Claims	0.000	(0.047)	(0.047)	1	0.000	(0.146)	(0.146)	1
Paratransit Service Contracts	0.000	0.000	0.000	ı	0.000	0.000	0.000	i
Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts	(0.550)	2.307	2.858	*	(0.781)	(3.076)	(2.294)	* •
Professional Service Contracts	0.861	1.869	1.00/	k *	1.4/6	11.196	9.721	ĸ *
Materials & Suppries Other Business Expenses	(1.101) (1.505)	(4.102)	1.126	74.9	(1.493) (3.053)	(0.233) (1.018)	(0.790) 2.035	66.6
Total Non-Labor	\$0.057	(\$1.838)	(\$1.895)	*	(\$1.148)	(\$0.310)	\$0.838	73.0
Other Adjustments:								
Other	000.0	0.000	0000	ı	000.0	0.000	0.000	I
Total Other Adjustments	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	ı	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0 <mark>.</mark> 000	•
Total Expenditures before Non-Cash Liability Adjs.	(\$1.317)	(\$8.055)	(\$6.738)	*	(\$9.045)	(\$13.980)	(\$4.935)	(54.6)
Depreciation	20.542	19.085	(1.457)	(7.1)	41.084	38.170	(2.914)	(7.1)
OPEB Obligation	0.000	00000	00000	I	0.000	00000	000.0	I
GASBOS PENSION AGJUSTMENT Environmental Remediation	0.333	0000.0	0.333) (0.333)	(100.0)	0.667	0.174	0.000 (0.493)	- 73.9
GASB75 Adjustment	0.000	0.000	0.000	` I	0.000	000.0	0.000	I
Total Expenditures Adjustments	\$19.558	\$11.030	(\$8.529)	(43.6)	\$32.706	\$24.364	(\$8.342)	(25.5)
Total Cash Conversion Adjustments	\$20.865	\$17.329	(\$3.536)	(16.9)	\$34,682	\$37.313	\$2.631	7.6
<u>Notes:</u> - Results are based on the preliminary close of the general ledger and are subject to review and adjustment. Please note that the current months' actuals do not include post-close adjustments. which will be captured in the								
subsequent month's YTD results.								
<ul> <li>Differences are due to rounding.</li> </ul>								

Master Page # 219 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

Differences are due to rounding.
 \* Variance exceeds 100%.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET RIDERSHIP/UTILIZATION FEBRUARY 2020 (in millions)

		MONTH			VARIANCE	CE			YТD			VARIANCE	ICE	
					Fav/(Unfav)	iav)						Fav/(Unfav)	fav)	
	ADOPTED BUDGET	2020	2019 <sup>(A)</sup>	ADOPTED BUDGET		2019		ADOPTED BUDGET	2020	2019 <sup>(A)</sup>	ADOPTED BUDGET	ED	2019	
FAREBOX REVENUE														Ì
Harlem Line - Commutation	9.254	9.41/	9.153	0.163	1.8%	0.264	2.9%	18.62/	18.918	18.424	0.291	1.0%	0.494	2.7%
Tariem Line - Non-Commutation	0.83/	87C.1	0.//4	0.091	- 222	0.754	11.1%	14.300	070.01	14.232	1.160	8.1%	1.294	9.1%
TOTAL HARLEM LINE	\$16.092	\$16.946	\$15.927	\$0.854	5.3%	\$1.019	6.4%	\$32.993	\$34,444	\$32.656	\$1.451	4.4%	\$1.788	5.5%
Hudson Line - Commutation	6.262	6.302	6.191	0.040	0.6%	0.111	1.8%	12.592	12.619	12.450	0.028	0.2%	0.170	1.4%
Hudson Line - Non-Commutation	5.825	6.452	5.665	0.627	10.8%	0.786	13.9%	12.079	13.088	11.748	1.009	8.4%	1.340	11.4%
TOTAL HUDSON LINE	\$12.087	\$12.754	\$11.856	\$0.667	5.5%	\$0.897	7.6%	\$24.671	\$25.707	\$24.198	\$1.036	4.2%	\$1.509	6.2%
New Haven Line - Commutation	13.051	12.909	12.961	(0.142)	-1.1%	(0.053)	-0.4%	26.344	26.003	26.164	(0.341)	-1.3%	(0.161)	-0.6%
New Haven Line - Non-Commutation	13.167	14.002	13.135	0.835	6.3%	0.866	6.6%	27.805	28.928	27.571	1.123	4.0%	1.356	4.9%
TOTAL NEW HAVEN LINE	\$26.217	\$26.910	\$26.097	\$0.693	2.6%	\$0.814	3.1%	\$54.149	\$54.930	\$53.735	\$0.782	1.4%	\$1.196	2.2%
All lines - Commutation	28.567	28 628	28.306	0.061	<i>0 2%</i>	0322	1 1%	57 563	57 540	57 037	(0.023)	%00	0 503	%b U
All Lince Mon Committation	100.02	010101	7E 67E	100.0	0000	2070	/07 0	54 740	57 544	E2 554	(070.0)	6 4 0/	0000	7 50/
	20.029 #F1 200	202.12	20.070 #F2 000	101.2	0.3%	2.407 #0 700	9.4%	04.243 #444 040	140.10	03.001 #440 F00	3.232	0.1%	3.33U	%C./
	\$04.390 \$1.105	\$1 270	\$53.88U \$1 113	\$0.275	4.1% 2/10%	\$0.766	0.1%	\$111.812 \$2 260	110.0114 53 637	\$7110.589 \$7.278	\$3.209 0.376	2.9% 16.6%	44 493 0 250	4.1%
	* F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	\$57 000	¢ = 1 00 1	400 to	1 50/	#0 F00	E 40/	\$111070	¢117 710	\$11.7 067	¢2.64E	0.00	¢1 0E1	<u> 20/ 10</u>
	000-000	000 10¢	100 100	COT 70	8°0-	000 VA	°, † • •	710-110		100 7114	0to 00	0.7.0		× ? . t
RIDERSHIP														
Harlem Line - Commutation	1.254	1.247	1.255	(0.008)	-0.6%	(0.008)	-0.6%	2.638	2.615	2.638	(0.023)	-0.9%	(0.024)	-0.9%
Harlem Line - Non-Commutation	0.771	0.784	0.774	0.013	1.7%	0.011	1.4%	1.578	1.626	1.583	0.047	3.0%	0.042	2.7%
TOTAL HARLEM LINE	2.026	2.031	2.028	0.006	0.3%	0.003	0.1%	4.216	4.240	4.222	0.024	0.6%	0.019	0.4%
Hudson Line - Commutation	0.727	0.717	0.727	(0.010)	-1.4%	(0.010)	-1.4%	1.524	1.500	1.525	(0.024)	-1.6%	(0.025)	-1.6%
Hudson Line - Non-Commutation	0.528	0.534	0.520	0.005	1.0%	0.014	2.7%	1.073	1.097	1.056	0.024	2.2%	0.041	3.9%
TOTAL HUDSON LINE	1.255	1.250	1.247	(0.005)	-0.4%	0.004	0.3%	2.598	2.597	2.580	(0.001)	%0.0	0.017	0.6%
New Haven Line - Commutation	1.659	1.628	1.663	(0.031)	-1.9%	(0.035)	-2.1%	3.498	3.428	3.506	(0.070)	-2.0%	(0.078)	-2.2%
New Haven Line - Non-Commutation	1.266	1.288	1.274	0.021	1.7%	0.013	1.0%	2.618	2.669	2.618	0.051	1.9%	0.050	1.9%
TOTAL NEW HAVEN LINE	2.925	2.916	2.937	(0.010)	-0.3%	(0.022)	-0.7%	6.116	6.097	6.125	(0.019)	-0.3%	(0.028)	-0.5%
Total Ridership East of Hudson														
All Lines - Commutation	3.640	3.591	3.645	(0.049)	-1.3%	(0.053)	-1.5%	7.660	7.542	7.669	(0.117)	-1.5%	(0.127)	-1.7%
All Lines - Non-Commutation	2.566	2.606	2.568	0.040	1.5%	0.038	1.5%	5.270	5.392	5.258	0.122	2.3%	0.134	2.5%
	6.206	6.197	6.212	(600.0)	-0.1%	(0.015)	-0.2%	12.930	12.934	12.927	0.004	%0.0	100.0	0.1%
West of Hudson <sup>(2)</sup> TOTAL EAST & WEST OF HUDSON LINES	<u>0.119</u> 6.325	<u>0.078</u> 6.276	<u>0.116</u> 6.328	(0.049)	- <u>34.0%</u> -0.8%	(0.052)	- <u>32.2%</u> -0.8%	<u>0.250</u> 13.179	<u>0.249</u> 13.183	<u>0.243</u> 13.170	0.004	<u>-0.2%</u> 0.0%	0.013	<u>2.4%</u> 0.1%

 $^{(\mathrm{A})}$  2019 Ridership figures have been restated to simulate the 2020 calendar.

<sup>(B)</sup> West of Hudson current year actuals are preliminary and prior year actuals are stated as received from New Jersey Transit.

#### MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET VS. ACTUALS TOTAL FULL-TIME POSITIONS AND FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS February 29, 2020

	Adopted		Favorable (Unfavorable)	
<u>Department</u>	Budget	Actual	Variance	Notes
Administration				
President	3	3	-	
Labor Relations	13	12	1	
Safety	59	53	6	А
Security	22	18	4	
Office of the Executive VP	7	6	1	
Corporate & Public Affairs	15	15	-	
Customer Service	53	47	6	
Legal	11	10	1	
Claims	7	6	1	
Human Resources	37	37	0	
Training	85	78	7	A,B
Employee Relations & Diversity	5	4	1	
VP Planning	2	2	-	
Operations Planning & Analysis	21	20	1	
Capital Planning & Programming	11	10	1	
Long Range Planning	6	5	1	
VP Finance & Info Systems	-	-	-	
Controller	73	66	7	A,B
Budget	18	17	1	
Procurement & Material Mgmt	21	21	0	
Total Administration	469	430	39	
Operations				
Operations Support	77	74	3	_
Transportation	1,709	1,682	27	В
Customer Service	378	377	1	
Metro-North West	32	28	4	
Total Operations	2,196	2,161	35	
Maintenance				_
Maintenance of Equipment	1,717	1,601	116	В
Maintenance of Way	2,132	2,114	18	В
Procurement & Material Mgmt	116	113	3	
Total Maintenance	3,965	3,827	138	
Engineering/Capital				
Construction Management	37	29	8	A, B
Engineering & Design	90	83	7	A, B
Total Engineering/Capital	127	112	15	
Total Positions	6,757	6,530	227	
Non-Reimbursable	6,118	5,988	130	
Reimbursable	639	542	97	
Total Full-Time	6,756	6,529	227	
Total Full-Time-Equivalents	1	1	-	
(of part-time positions)				

Notes

(A) Variance reflects higher attrition than planned.

(B) Variance reflects delayed hiring of vacant positions.

## MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET VS. ACTUALS TOTAL FULL-TIME POSITIONS AND FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS February 29, 2020

FUNCTION/OCCUPATION	Adopted Budget	Actual	Favorable (Unfavorable) Variance
Administration			
Managers/Supervisors	152	146	9
Professional, Technical, Clerical	317	283	34
Operational Hourlies	I	ı	ı
Total Administration	469	430	39
Operations			
Managers/Supervisors	262	244	18
Professional, Technical, Clerical	219	219	(0)
Operational Hourlies	1,715	1,698	17
Total Operations	2,196	2,161	35
Maintenance			
Managers/Supervisors	665	635	30
Professional, Technical, Clerical	469	459	10
Operational Hourlies	2,831	2,733	98
Total Maintenance	3,965	3,827	138
Engineering/Capital			
Managers/Supervisors	47	38	6
Professional, Technical, Clerical	80	74	9
Operational Hourlies	I	•	
	127	112	15
Total Positions			
Managers/Supervisors	1,126	1,063	63
Professional, Technical, Clerical	1,085	1,036	49
Operational Hourlies	4,546	4,431	115
Total Positions	6,757	6,530	227

Agency-wide (Non-Reimbursable and Reimbursable)	Adopted Budget	Actual	Favorable (Unfavorable) Variance	Explanation of Variances
Functional Classification: Administration	469	430	39	Primarily reflects higher attrition than planned and delayed hiring of vacant positions
Operations	2,196	2,161	35	Primarily reflects delayed hiring of vacant positions
Maintenance	3,965	3,827	138	Primarily reflects delayed hiring of vacant positions
Engineering / Capital	127	112	15	Primarily reflects higher attrition than planned and delayed hiring of vacant positions
Total Agency-wide Headcount	6,757	6,530	227	
Non-Reimbursable	6,118	5,988	130	

97

542

639

Reimbursable

## MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET VS. ACTUALS February 29, 2020

#### MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET MONTHLY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS <sup>(A)</sup> FEBRUARY 2020

		MONTH			
				Fav/(U	nfav)
	BUD	2020	2019	BUD	2019
Farebox Operating Ratio Standard <sup>(B)</sup> Adjusted <sup>(C)</sup>	51.1% 58.9%	59.8% 68.9%	54.5% 61.5%	8.7% 10.0%	5.3%
Cost per Passenger Standard <sup>(B)</sup> Adjusted <sup>(C)</sup>	\$17.30 \$16.91	\$15.44 \$14.95	\$16.27 \$15.81	\$1.86 \$1.96	\$0.82 \$0.86
Passenger Revenue/Passenger	\$8.84	\$9.24	\$8.87	\$0.40	\$0.37

		YEAR-TO-DAT	E	VARIA	NCE
				Fav/(U	nfav)
	BUD	2020	2019	BUD	2019
Farebox Operating Ratio					
Standard <sup>(B)</sup>	49.6%	58.7%	53.1%	9.2%	5.6%
Adjusted <sup>(C)</sup>	56.9%	67.9%	59.7%	10.9%	8.1%
Cost per Passenger					
Standard <sup>(B)</sup>	\$17.45	\$15.20	\$16.27	\$2.25	\$1.07
Adjusted <sup>(C)</sup>	\$17.08	\$14.76	\$15.84	\$2.32	\$1.08
Passenger Revenue/Passenger	\$8.65	\$8.93	\$8.64	\$0.28	\$0.29

(A) Monthly Performance Indicators include both East and West of Hudson revenue and expenses.

(B) The Standard Farebox Operating Ratio and Cost Per Passenger indicators reflect MTA-wide adopted calculations that exclude non-cash liability adjustments: Depreciation, OPEB Expense (GASB 75), Pension Expense (GASB 68) and Environmental Remediation (GASB-49) as well as the NHL share of MTA Police, Business Service Center and IT costs.

(C) Adjusted Fare Operating Ratio and Cost Per Passenger indicators have been adjusted for comparability between Metro-North and the LIRR and are being presented only at the railroad operating committees. These adjustments are not being used MTA-wide. Adjustments have been made to reflect all operating revenues and significant financial impacts that are outside management's control. These adjustments include: Inclusion of Other Operating Revenue, Removal of OPEB retiree expenses, and Inclusion of estimated farebox revenue from an equalization of the Connecticut fare structure.

\* YTD results reflect a reclass of Maybrook Trailway expense from Operating Capital to Reimbursable Capital; excluding the reclass, the Farebox Operating Ratio Budget variance, standard and adjusted, would be 6.7% and 8.0% favorable, respectively and YoY variance, would be 3.1% and 5.2% respectively. This adjustment also lowered the Cost per Passenger Indicator, without it both measures would be comparable to 2019 results.

### Ridership Report Michael Shiffer

Vice President, Planning





MTA Metro-North's Grand Central Terminal. Photo courtesy of Linda Morris



#### March 2020 Highlights: Ridership Report

(Note: February West of Hudson ridership is preliminary)

#### February 2020 vs. 2019

- East of Hudson ridership decreased 0.2% vs. February 2019 and was 0.1% below Budget
  - East of Hudson Commutation ridership decreased 1.5%
  - East of Hudson Non-Commutation ridership increased 1.5%
- East of Hudson ridership by line:
  - o Hudson Line ridership increased 0.3%
  - Harlem Line ridership increased 0.1%
  - New Haven Line ridership decreased 0.7%
- Large increases in non-commutation ridership can be attributed to better weather which occurred during February
  - It was much warmer in February 2020 the average temperature was 40.1 degrees, 3.9 degrees warmer than February 2019 when the average monthly temperature was 36.2.
  - Total monthly snowfall was a trace in February 2020 (NY Central Park) vs. 2.6 inches in February 2019.

**Michael Shiffer** Vice President Planning

#### FEBRUARY 2020 RIDERSHIP & REVENUE REPORT MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD

#### **RIDERSHIP SUMMARY**

#### February Ridership and Revenue (millions)

	February 2020	% Change vs. 2019
Total Rail Ridership	6.276	-0.8% 🛡
Commutation Ridership	3.643	-1.8% 🛡
Non-Commutation Ridership	2.633	+0.5%
Connecting Service Ridership	0.044	+1.0%
Total MNR System Ridership	6.320	-0.8%
Rail Revenue	\$58.0	+5.4% 🔺

#### Year-to-Date to February Ridership and Revenue (millions)

	YTD 2020	% Change vs. 2019	Comparison to Budget
Total Rail Ridership	13.183	+0.1% 📥	+0.0% 🔺
Commutation Ridership	7.683	-1.6% 🔻	-1.5% 🔻
Non-Commutation Ridership	5.500	+2.5% 🔺	+2.3% 🔺
Connecting Service Ridership	0.094	-0.2% 🔻	-2.0% 🔻
Total MNR System Ridership	13.277	+0.1% 📥	0.0% 🔺
Rail Revenue	\$117.7	+4.3% 🔺	+3.2%













FEBRUARY RAIL NON-COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP (1)











February's Total Rail Revenue was 5.4 above 2019 and 4.5% above budget.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD RIDERSHIP SUMMARY FEBRUARY 2020

	FEBRUARY	FEBRUARY	VARIANCE VS.	je vs.	FEBRUARY		
	2020	2020	BUDGET	ET	2019	CHANGE FROM 2019	COM 2019
TICKET TYPE/SERVICE	ACTUAL	BUDGET	AMOUNT	PERCENT	RESTATED (1)	AMOUNT	PERCENT
RAIL COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP							
East of Hudson	3,591,472	3,640,119	(48,647)	-1.3%	3,644,582	(53,110)	-1.5%
West of Hudson	51,050	67,529	(16,479)	-24.4%	64,765	(13,715)	-21.2%
Total Rail Commutation Ridership	3,642,522	3,707,648	(65,126)	-1.8%	3,709,347	(66,825)	-1.8%
RAIL NON-COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP							
East of Hudson	2,605,782	2,566,071	39,711	1.5%	2,567,778	38,004	1.5%
West of Hudson	27,414	51,340	(23,926)	-46.6%	51,042	(23,628)	-46.3%
Total Rail Non-Commutation Ridership	2,633,196	2,617,411	15,785	<b>%9</b> .0	2,618,820	14,376	0.5%
TOTAL RAIL RIDERSHIP							
East of Hudson	6,197,254	6,206,190	(8,936)	-0.1%	6,212,360	(15,106)	-0.2%
West of Hudson (2)	78,464	118,869	(40,405)	-34.0%	115,807	(37,343)	-32.2%
TOTAL RAIL RIDERSHIP	6,275,718	6,325,059	(49,341)	-0.8%	6,328,167	(52,449)	-0.8%
	700 CV	100 11		/00 C		077	
CONNECTING SERVICES RIDERSHIP (3)	43,807	44,204	(785)	%n-	43,449	418	<b>%0.1</b>
TOTAL MNR SYSTEM RIDERSHIP	6,319,585	6,369,323	(49,738)	-0.8%	6,371,616	(52,031)	-0.8%

Notes:

1) 2019 ridership figures have been restated to eliminate calendar impacts on ridership.

West of Hudson ridership figures are preliminary.
 Includes Hudson Rail Link, Haverstraw-Ossining Ferry and Newburgh-Beacon Ferry.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD RIDERSHIP SUMMARY 2020 YEAR-TO-DATE

	2020	2020	VARIANCE VS.	E VS.	2019		
	ΥTD	ΥТD	BUDGET	ЕТ	ΥTD	CHANGE FROM 2019	OM 2019
TICKET TYPE/SERVICE	ACTUAL	BUDGET	AMOUNT	PERCENT	RESTATED (1)	AMOUNT	PERCENT
RAIL COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP							
East of Hudson	7,542,464	7,659,772	(117,308)	-1.5%	7,669,209	(126,745)	-1.7%
West of Hudson	140,495	142,364	(1,869)	-1.3%	136,595	3,900	2.9%
Total Rail Commutation Ridership	7,682,959	7,802,136	(119,177)	-1.5%	7,805,804	(122,845)	-1.6%
RAIL NON-COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP							
East of Hudson	5,391,564	5,269,771	121,793	2.3%	5,257,594	133,970	2.5%
West of Hudson	108,545	107,211	1,334	1.2%	106,591	1,954	1.8%
Total Rail Non-Commutation Ridership	5,500,109	5,376,982	123,127	2.3%	5,364,185	135,924	2.5%
TOTAL RAIL RIDERSHIP							
East of Hudson	12,934,028	12,929,543	4,485	0.0%	12,926,803	7,225	0.1%
West of Hudson	249,040	249,575	(535)	-0.2%	243,186	5,854	2.4%
TOTAL RAIL RIDERSHIP	13,183,068	13,179,118	3,950	0.0%	13,169,989	13,079	0.1%
CONNECTING SERVICES RIDERSHIP (2)	93.847	95.753	(1.906)	-2.0%	93.998	(151)	-0.2%
TOTAL MNR SYSTEM RIDERSHIP	13,276,915	13,274,871	2,044	0.0%	13,263,987	12,928	0.1%

<u>Notes:</u> 1) 2019 ridership figures have been restated to eliminate calendar impacts on ridership. 2) Includes Hudson Rail Link, Haverstraw-Ossining Ferry and Newburgh-Beacon Ferry.

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD RIDERSHIP BY LINE FEBRUARY 2020

	FEBRUARY	FEBRUARY		
	2020	2019	CHANGE FROM 2019	OM 2019
LINE	ACTUAL	RESTATED (1)	AMOUNT	PERCENT
EAST OF HUDSON				
Harlem Line	2,031,200	2,028,379	2,821	0.1%
Hudson Line	1,250,484	1,246,902	3,582	0.3%
New Haven Line	2,915,570	2,937,079	(21,509)	-0.7%
Total East of Hudson	6,197,254	6,212,360	(15,106)	-0.2%
WEST OF HUDSON				
Port Jervis Line	44,225	66,821	(22,596)	-33.8%
Pascack Valley Line	34,239	48,986	(14,747)	-30.1%
Total West of Hudson (2)	78,464	115,807	(37,343)	-32.2%
TOTAL RAIL RIDERSHIP	6,275,718	6,328,167	(52,449)	-0.8%
CONNECTING SERVICES PROVIDED RY METRO-NORTH CONTRACTORS				
Hudson Rail Link	30,823	32,746	(1,923)	-5.9%
Haverstraw-Ossining Ferry	8,869	7,629	1,240	16.3%
Newburgh-Beacon Ferry	4,175	3,074	1,101	35.8%
Total Connecting Services	43,867	43,449	418	1.0%
TOTAL MNR SYSTEM	6,319,585	6,371,616	(52,031)	-0.8%

Notes:

2019 ridership figures have been restated to eliminate calendar impacts on ridership.
 West of Hudson ridership figures are preliminary.

Master Page # 234 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

MTA METRO-NORTH RAILROAD

RIDERSHIP BY LINE 2020 YEAR-TO-DATE

	2020	2019		
	ΥТD	ΥТD	CHANGE FROM 2019	OM 2019
TICKET TYPE/SERVICE	ACTUAL	RESTATED (1)	AMOUNT	PERCENT
EAST OF HUDSON				
Harlem Line	4,240,220	4,221,680	18,540	0.4%
Hudson Line	2,597,161	2,580,416	16,745	0.6%
New Haven Line	6,096,647	6,124,707	(28,060)	-0.5%
Total East of Hudson	12,934,028	12,926,803	7,225	0.1%
WEST OF HUDSON				
Port Jervis Line	144,677	140,217	4,460	3.2%
Pascack Valley Line	104,363	102,969	1,394	1.4%
Total West of Hudson	249,040	243,186	5,854	2.4%
TOTAL RAIL RIDERSHIP	13,183,068	13,169,989	13,079	0.1%
CONNECTING SERVICES PROVIDED BY METRO-NORTH CONTRACTORS				
Hudson Rail Link	66,186	68,973	(2,787)	-4.0%
Haverstraw-Ossining Ferry	18,894	18,002	892	5.0%
Newburgh-Beacon Ferry	8,767	7,023	1,744	24.8%
Total Connecting Services	93,847	93,998	(151)	-0.2%
TOTAL MNR SYSTEM	13,276,915	13,263,987	12,928	0.1%

<u>Notes:</u> 1) 2019 ridership figures have been restated to eliminate calendar impacts on ridership.



## **Capital Program Report**



#### February 2020 Highlights: Capital Program Status Report



**Station Improvements Initiatives** 

Crestwood Station Renovated Overpass Art Glass

John Kennard Vice President Capital Programs



#### February 2020 Highlights: Capital Program Status Report

The purpose of the Capital Program Status Report is to provide a monthly and year-to-date overview of the progress of Metro-North's Capital Program including a brief discussion of the current month's project highlights. The report focuses primarily on providing a summary of achievements regarding design and construction awards, project completions and contract closeouts. The point of contact for the report is John Kennard, Vice President, Capital Program Management.

In the month of February, Metro-North awarded project tasks totaling \$18 million including the award of Metro-North In-House Support cost for the Port Jervis Station Improvement project. Metro-North had no scheduled substantial completions for the month of February. Metro-North had no scheduled closeouts for the month of February.

Metro-North's performance against its 2020 Capital Project Goal was:

		(\$ in Millions)		
	<u>Annual</u> Planned	Planned <u>thru 2/29</u>	Achieved <u>thru 2/29</u>	% <u>thru 2/29</u>
Design Awards*	\$19	\$0	\$0	0%
Construction Awards*	\$286	\$0	\$0	0%
Substantial Completions	\$313.4	\$0	\$0	0%
Closeouts*	\$61.5	\$0	\$0	0%

\*Does not include support costs

#### John Kennard

Vice President Capital Programs

#### CAPITAL PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS February 2020

#### **CONTRACT AWARDS:**

No contract awards planned or actual in February 2020.

#### **PROJECT COMPLETIONS:**

No project completions planned or actual in February 2020.

#### MAJOR ON-GOING PROJECT STATUS:

#### <u>M7020107 Grand Central Terminal (GCT) Public Address and Visual Information and Real</u> <u>Time Train Database System (RTTDS) Replacements</u>

- Completed replacement of 76 new gate boards at GCT, including installation and testing, out of a total of 96 gate boards. PA/VIS system is operating and providing information to all Big Boards, new gate boards, new employee monitors and several arrival/departure displays recently installed on the main and dining concourses. System monitoring and back-up head end system testing and integration is ongoing.
- Continued with: construction of the Customer Service Equipment Room 7C and the GCT cable plant; installation of conduit and cable to the 47<sup>th</sup> Street passageway is substantially complete; Sheet metal enclosures have been replaced in the 45<sup>th</sup> Street, 47<sup>th</sup> Street passages and the east & west spines. Coordination of work between CSI and East Side Access is ongoing in the 47<sup>th</sup> Street Passageway at the 48<sup>th</sup> Street and Park Ave entry.

#### M7020207 Customer Service Initiative (CSI) - Outlying Stations Public Announcement/ Visual Information and Surveillance/ Access Control Systems

The CSI project will enhance the customers' experience and improve operations with a systemwide upgrade of the public address, visual information and video surveillance/access control systems at nineteen (19) Metro-North stations and facilities throughout New York. This project also includes upgrades to elevator monitoring providing network connectivity for new and existing equipment and real-time data improvements.

The Design-Builder has completed 100% design drawings for four out of five groups of stations that include: first group (Mt. Vernon East, Larchmont, Pelham, Riverdale and North White Plains IT room); second group (Harlem-125<sup>th</sup> Street, Rye, Harrison and New Rochelle); third group (Mamaroneck, Port Chester, Melrose and Tremont); and fourth group (Ossining, Morris Heights, Spuyten Duyvil and Tarrytown. Design development continues for the fifth group of stations (Harriman, Nanuet, and Poughkeepsie).

Site work is substantially complete at the Riverdale, Pelham, Larchmont, Wakefield & Mount Vernon East stations. Site work continues at Harlem-125<sup>th</sup> Street, , New Rochelle, Melrose, Rye, Port Chester, Spuyten-Duyvil, and Morris Heights Stations. Mobilization and site work commenced at Tremont and Tarrytown stations.

100% design for facility enhancements including video, access control, elevator & escalator management and security systems has been submitted. Review by Metro-North is ongoing.

#### M7020210 Station Improvement Initiatives at Harlem-125th Street, Riverdale, Crestwood, White Plains and Port Chester

The purpose of this project is to develop and improve station aesthetics, introduce new technology, and develop sustainability initiatives.

A key focus will be to transform and elevate the customer experience at the White Plains Station, Metro-North's third busiest station. White Plains Station improvements will include: a renovated and expanded waiting room, new bathrooms, upgraded HVAC, Wi-Fi, charging stations, benches, a new heated side platform, new island platform canopy, and entrance enhancements at Main St., Hamilton Ave., Mott St. Tunnel and the main entrance.

- Port Chester, Riverdale, Harlem-125<sup>th</sup> Street and Crestwood stations are complete.
- White Plains Station Installation of electrical conduit for the center island, installation of canopy, sprinkler over the stairs, construction activities at the elevator lobby and demolition of existing canopy of the side platform are ongoing. All station improvements are scheduled for completion in December 2020.

#### EM050206/EM040205 Power, C&S Infrastructure Restoration – Sandy

The purpose of this project is to replace and restore to a state of good repair through a designbuild contract the power and communication and signal (C&S) equipment and infrastructure that were destroyed, damaged and/or compromised by Super Storm Sandy on over 30 miles of railroad along the Hudson Line from CP 5 (Bronx) to CP 35 (Croton-Harmon).

- CP19 to CP35 (Phase 1) Achieved substantial completion in May 2019.
- CP5 to CP19 (Phase 2) Continued installation of precast duct bank and C&S trough; conduits for sectionalizing switch and snow melters; signal power pull box; track crossing conduits; jumper work; racks for C&S conduits; as well as excavation for platform footing and snow melter pedestals. Continued cable pulling and splicing for C&S and signal power; site survey; test pits excavation and punch list repairs.

Overall project completion is anticipated in the second quarter 2021.

#### EM050208 Power Infrastructure Restoration – Substations – Sandy

- Tarrytown Substation energization and short circuit testing are pending the energization and system cut-over of Riverdale Substation. This substation is scheduled for completion in June 2020.
- Croton-Harmon Substation energization and short circuit testing are pending Metro-North installation and connection of the 15kV feeder cables to the new substation. This substation is scheduled for completion in June 2020.
- Riverdale Implemented the revised setting of the protective relays for the system cutover based on the revised coordination study. The 3<sup>rd</sup> rail connection and energization of all negative/positive cables and impedance bonds for the adjacent tracks and short circuits testing are upcoming. This substation is scheduled for completion in April 2020.
- The Brewster Substation (under Project M6050103) Continued with: equipment grounding and splicing/terminating the feeder cables inside the manholes. Continue performing high potential safety testing of the feeder cables, SCADA testing, and the cutover process, commissioning/testing and energization of substation PCR are anticipated in the upcoming months. This substation is scheduled for completion in June 2020.

#### M6040101 Positive Train Control (PTC)

- Subsystem designs are being finalized. Software enhancements continue. Factory Acceptance Testing (FAT) for sub-system level software for On-Board version S5 and Office version 3.5 have been completed. Completed site update and regression testing using System Baseline 3.5.
- All communication site locations have been approved by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
- Civil Speed Enforcement is available on all lines for use by Amtrak, freight and Metro-North Advanced Civil Speed Enforcement System equipped rolling stock for revenue service.
- All wayside and on-board PTC equipment installations as required in the Implementation Plan to support Revenue Service Demonstration (RSD) have been completed. Third party contract work for communications infrastructure installation is complete.
- Primary Control Center at Grand Central Terminal (back office) has been fully developed and is operational.
- Successfully completed FRA required 110 RSD runs on Pilot Line and received approval to move extended RSD testing to the non-pilot segments. Commenced extended RSD in August 2019 on Danbury Branch Line, in September 2019 on the upper Hudson Line (Marble Hill, NY to Poughkeepsie, NY), and in January 2020 on Harlem Line (Mount Vernon, NY to Wassaic, NY).

- Continued development for revised submission of safety plan to the FRA in April 2020. Alternative schedule with updated Implementation Plan which outlines non-pilot segment commissioning plan was approved by the Federal Railroad Administration in March 2019.
- Interoperability testing of Amtrak on Pilot Line was completed. Amtrak and CSX are operating in ERSD on Hudson Line (Marble Hill, NY to Poughkeepsie, NY) and P&W is operating in ERSD on Danbury Branch Line. Interoperability discussions with Amtrak and freight carriers continue.
- Training of employees continues.

#### M6060101/M7060101 Harmon Shop Improvements

Phase V, Stage I Design-Build

Overall, Phase V, Stage I substantial completion was achieved in October 2019.

*Phase V, Stage II Design-Build* - The scope of this work includes the demolition of the remainder of Building 6 Maintenance Shop, the associated Building 5 storeroom and the construction of the balance of the Phase V Electric Car Shop and Support Shop facilities.

- 90% design of the Running Repair Support Shop is complete. Development of the 100% design is in progress.
- Continued with the installation of underground electrical duct banks, concrete pads, cable and electric equipment for the site transformers. Commenced asbestos abatement and demolition of Building 5.

## 2020 MNR Capital Program Goals As of February 29, 2020 In Millions





#### February 2020 Performance Summary

Rod Brooks, Senior Vice President – Operations



**Jamaica Station:** On February 20, 2020, the Long Island Rail Road began service utilizing the newly constructed platform and track 11.

Long Island Rail Road

#### February 2020 Performance Summary

#### **Highlights**

On-Time Performance (OTP) for the month of February closed at **94.3%**, which is above the monthly goal of 94%. The AM Peak period OTP registered at **93.3%**, with the PM Peak at **89.8%**.

2020 year-to-date OTP was **93.8%**, which is an increase of 1.4 percentage points as compared to last year.

#### **Branch Performances**

Throughout the month of February **3.8%** of all scheduled trains arrived between 6 and 10 minutes late at their final terminal. The average delay per late train was **10.6** minutes for the month. There were **68** cancelled or terminated trains in February, which accounts for **0.3%** of all scheduled trains for the month.

Eight out of the eleven branches on the Long Island Rail Road operated at or above goal for the month of February. The Oyster Bay branch operated at 100% on time during all weekend days, and on President's day.

#### **Consist Compliance**

**99.2%** of our trains met established consist requirements during the peak periods for the month of February.

#### **Equipment Performance**

The LIRR fleet MDBF operated at 194,612 in January and exceeded the goal. It also performed better than the same period last year.



				2020 Data	1	2019	Data
Performance Summary			Annual		YTD thru		YTD thru
			Goal	Feb	Feb	Feb	Feb
On Time Performance	System	Overall	94.0%	94.3%	93.8%	92.1%	92.4%
(Trains that arrive at		AM Peak		93.3%	92.2%	87.8%	86.7%
their final destination		PM Peak		89.8%	91.0%	87.8%	89.8%
within 5 minutes 59 seconds		Total Peak		91.6%	91.6%	87.8%	88.1%
of scheduled arrival time)	Off Pe	ak Weekday		94.7%	94.4%	91.5%	92.4%
,		Weekend		96.3%	95.0%	97.9%	97.5%
-	Babylon Branch	Overall	93.9%	94.0%	93.9%	92.8%	92.7%
		AM Peak		95.4%	94.2%	91.1%	89.8%
		PM Peak		88.9%	91.2%	84.4%	87.8%
		Total Peak		92.4%	92.8%	88.0%	88. <b>9</b> %
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		96.0%	95.3%	93.9%	93.4%
		Weekend		92.5%	92.8%	97.7%	97.6%
-	Far Rockaway Branch	Overall	<b>96.6%</b>	97.2%	96.7%	96.2%	96.4%
		AM Peak		94.2%	94.2%	88.4%	88.4%
		PM Peak		95.3%	95.7%	95.9%	96.5%
		Total Peak		94.7%	94.9%	92.0%	92.2%
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		97.4%	96.8%	96.2%	96.7%
		Weekend		98.9%	98.0%	99.8%	99.8%
-	Huntington Branch	Overall	92.5%	92.4%	91.8%	87.2%	88.9%
		AM Peak		91.9%	91.9%	83.9%	82.3%
		PM Peak		78.9%	81.9%	77.3%	83.4%
		Total Peak		85.9%	87.2%	80.8%	82.8%
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		93.3%	93.1%	83.0%	86.1%
		Weekend		96.3%	94.3%	97.5%	98.0%
	Hempstead Branch	Overall	<b>96.5%</b>	94.6%	93.4%	94.8%	94.0%
		AM Peak		93.2%	94.1%	91.6%	91.5%
		PM Peak		88.9%	83.2%	88.3%	85.9%
		Total Peak		91.1%	89.0%	90.0%	88.8%
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		93.7%	93.8%	95.1%	94.4%
_		Weekend		98.3%	96.3%	98.5%	98.4%
	Long Beach Branch	Overall	95.9%	95.5%	93.5%	95.0%	95.0%
		AM Peak		97.4%	93.3%	90.8%	90.4%
		PM Peak		90.4%	92.5%	94.3%	94.7%
	<i></i>	Total Peak		94.1%	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>92.4%</b>	92.5%
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		95.7%	93.0%	96.1%	96.0%
_		Weekend		96.9%	95.1%	96.0%	96.2%
	Montauk Branch	Overall	90.8%	92.2%	91.7%	91.8%	91.5%
		AM Peak		91.4%	86.6%	86.2%	82.3%
		PM Peak		89.8%	93.9%	88.3%	88.8%
	0// 5	Total Peak		<b>90.7%</b>	<b>90.1%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	85.4%
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		94.1%	95.5%	89.9%	91.5%
-	Overlag Dave Dress st	Weekend	04 40/	90.5%	87.1%	98.1%	96.5%
	Oyster Bay Branch	Overall	94.1%	<b>94.9%</b>	<b>94.7%</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>92.8%</b>
		AM Peak		91.0%	91.3% 01.5%	89.5%	86.8%
		PM Peak		90.4%	91.5%	88.6%	89.0%
	04.0-	Total Peak		<b>90.7%</b>	<b>91.4%</b>	<b>89.1%</b>	87.8%
	Off Pe	ak Weekday		95.2%	95.6%	94.0%	93.5%
_		Weekend		100.0%	97.7%	99.0%	98.0%



				2020 Data		2019	Data
Performance Summary			Annual		YTD thru		YTD thru
			Goal	Feb	Feb	Feb	Feb
	Port Jefferson Branch	Overall	90.9%	91.1%	88.8%	89.7%	88.2%
		AM Peak		90.1%	87.8%	84.9%	82.3%
		PM Peak		85.7%	86.1%	85.0%	85.4%
		Total Peak		88.1%	87.0%	84.9%	83.7%
	Off Pe	eak Weekday		88.5%	86.0%	88.6%	87.9%
		Weekend		98.5%	96.4%	97.2%	94.3%
	Port Washington Branch	Overall	95.3%	96.4%	96.8%	92.4%	93.3%
		AM Peak		93.3%	94.2%	88.0%	89.0%
		PM Peak		95.3%	95.5%	91.5%	92.7%
		Total Peak		94.3%	94.9%	89.8%	90.9%
	Off Pe	eak Weekday		95.9%	96.6%	90.9%	92.2%
		Weekend		99.2%	99.0%	98.0%	98.2%
	Ronkonkoma Branch	Overall	<b>91.6%</b>	92.6%	92.2%	87.3%	89.0%
		AM Peak		90.3%	89.2%	82.3%	79.8%
		PM Peak		90.5%	92.8%	86.3%	90.9%
		Total Peak		90.4%	90.8%	84.1%	84.7%
	Off Pe	eak Weekday		91.6%	91.7%	84.8%	89.0%
		Weekend		97.5%	95.5%	97.7%	96.1%
	West Hempstead Branch	Overall	<b>95.8%</b>	96.8%	96.4%	95.9%	96.2%
		AM Peak		94.7%	91.7%	86.3%	88.3%
		PM Peak		95.6%	95.1%	96.5%	95.1%
		Total Peak		95.2%	93.6%	91.9%	92.0%
	Off Pe	eak Weekday		97.5%	97.9%	97.2%	97.8%
		Weekend		97.3%	96.7%	98.1%	98.1%
Operating Statistics		s Scheduled		19,465	40,799	18,790	39,806
	Avg. Delay per Late			-10.6	-10.3	-12.0	-12.0
	excluding trains cancel			447	050	010	467
	Trains Over			117	250	218	467
	excluding trains cancel					100	0.15
		ns Canceled		42	84	180	245
	Percent of Scheduled Trips	Terminated		26 99.7%	56 99.7%	90 98.6%	147 99.0%
Consist Compliance	Fercent of Scheduled Trip	scompleted		99.1 %	99.1 %	90.0%	99.0%
Consist Compliance				00.00/			
(Percent of trains where the		AM Peak		99.3%			
number of seats provided		PM Peak		99.1%			
was greater than or equal		Total Peak		99.2%			
to the required number of							
seats per loading standards)							



		2020	2020	Data	2019	Data	
System Categories Of Delay	% Total	Jan	Feb	YTD Thru Feb	Feb	YTD Thru Feb	YTD 2020 Vs 2019
Engineering (Scheduled)	3.1%	121	34	155	28	64	91
Engineering (Unscheduled)	18.2%	200	202	402	242	699	(297)
Maintenance of Equipment	12.2%	123	135	258	115	274	(16)
Transportation	5.1%	59	56	115	16	47	68
Capital Projects	5.1%	195	56	251	38	57	194
Weather and Environmental	4.8%	79	53	132	75	267	(135)
Police	7.1%	133	79	212	526	667	(455)
Customers	26.2%	381	290	671	218	472	199
Special Events	1.1%	11	12	23	8	20	3
Other	10.4%	69	115	184	62	152	32
3rd Party Operations	6.9%	65	76	141	161	303	(162)
Total	100.0%	1,436	1,108	2,544	1,489	3,022	(478)



i.

#### EVENTS RESULTING IN 10 or MORE LATE (L), CANCELED (C) OR TERMINATED (T) TRAINS

1				AM Peal	¢	1	PM Peak	c		Off Peak		1	OTAL	
Date	Day	DESCRIPTION OF EVENT	L	С	Т	L	С	Т	L	С	Т	Late	Cxld	Term
2-Feb	Sun	Signal trouble east of Copiague Station							20			20		
3-Feb	Mon	Switch trouble in Queens Interlocking				10						10		
3-Feb	Mon	Track condition at Queens Interlocking							10			10		
4-Feb	Tue	Switch trouble west of Merillon Ave Station				13	2	1	3			16	2	1
4-Feb	Tue	Switch trouble in Queens Interlocking							15			15		
7-Feb	Fri	Rules violation in Hall Interlocking				33	5		4			37	5	
7-Feb	Fri	Strong wind conditions system wide				1			12	2		13	2	
12-Feb	Wed	Amtrak related loss of power in East River Tunnels				16	5		15			31	5	
13-Feb	Thu	Bridge strike at Hamilton Ave, west of Hollis Station	9						3			12		
14-Feb	Fri	Track condition at Woodside Station	14		2				8			22		2
14-Feb	Fri	Train 1722 with equipment trouble west of Jamaica Station				10			1			11		
18-Feb	Tues	Non-passenger train 3859 with equipment trouble west of Long Beach				10	2	1	3	1		13	3	1
28-Feb	Fri	Loss of supervisory signal system at Merillon Ave Station				31	7	5	16	4	1	47	11	6
29-Feb	Sat	Temporary platforms west of Jamaica Station							10			10		
		TOTAL FOR MONTH	23	0	2	124	21	7	120	7	1	267	28	10
						-							305	

i



		20	)20	20	)19
	Elevator Availability	February	Year to Date	February	Year to Date
Branch	Babylon Branch	99.4%	99.3%	99.6%	99.5%
	Far Rockaway Branch	99.5%	99.4%	93.9%	96.8%
	Hempstead Branch	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%	99.5%
	Long Beach Branch	99.5%	99.5%	99.8%	99.6%
	Port Jefferson Branch	99.4%	98.3%	99.3%	98.3%
	Port Washington Branch	99.6%	99.5%	99.5%	99.6%
	Ronkonkoma Branch	99.4%	99.4%	99.3%	99.2%
	City Terminal Branch	99.6%	98.1%	99.2%	96.4%
	Overall Average	99.5%	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%

#### ELEVATOR AND ESCALATOR OPERATING REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2020

		20	)20	20	)19
	Escalator Availability	February	Year to Date	February	Year to Date
Branch	Babylon Branch	99.0%	98.9%	97.5%	97.7%
	Far Rockaway Branch	98.9%	96.7%	99.8%	99.4%
	Hempstead Branch	98.7%	98.8%	98.8%	98.9%
	Long Beach Branch	94.6%	92.4%	97.1%	97.3%
	Port Jefferson Branch	99.1%	98.7%	99.7%	98.8%
	City Terminal Branch	94.9%	95.0%	98.0%	97.5%
	Overall Average	97.2%	97.0%	97.9%	97.7%



Standee Report

#### OPERATING REPORT FOR MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2020

.

				Data
East Of Jama	aica			ruary
			AM Peak	PM Peak
Daily	Babylon Branch	Program Standees	0	0
Average		Add'l Standees	4	6
		Total Standees	4	6
	Far Rockaway Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	8
		Total Standees	0	8
	Huntington Branch	Program Standees	60	0
		Add'l Standees	21	1
		Total Standees	81	1
	Hempstead Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	6
		Total Standees	0	6
	Long Beach Branch	Program Standees	0	0
	-	Add'l Standees	1	0
		Total Standees	1	0
	Montauk Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0
	Oyster Bay Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	5	0
		Total Standees	5	0
	Port Jefferson Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0
	Port Washington Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	6	43
		Total Standees	6	43
	Ronkonkoma Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	12	6
		Total Standees	12	6
	West Hempstead Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0
		System Wide PEAK	109	70

#### **Definitions**

Weekday standees are calculated based on the most recent average weekday customer counts

"Program Standees" is the average number of customers in excess of programmed seating capacity.

"Additional Standees" reflect the impact of reduced train car consists (as reported in the weekday equipment reports).

Note: These statistics do not include the effects of daily ridership variation or uneven distribution of customers within trains.

Holidays and Special Events for which there are special equipment programs are not included.



.

**Standee Report** 

West Of Jamai	ica			Data ruary
West of Julia			AM Peak	PM Peak
Daily Average	Babylon Branch	Program Standees	0	0
, ,	2	Add'l Standees	6	8
		Total Standees	6	8
	Far Rockaway Branch	Program Standees	0	0
	2	Add'l Standees	0	8
		Total Standees	0	8
	Huntington Branch	Program Standees	30	0
	C	Add'l Standees	6	0
		Total Standees	36	0
	Hempstead Branch	Program Standees	0	0
	•	Add'l Standees	0	19
		Total Standees	0	19
	Long Beach Branch	Program Standees	28	0
	5	Add'l Standees	45	0
		Total Standees	73	0
	Montauk Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0
	Oyster Bay Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0
	Port Jefferson Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	0	0
		Total Standees	0	0
	Port Washington Branch	Program Standees	0	0
	-	Add'l Standees	6	43
		Total Standees	6	43
	Ronkonkoma Branch	Program Standees	0	0
		Add'l Standees	9	0
		Total Standees	9	0
	West Hempstead Branch	Program Standees	0	0
	-	Add'l Standees	7	0
		Total Standees	7	0
		System Wide PEAK	137	79

#### **Definitions**

Weekday standees are calculated based on the most recent average weekday customer counts

"Program Standees" is the average number of customers in excess of programmed seating capacity.

"Additional Standees" reflect the impact of reduced train car consists (as reported in the weekday equipment reports).

Note: These statistics do not include the effects of daily ridership variation or uneven distribution of customers within trains.

Holidays and Special Events for which there are special equipment programs are not included.


# Long Island Rail Road Performance Metrics Report



Through February, the LIRR has shown significant improvement in all three operational metrics. The Credit Card Chargeback Rate is down significantly since the deployment of chip readers at ticket selling machines.



# **On Time Performance**

The percentage of trains that arrive at their final destination within 5 minutes and 59 seconds of their scheduled arrival time.

	202	20	20	19
Goal	February	YTD	February	YTD
94.0%	94.3%	93.8%	92.1%	92.4%



• OTP for February 2020 was 94.3%, which was a significant increase from February 2019's OTP of 92.1%. This was achieved through reductions in a number of delay categories.

# **Number of Short Trains**

The total number of AM and PM peak trains that operate with fewer cars than planned.

	202	20	20	19
Goal	February	YTD	February	YTD
2,828 annually	144	321	256	730



• In February 2020, the LIRR ran 144 short trains, compared with 256 short trains in January 2019. Year to date, there has been a reduction of 56%.

# Long Island Rail Road

# **Number of Track Circuit Failures**

The number of track circuit malfunctions that result in at least one train delay.

	202	20	20	19
Goal	February	YTD	February	YTD
44	0	1	5	13



• In February 2020, the LIRR experienced 0 track circuit failures that caused at least 1 delay. In February 2019, the LIRR experienced 5 such failures. Year to date, the LIRR has reduced these failures by which is a reduction of over 92%.



# **Fare Not Collected Rate**

The percent of instances that an MTA Auditor's ticket was not collected.

	202	20	20	19
Goal	February	YTD	February	YTD
5.0%	7.6%	6.8%	7.1%	6.1%



• The Fare Not Collected Rate slightly increased compared to February 2019. The LIRR continues to employ enhanced management oversight and is strategically deploying train crews to address challenging trains.

# **Incorrect Fare Collected Rate**

The percent of instances that an MTA Auditor was encountered by a conductor who either sold an incorrect type of ticket or accepted the incorrect type of ticket.

	202	20	20	19
Goal	February	YTD	February	YTD
10.0%	11.1%	13.7%	9.1%	11.0%



• In February 2020, the Incorrect Fare Collected rate decreased from January 2020 but was up compared to February 2019.

# Long Island Rail Road

# **Credit Card Chargeback Rate**

The percent of instances that a credit card transaction is disputed by the card holder including fraud.

	202	20	20	19
Goal	February	YTD	February	YTD
0.10%	0.13%	0.17%	0.84%	0.78%



• The deployment of chip readers on ticket vending machines has begun to dramatically reduce the number of chargebacks, leading to a 0.13% rate in February 2020. This was the lowest chargeback rate since February 2018.



Financial Report Highlights

February 2020



A new M9 train set stands adjacent to the LIRR's other three fleet types at West Side Yard.

Long Island Rail Road

# Financial Report Highlights

The Long Island Rail Road's Monthly Financial Report provides year-to-date fiscal information comparing actual revenues, expenses and staffing levels to the Adopted Budget and key financial performance indicators.

# February YTD 2020 Highlights

- Total revenue of \$182.3 million was \$10.6 million higher than the budget. This was primarily due to the timing of reimbursements for capital activity and higher farebox revenue.
- Through February 2020 ridership was 13.8 million, which was 0.6% above 2019 (adjusted for the same number of work days) and 1.8% above the budget. Commutation ridership of 8.1 million was (0.9)% below 2019 and (0.2)% below the budget. Non-Commutation ridership of 5.8 million was 2.7% above 2019 and 4.8% above the budget. Consequently, farebox revenue of \$118.7 million was \$2.1 million higher than the budget.
- Total expenses before non-cash liability adjustments of \$327.6 million were \$16.2 million or 4.7% favorable to the budget. The primary drivers of this favorable variance are the timing of material usage, vacant positions and associated fringe costs and lower OPEB current expense, partially offset by higher FELA indemnity reserves and the timing of professional services.

Financial results for Year-to-Date (YTD) February 2020 are presented in the table below and compared to the budget.

Category (\$ in Millions)	Budget	Actual	<u>Variance</u>
Total Revenue	\$171.7	\$182.3	\$10.6
Total Expenses Before Non-Cash Liabilities	343.7	327.6	16.2
Net Surplus/(Deficit) Before Non-Cash Liabilities	(\$172.0)	(\$145.3)	\$26.7
Other Non-Cash Liabilities	66.4	65.9	0.5
Net Surplus/(Deficit) After Non-Cash Liabilities	(\$238.4)	(\$211.1)	\$27.3
Cash Adjustments	55.6	75.8	20.2
Net Cash Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$182.8)	(\$135.4)	\$47.4

# Mark Young

Vice President, Management and Finance



# Financial Report Highlights

# NON-REIMBURSABLE SUMMARY

February YTD operating results were favorable by \$27.3 or 11.4% lower than the budget.

Non-Reimbursable revenues through February were \$1.0 favorable to the budget. Farebox Revenue was favorable as a result of higher ridership. Other Operating Revenues were unfavorable to budget due to the timing of advertising revenues. Total Non-Reimbursable expenses were \$26.3 favorable due to lower labor expenses as a result of vacant positions and associated fringe costs, the timing of materials and lower OPEB current expense, partially offset by higher FELA indemnity reserves and the timing of professional services.

YTD capital and other reimbursable expenditures (and reimbursements) were \$9.6 higher than the budget due to timing of capital and other reimbursements.

# REVENUE/RIDERSHIP

Year-to-date **Total Revenues** (including Capital and Other Reimbursements) of \$182.3 were \$10.6 or 6.2% favorable to the budget.

- **Y-T-D Farebox Revenues** were \$2.1 favorable to the budget due to higher ridership. Ridership through February was 13.8 million. This was 0.7% higher than 2019 (adjusted for same number of calendar work days) and 1.8% higher than the budget.
- **Y-T-D Other Operating Revenues** were \$(1.1) unfavorable to the budget due to the timing of advertising revenue.
- **Y-T-D Capital and Other Reimbursements** were \$9.6 favorable due to timing of capital activity and interagency reimbursements.

# **EXPENSES**

Year-to-date **Total Expenses** (including depreciation and other) of \$393.4 were favorable to the budget by \$16.7 or 4.1%.

# Labor Expenses, \$1.5 favorable Y-T-D.

- Payroll, \$7.2 favorable Y-T-D (primarily vacant positions).
- **Overtime**, \$(1.8) unfavorable Y-T-D (primarily higher capital project activity and maintenance overtime, partially offset by lower weather-related overtime).
- Health & Welfare, \$2.5 favorable Y-T-D (vacant positions).
- **OPEB Current Payment**, \$2.3 favorable Y-T-D (fewer retirees/beneficiaries than projected).
- **Other Fringe**, \$(8.7) unfavorable Y-T-D (primarily higher FELA indemnity reserves, partially offset by lower Railroad Retirement Taxes).

Non-Labor Expenses, \$14.7 favorable Y-T-D.

- **Electric Power**, \$1.0 favorable Y-T-D (lower rates and consumption).
- **Claims**, \$(0.5) unfavorable Y-T-D (timing of claim payments).

Long Island Rail Road

# Financial Report Highlights

- **Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts**, \$0.9 favorable Y-T-D (primarily the timing of invoices and lower joint facility maintenance, partially offset by unreversed prior year accruals).
- **Professional Services**, \$(2.4) unfavorable Y-T-D (primarily the timing of consultant contracts for technical scope of work for future ESA maintenance contracts and MTA Chargeback services).
- **Materials and Supplies**, \$15.5 favorable Y-T-D (primarily timing of fleet maintenance and right of way initiatives, and capital project material).

Depreciation and Other, \$0.5 favorable Y-T-D depreciation.

# CASH DEFICIT SUMMARY

The Cash Deficit through February of \$135.4 was \$47.4 favorable to the budget due to lower expenditures and the timing of capital and other reimbursements.

# FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- The year-to-date Farebox Operating Ratio was 44.3%, 4.9 percentage points above the budget resulting from lower expenses and higher farebox revenue.
- The February Adjusted Farebox Operating Ratio was 49.2%, which is above the budget due to lower expenses and higher farebox revenue.
- The February Adjusted Cost per Passenger was \$18.05, which is lower than the budget due to lower expenses and higher ridership.
- The February Revenue per Passenger was \$8.60, which was equal to the budget.

		FEBRI	MIA JARY FINAI UAL STATE	LONG ISL NCIAL PL EMENT of FEBRU (\$ in	MIA LONG ISLAND FAIL FOUD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET ACCRUAL STATEMENT of OPERATIONS by CATEGORY FEBRUARY 2020 (\$ in millions)	OPTED BU	DGET GORY					
		Nonreimbursable	sable			Reimbursable	ble			Total		
		Į	Favorable (Unfavorable)	ole able)		I	Favorable (Unfavorable)	e ole)		ļ	Favorable (Unfavorable)	e (e)
	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent
Revenue Farebox Revenue Vehiole Toli Revenue Other Openting Revenue Canital & Other Reinhurssments	\$58.433 0.000 2.814 0.000	\$58.302 0.000 1.246	(\$0.131) 0.000 (1.568) 0.000	(0.2) - - -	\$0.000 0.000 0.000	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 30.525	\$0.000 0.000 0.000	23.2	\$58.433 0.000 2.814 24.768	\$58.302 0.000 1.246 30.525	(\$0.131) 0.000 (1.568) 5.757	(0.2) - 23.2
Total Revenue	\$61.247	\$59.548	(\$1.699)	(2.8)	\$24.768	\$30.525	\$5.757	23.2	\$86.016	\$90.073	\$4.058	4.7
Expenses Labor: Payroli Overtime Heatth and Weffare OPEB Current Payment Pensions Other Fringe Benefits Reimbursablo verheed Total Labor Expenses	\$47.930 11.933 11.689 6.506 13.468 13.468 13.468 13.468 13.327 5405	\$43.391 10.617 9.971 5.067 12.832 14.359 (3.810) <b>\$92.427</b>	\$4.538 1.316 1.718 1.139 (0.175) (0.891) 3.205 3.10.850	9.5 14.7 14.7 (1.4) (6.6) (6.6)	\$9.503 2.602 1.838 0.000 1.879 0.605 819.411	\$10.340 4.742 2.487 0.000 2.649 3.810 3.810	(\$0.837) (\$0.837) (2.140) (0.650) 0.000 0.175 (0.770) (3.205) (\$7.428)	(8.8) (82.3) (82.3) (82.3) (82.3) (82.3) (82.3) (81.0) (41.0) (38.3)	\$57.433 14.534 13.526 6.206 15.643 15.643 15.643 15.643 15.648	\$53.731 15.359 15.359 12.458 5.067 17.009 17.009 0.000 <b>5113.260</b>	\$3.701 (0.824) 1.068 1.139 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	6.4 (5.7) 7.9 18.4 0.0 (10.8)
I DIAI LADOI EXPENSES	\$ 102.201 ¢	174.76¢	000.01¢		\$ 13.4 I I	\$20.035	(074.1¢)	(0.00)	£00.771¢	007'EII¢	\$0.4ZZ	7:0
Non-Labor: Electric Power Fuel Insurance Claims Paratransit Service Contracts Professional Service Contracts Materials & Supplies Other Business Expenses	88.709 1.951 1.366 0.386 0.000 0.000 8.732 3.372 3.372 1.510	\$7.833 2.348 1.761 0.496 0.000 4.600 2.856 2.856 7.544 1.375	\$0.876 \$0.397) 0.105 0.166) 0.166) 0.166 0.166) 0.525 7.382 7.382 0.525	10.1 5.6 (50.4) 31.6 49.5 49.5 90	\$0.021 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.078 3.548 3.548 0.065	\$0.019 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.076 0.076	\$0.002 0.077 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.816 0.816 0.816	8.5 8.5 12.5 (19.4) 29.2	\$8.730 1.951 2.486 0.330 0.000 3.445 3.445 3.445 1.536	\$7.852 2.348 2.348 2.303 0.000 0.4308 0.4308 0.4308 0.4308 2.104 1.450	\$0.878 (0.397) (0.166) (0.166) 0.000 1.915 1.341 1.341 1.341 1.341 2.355 0.085	10.1 7.4 (50.4) 38.9 38.9 38.9 5.6
Total Non-Labor Expenses	\$39.404	\$28.819	\$10.585	26.9	\$5.357	\$3.686	\$1.671	31.2	\$44.761	\$32.505	\$12.256	27.4
Other Expense Adjustments: Other Total Other Expense Adjustments	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000	•	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	•	\$0.000 <b>\$0.000</b>	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	
Total Expenses before Depreciation & Other Post Employment Benefits	\$142.682	\$121.246	\$21.435	15.0	\$24.768	\$30.525	(\$5.757)	(23.2)	\$167.450	\$151.771	\$15.678	9.4
Depreciation GASB 75 OPEB Expense Adjustment GASB 68 Pension Expense Adjustment Environmental Remediation <b>Total Expenses</b>	\$33.043 0.000 0.000 0.167 \$175.892	\$32.770 0.000 0.000 0.167 \$154.183	\$0.273 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$21.708</b>	0.8 0.0 <b>12.3</b>	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 \$24.768	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$30.525</b>	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 (\$5.757)	- - - - (23.2)	\$33.043 0.000 0.000 0.167 \$200.660	\$32.770 0.000 0.000 0.167 0.167 <b>\$184.708</b>	\$0.273 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$15.951</b>	0.8 - 0.0 <b>7.9</b>
Net Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$114.644)	(\$94.635)	\$20.009	17.5	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	•	(\$114.644)	(\$94.635)	\$20.009	17.5
Cash Conversion Adjustments Deprectation Operating/Capital Other Cash Adjustments Total Cash Conversion Adjustments	<ul> <li>\$33.043</li> <li>(1.178)</li> <li>7.856</li> <li>\$39.721</li> </ul>	\$32.770 0.262 (1.387) <b>\$31.646</b>	(\$0.273) 1.441 (9.243) <b>(\$8.075</b> )	(0.8) * (20.3)	\$0.000 0.000 0.000	\$0.000 0.000 <b>\$0.000</b>	\$0.000 0.000 <b>\$0.000</b>		33.043 (1.178) 7.856 <b>\$39.721</b>	\$32.770 0.262 (1.387) \$31.646	(\$0.273) 1.441 (9.243) <b>(\$8.075)</b>	(0.8) * (20.3)
Net Cash Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$74.923)	(\$62.989)	\$11.934	15.9	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	•	(\$74.923)	(\$62.989)	\$11.934	15.9
Results are based on the preliminary close of the general ledger and are subject to review and adjustment. Please note that the current months' actuals do not include post-close adjustments, which will be captured in the subsequent month's YTD results.	ral ledger and are s	ubject to reviev	v and adjustme	ent. Please r	oote that the curr	rent months' act	uals do not incl	ude post-cl	ose adjustments, I	which will be capti	ured in the subse	aquent

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD

Master Page # 262 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

TABLE 1

		FEBRI	JARY FINAI UAL STATE Fi	Sin (\$ in (`)))) and ``)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET ACCRUAL STATEMENT of OPERATIONS by CATEGORY FEBRUARY Year-To-Date (\$ in millions)	OOPTED BL IS by CATE te	IDGET GORY					
		Nonreimbursable	sable			Reimbursable	able			Total		
			Favorable (Unfavorable)	ole able)			Favorable (Unfavorable)	e le)			Favorable (Unfavorable)	e le)
	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent
Revenue Farebox Revenue	\$116.667	\$118.725	\$2.058	1.8	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000	'	\$116.667	\$118.725	\$2.058	1.8
vencie Toir Revenue Other Operating Revenue Canital & Other Reimbursements	0.000 5.013 0.000	3.930	0.000 (1.084) 0.000	- (21.6) -	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000 9.613	19.7	0.000 5.013 50.032	0.000 3.930 59.645	0.000 (1.084) 9.613	- (21.6) 19.2
Total Revenue	\$121.680	\$122.654	\$0.974	0.8	\$50.032	\$59.645	\$9.613	19.2	\$171.712	\$182.299	\$10.587	6.2
Expenses Labor: Payroll Overtime Health and Welfare Pensions Pensions Reimbursable Overhead <b>Total Labor Expenses</b>	\$104.293 24.738 23.738 23.738 23.738 12.411 25.231 25.231 25.231 25.231 25.231 28.217.283	\$96.431 22.531 19.826 10.113 25.907 35.964 (7.443) <b>\$203.329</b>	\$7.862 2.207 3.502 2.299 (0.676) (7.453) (7.453) 6.214 8 <b>73.954</b>	7.5 8.9 15.0 18.5 (2.7) (2.7) *	\$19.302 5.188 3.727 0.000 6.055 3.811 1.229 <b>339.311</b>	\$19.992 9.187 4.743 6.379 5.054 7.443 5.054 7.453	(\$0.689) (3.999) (1.016) (1.016) 0.000 0.676 (1.243) (5.214) (5.243)	(3.6) (77.1) (27.3) (27.3) (27.3) (37.4) (32.6) (32.6) (31.8)	\$123.595 29.926 27.054 12.411 31.286 32.322 0.000 <b>\$266.594</b>	\$116.422 31.718 24.568 10.113 31.286 41.018 0.0018 0.0008 \$255.125	\$7,173 (1.793) 2.486 2.299 0.299 (8.696) 0.000 0.000 <b>5.1.469</b>	5.8 (6.0) 9.2 18.5 0.0 (26.9) 0.6
<i>Non-Labor:</i> Electric Power Fuel Insurance Claims Paratransit Service Contracts Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Materials & Supplies Other Business Expenses Other Business Expenses	\$15.338 3.855 3.732 0.709 0.709 13.388 13.388 6.972 269 269 260 3.094	\$14.515 4.268 3.528 1.256 1.256 0.000 1.2639 9.435 9.435 9.435 2.209	\$0.883 (0.413) (0.413) (0.548) (0.548) (0.548) (0.548) (0.749 (2.463) (2.463) (3.43) (3.3659)	5.7 5.6 (10.7) 5.6 (77.3) 5.6 5.6 (35.3) 44.6	\$0.042 0.000 1.268 0.000 0.000 2.175 0.129 7.055	\$0.041 0.000 1.031 0.000 2.022 2.022 2.022 0.139	\$0.001 0.000 0.237 0.000 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.087	3.3 3.3 18.7 18.7 7.1 7.1 7.1 35.1	\$15.440 3.855 5.001 0.709 0.709 0.709 7.100 3.125 3.126 3.126	\$14.555 \$4.268 \$4.553 \$1.256 \$0.000 \$14.660 \$14.660 \$14.660 \$14.790 \$2.790 \$2.890	\$0.885 (0.413) 0.448 (0.548) 0.000 0.000 (0.533 15.533 0.256	5.7 (10.7) 9.0 (77.3) 5.8 (33.4) 8.1 8.1 8.1
Total Non-Labor Expenses	\$76.416	\$64.595	\$11.821	15.5	\$10.721	\$7.848	\$2.872	26.8	\$87.137	\$72.443	\$14.694	16.9
Other Expense Adjustments Other Expense Adjustments Total Other Expense Adjustments	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	•	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	• •	0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	
Total Expenses before Depreciation & Other Post Employment Benefits	\$293.699	\$267.924	\$25.775	8.8	\$50.032	\$59.645	(\$9.613)	(19.2)	\$343.731	\$327.569	\$16.162	4.7
Depreciation GASB 75 OPEB Expense Adjustment GASB 68 Pension Expense Adjustment Environmental Remediation Total Expenses	\$66.042 0.000 0.333 <b>\$360.074</b>	\$65.541 0.000 0.333 0.333 <b>\$333.798</b>	0.501 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$26.276</b>	0.8 - 7.3 7.3	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$50.032</b>	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>559.645</b>	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	- - - (19.2)	\$66.042 0.000 0.000 0.333 \$410.106	\$65.541 0.000 0.000 0.333 <b>\$393.443</b>	\$0.501 0.000 0.000 0.000 <b>\$16.663</b>	0.8 0.0 <b>1.1</b>
Net Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$238.394)	(\$211.143)	\$27.250	11.4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	•	(\$238.394)	(\$211.143)	\$27.250	11.4
Cash Conversion Adjustments Depreciation Operating/Capital Other Cash Adjustments Total Cash Conversion Adjustments	\$66.042 (2.357) (8.093) <b>\$55.592</b>	\$65.541 (0.100) 10.322 <b>\$75.762</b>	(\$0.501) 2.257 18.415 <b>\$20.170</b>	(0.8) 95.8 <b>36.3</b>	\$0.000 0.000 <b>\$0.000</b>	\$0.000 0.000 <b>\$0.000</b>	\$0.000 0.000 0.000 \$0.000		66.042 (2.357) (8.093) <b>\$55.592</b>	\$65.541 (0.100) 10.322 <b>\$75.762</b>	(\$0.501) 2.257 18.415 <b>\$20.170</b>	(0.8) 95.8 3 <b>6.3</b>
Net Cash Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$182.802)	(\$135.381)	\$47.421	25.9	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.000	•	(\$182.802)	(\$135.381)	\$47.421	25.9
Results are based on the preliminary close of the general month's YTD results.		subject to revie	w and adjustm	ent. Please i	note that the cun	rent months' ac	tuals do not incl	ude post-clo	edger and are subject to review and adjustment. Please note that the current months' actuals do not include post-close adjustments, which will be captured in the subsequent	which will be cap	tured in the subs	equent

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD

Master Page # 263 of 289 - Joint Metro-North and Long Island Committees Meeting 3/25/2020

TABLE 2

**EXPLANATION OF VARIANCES BETWEEN ADOPTED BUDGET AND ACTUAL: ACCRUAL BASIS** FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD (\$ in millions)

		Febru	February 2020				Year-to-Date February 2020
		Favorable/ (Unfavorable)	able/ trable)		Favorable/ (Unfavorable)	able/ rable)	
Generic Revenue	Non Reimb.	Variance	nce	Reason	Variance	nce	Reason
or Expense Category	Or Reimb.	\$	%	for Variance	Ş	%	for Variance
Revenue							
Farebox Revenue	Non Reimb.	(0.131)	(0.2)	Lower yield per passenger \$(0.183), partially offset by higher ridership \$0.052.	2.058	1.8	Higher ridership \$2.137, partially offset by lower yield per passenger \$(0.079).
Other Operating Revenue	Non Reimb.	(1.568)	(55.7)	Primarily due to the timing of advertising and rental revenue.	(1.084)	(21.6)	(21.6) Primarily due to the timing of advertising revenue.
Capital & Other Reimbursements	Reimb.	5.757	23.2	Timing of capital project activity and interagency reimbursements.	9.613	19.2	Timing of capital project activity and interagency reimbursements.
	-						
Expenses							
Payroll	Non Reimb.	4.538	9.5	Primarily vacant positions.	7.862	7.5	Primarily vacant positions and higher sick pay law claim credits, partially offset by timing of retiree sick/vacation buyout.
	Reimb.	(0.837)	(8.8)	Primarily due to timing of project activity.	(0.689)	(3.6)	(3.6) Primarily due to timing of project activity.
Overtime	Non Reimb.	1.316	11.0	Primarily due to lower weather-related overtime, partially offset by higher maintenance overtime.	2.207	8.9	Primarily due to lower weather-related overtime, partially offset by higher maintenance overtime.
	Reimb.	(2.140)	(82.3)	Over-run attributed to 3rd Track expansion, Jamaica Capacity Improvements and Annual Track Program.	(3.999)	(77.1)	(77.1) Over-run attributed to 3rd Track expansion, Jamaica Capacity Improvements and Annual Track Program.
Health and Welfare	Non Reimb.	1.718	14.7	Vacant positions.	3.502	15.0	15.0 Vacant positions.
	Reimb.	(0.650)	(35.4)	Primarily due to timing of project activity.	(1.016)	(27.3)	(27.3) Primarily due to timing of project activity.
OPEB Current Payment	Non Reimb.	1.139	18.4	Fewer retirees/beneficiaries.	2.299	18.5	Fewer retirees/beneficiaries.
Pensions	Non Reimb.	(0.175)	(1.4)	Total pension is on plan. However the estimated percentage of pension allocated to reimbursable was over-estimated.	(0.676)	(2.7)	Total pension is on plan. However the estimated percentage of pension allocated to reimbursable was over-estimated.

11.2 Total pension is on plan. However the estimated percentage of pension allocated to reimbursable was over-estimated.

0.676

5.9 Total pension is on plan. However the estimated percentage of pension allocated to reimbursable was over-estimated.

0.175

Reimb.

**EXPLANATION OF VARIANCES BETWEEN ADOPTED BUDGET AND ACTUAL: ACCRUAL BASIS** (\$ in millions)

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET

		Febru	February 2020				Year-to-Date February 2020
		Favorable/ (Unfavorable)	able/ srable)		Favorable/ (Unfavorable)	able/ rable)	
Generic Revenue	Non Reimb.	Variance	nce	Reason	Variance	nce	Reason
or Expense Category	Or Reimb.	\$	%	for Variance	\$	%	for Variance
Other Fringe Benefits	Non Reimb.	(0.891)	(6.6)	Higher FELA indemnity reserves, partially offset by lower Railroad Retirement Taxes.	(7.453)	(26.1)	(26.1) Higher FELA indemnity reserves, partially offset by lower Railroad Retirement Taxes.
	Reimb.	(0.770)	(41.0)	Primarily due to timing of project activity.	(1.243)	(32.6)	(32.6) Primarily due to timing of project activity.
Reimbursable Overhead	Non Reimb.	3.205	*	Primarily due to timing of project activity.	6.214	*	Primarily due to timing of project activity.
	Reimb.	(3.205)	*	Primarily due to timing of project activity.	(6.214)	*	Primarily due to timing of project activity.
Electric Power	Non Reimb.	0.876	10.1	Primarily due to lower rates and consumption.	0.883	5.7	Primarily due to lower rates and consumption.
	Reimb.	0.002	8.5		0.001	3.3	
Fuel	Non Reimb.	(0.397)	(20.3)	Primarily due to higher rates.	(0.413)	(10.7)	(10.7) Primarily due to higher rates.
Insurance	Non Reimb.	0.105	5.6	Lower liability insurance.	0.211	5.6	Lower liability insurance.
	Reimb.	0.077	12.5	Force Account Insurance associated with project activity.	0.237	18.7	Force Account Insurance associated with project activity.
Claims	Non Reimb.	(0.166)	(50.4)	Timing of claim payments.	(0.548)	(77.3)	(77.3) Timing of claim payments.
Maintenance & Other Operating Contracts	Non Reimb.	2.124	31.6	Primarily timing of prior year accrual reversals and lower joint facility maintenance, partially offset by unreversed prior year accruals.	0.749	5.6	Primarily timing of invoices and lower joint facility maintenance, partially offset by unreversed prior year accruals.
	Reimb.	(0.209)	(19.4)	Primarily due to timing of project activity.	0.154	7.1	Primarily due to timing of project activity.

EXPLANATION OF VARIANCES BETWEEN ADOPTED BUDGET AND ACTUAL: ACCRUAL BASIS (\$ in millions)

FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET

**MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD** 

meetings & conventions, print & stationery supplies Primarily timing of miscellaneous expenses, travel Primarily due to the timing of consultant contracts Primarily timing of modifications and RCM activity services, partially offset by timing of rolling stock decommissioning and delayed communication maintenance contracts and MTA Chargeback for revenue fleet and right of way material. Based on certain capital assets being fully for technical scope of work for future ESA Primarily due to timing of project activity. Primarily due to timing of project activity. Primarily due to timing of project activity. for Variance Reason Year-to-Date February 2020 and office supplies. depreciated. contracts. 44.6 (35.3)72.5 35.1 11.1 0.8 \* (Unfavorable) × Favorable Variance (2.463)(0.087) 0.093 13.059 2.474 0.343 0.501 Primarily delayed communication contracts and timing Primarily timing of modifications and RCM activity for miscellaneous expenses and travel meetings & Primarily timing of print & stationery supplies, of rolling stock decommissioning and other Based on certain capital assets being fully Primarily due to timing of project activity. Primarily due to timing of project activity. Primarily due to timing of project activity. revenue fleet and right of way material. for Variance Reason professional services conventions. depreciated. 15.5 49.5 29.2 9.0 \* 0.8 February 2020 \* Unfavorable) Favorable/ Variance (0.050)0.525 0.816 7.382 1.035 0.273 0.136 Non Reimb. Or Reimb. Non Reimb. Non Reimb. Non Reimb. Non Reimb. Reimb. Reimb. Reimb. Professional Service Contracts or Expense Category Generic Revenue **Other Business Expenses Aaterials & Supplies** Depreciation

FEBRU	MTA ARY FINA CASH R	LONG IS NCIAL PI ECEIPTS Febru	MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPT SH RECEIPTS and EXPENDITUR February 2020 (\$ in millions)	MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD RY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED CASH RECEIPTS and EXPENDITURES February 2020 (\$ in millions)	MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET CASH RECEIPTS and EXPENDITURES February 2020 (\$ in millions)			
I		Month	hth			Year-to-Date	-Date	
		ļ	Favorable (Unfavorable)	able orable)		·	Favorable (Unfavorable)	able rable)
ľ	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent
<u>Receipts</u> Farebox Revenue Vehicie Toll Revenue	\$59.766 0.000	\$57.321 0.000	(\$2.445) 0.000	(4.1)	\$119.333 0.000	\$119.481 0.000	\$0.148 0.000	0.1
Other Operating Revenue Capital & Other Reimbursements Total Receipts	8.836 19.509 <b>\$88.111</b>	1.068 29.068 <b>\$87.457</b>	(7.768) 9.559 <b>(\$0.654)</b>	(87.9) 49.0 (0.7)	10.439 44.210 <b>\$173.982</b>	2.820 64.986 <b>\$187.287</b>	(7.619) 20.776 <b>\$13.305</b>	(73.0) 47.0 7.6
<u>Expenditures</u> Labor: Payroll	\$56.216	\$52.637	\$3.579	6.4	\$125.623	\$114.495	\$11.128	0.8
Overtime Health and Welfare OPEB Current Payment	14.534 13.526 6.206	15.221 11.919 5.520	(0.687) 1.607 0.686	(4.7) 11.9 11.1	33.451 27.054 12.412	32.792 23.305 10.326	0.659 3.749 2.086	2.0 13.9 16.8
Pensions Other Fringe Benefits Reimbursable Overhead	15.643 14.995 0.000 0.000	15.639 14.394 0.000 0.000	0.004 0.601 0.000 0.000	0.0	31.286 36.014 0.000 0.000	30.701 33.290 0.000 0.000	0.585 2.724 0.000 0.000	1.9 7.6 -
Total Labor Expenditures	\$121.120	\$115.330	\$5.790	4.8	\$265.840	\$244.908	\$20.932	7.9
<i>Non-Labor:</i> Electric Power Fuel Insurance Claims Paratransit Service Contracts	\$8.730 1.951 0.000 0.103	\$10.229 1.756 0.000 0.125	(\$1.499) 0.195 0.000 (0.022)	(17.2) 10.0 - - 2	\$15.440 3.855 10.248 0.255	\$17.217 3.569 0.000 0.315	(\$1.777) 0.286 10.248 (0.060) 0.000	(11.5) 7.4 100.0 (23.6)
Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Professional Service Contracts Materials & Supplies Other Business Extenses	7.809 1.685 18.479 1.824	6.855 6.855 1.196 12.743 1.702	0.954 0.489 5.736 0.122	12.2 29.0 31.0 6.7	15.563 3.580 35.615 3.722	14.342 6.517 30.344 3.365	1.221 (2.937) 5.271 0.357	7.8 (82.0) 14.8 9.6
Total Non-Labor Expenditures	\$40.581	\$34.606	\$5.975	14.7	\$88.278	\$75.670	\$12.608	14.3
Other Other Total Other Expenditure Adjustments	\$1.333 <b>\$1.333</b>	\$1.190 <b>\$1.190</b>	\$0.143 <b>\$0.143</b>	10.8 10.8	\$2.666 <b>\$2.666</b>	\$2.560 <b>\$2.560</b>	\$0.106 <b>\$0.106</b>	4.0 4.0
Total Expenditures	\$163.034	\$151.125	\$11.909	7.3	\$356.784	\$323.137	\$33.647	9.4
Cash Timing and Availability Adjustment	0.000	0.679	0.679		0.000	0.469	0.469	ı
Net Cash Deficit (excludes opening balance)	(\$74.923)	(\$62.989)	\$11.934	15.9	(\$182.802)	(\$135.381)	\$47.421	25.9
ousidies MTA	74.923	69.654	(5.269)	(1.0)	182.802	218.915	36.113	19.8

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET EXPLANATION OF VARIANCES BETWEEN FEBRUARY BUDGET AND ACTUAL CASH BASIS

			February 2020		Υe	Year-to-Date as of February 2020
	Favoi (IInfav	Favorable/		Favorable/ /IInfavorable/	able/	
	Varia	Variance		Variance	Ince	
Generic Revenue or Exnense Category	÷	%	Reason for Variance	÷	%	Reason for Variance
Receints	•	2		·	2	
Farebox Revenue	(2.445)	(4.1)	Lower advance sales impact \$(2.090), lower MetroCard/AirTrain sales \$(0.224) and lower yields \$(0.183), partially offset by higher ridership \$0.052.	0.148	0.1	Higher ridership \$2.137, partially offset by lower advance sales impact \$(1.540), lower MetroCard/AirTrain sales \$(0.370) and lower yields \$(0.079).
Other Operating Revenue	(7.768)	(87.9)	(87.9) Primarily due to the timing of an annual rental revenue payment.	(7.619)	(73.0)	(73.0) Primarily due to the timing of an annual rental revenue payment.
		_				
Capital and Other Reimbursements	9.559	49.0	Timing of activity and reimbursement for capital and other reimbursements.	20.776	47.0	Timing of activity and reimbursement for capital and other reimbursements.
Expenditures						
Labor:						
Payroll	3.579	6.4	Primarily due to vacant positions, rates and higher sick pay law claim credits, partially offset by the timing of intercompany reimbursements.	11.128	8.9	Primarily due to vacant positions, rates and higher sick pay law claim credits.
					_	
Overtime	(0.687)		(4.7) Primarily due to higher project and maintenance overtime, partially offset by lower weather-related overtime.	0.659	2.0	Primarily due to lower weather-related overtime and the timing of overtime payments, partially offset by higher project and maintenance overtime.
					_	
Health and Welfare	1.607	11.9	Primarily due to vacant positions, and the timing of payments.	3.749	13.9	Primarily due to vacant positions, intercompany reimbursements and the timing of payments.
						_
OPEB Current Payment	0.686	11.1	Primarily due to fewer retirees/beneficiaries and the timing of payments.	2.086	16.8	Primarily due to fewer retirees/beneficiaries and the timing of payments.
Pensions	0.004	0.0	Due to intercompany reimbursements.	0.585	1.9	Due to intercompany reimbursements.
Other Fringe Benefits	0.601	4.0	Primarily due payments, pa	2.724	7.6	
			payments and higher meal payments.			reimbursements, partially offset by the timing of FELA payments and higher meal payments.

Table 5

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD	FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET	EXPLANATION OF VARIANCES BETWEEN FEBRUARY BUDGET AND ACTUAL CASH BASIS	
---------------------------	---	--	--

			February 2020		Υe	Year-to-Date as of February 2020
	Favorable/ (Unfavorable) Variance	'able/ orable) ince		Favorable/ (Unfavorable) Variance	able/ vrable) nce	
Generic Revenue or Expense Category	\$	%	Reason for Variance	\$	%	Reason for Variance
Non-Labor:						
Electric Power	(1.499)	(17.2)	(17.2) Primarily due to the of timing payments, partially offset by lower rates and consumption.	(1.777)	(11.5)	(11.5) Primarily due to the timing of payments, partially offset by lower rates and consumption.
Fuel	0.195	10.0	Primarily due to the timing of payments, partially	0.286	7.4	Primarily due to the timing of payments, partially
			offset bý high			offset by higher rates.
Insurance	0.000	1		10.248	100.0	Timing of insurance premium payments.
Claims	(0.022)	(21.0)	Timing of payments for claims.	(090.0)	(23.6)	Timing of payments for claims.
Maintenance and Other Operation Contracts	0.954	12.2	Timing of payments.	1.221	7.8	Timing of payments.
Professional Service Contracts	0.489	29.0	29.0 Timing of payments for consultant services.	(2.937)	(82.0)	(82.0) Timing of payments for consultant contracts for technical scope of work for future ESA maintenance contracts and the timing of MTA Chargeback services.
Materials and Supplies	5.736	31.0	31.0 Primarily the timing of program, production plan, and operating funded capital material and supplies.	5.271	14.8	14.8 Primarily the timing of program, production plan, and operating funded capital material and supplies.
Other Business Expenses	0.122	6.7	6.7 Primarily the timing of print & stationery, miscellaneous expense and travel payments.	0.357	9.6	Primarily the timing of miscellaneous expense, travel, print & stationery, and office supply payments.
Other Expenditure Adjustments	0.143	10.8	Lower MetroCard/AirTrain pass through payments.	0.106	4.0	Lower MetroCard/AirTrain pass through payments.

Table 5

FEBRUAR CASH C	MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET CASH CONVERSION (CASH FLOW ADJUSTMENTS) February 2020 (\$in millions)	NG ISLAND RA AL PLAN - 2020 N (CASH FLOV February 2020 (\$ in millions)	MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPT NVERSION (CASH FLOW ADJUS February 2020 (\$ in millions)	0AD 0PTED   0JUSTM	BUDGET ENTS)			
		Month	ų	Ì		Year-to-Date	ate	
		Į	Favorable (Unfavorable)	ble able)		Į	Favorable (Unfavorable)	ble able)
	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent	Budget	Actual	Variance	Percent
<u>Receipts</u> Farebox Revenue Vehide Toll Revenue Other Operating Revenue Capital & Other Reimbursements	\$1.333 0.000 6.022 (5.259)	(\$0.981) 0.000 (0.178) (1.457)	(\$2.314) 0.000 (6.200) 3.802	72.3	\$2.666 0.000 5.426 (5.822)	\$0.756 0.000 (1.109) 5.341	(\$1.910) 0.000 (6.535) 11.163	(71.7) - *
Total Receipts	\$2.095	(\$2.616)	(\$4.712)	*	\$2.270	\$4.987	\$2.718	*
Expenditures Labor: Payroll Overtime Health and Welfare OPEB Current Payment Pensions Other Fringe Benefits GASB	\$1.217 0.000 0.000) (0.000) (0.000) 0.352 0.000	\$1.094 0.137 0.137 0.539 0.539 0.1453 0.004 0.000 0.000	(\$0.122) 0.137 0.539 0.539 0.004 2.263 2.263	(10.1) * * * * * *	(\$2.028) (3.525) 0.000 (0.001) (3.692) 0.000	\$1.928 (1.074) 1.263 (0.213) 0.585 7.728 0.000	\$3.956 2.452 1.263 0.212) 0.585 11.420 0.000	0 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 8 9 8
Total Labor Expenditures	\$1.569	\$3.937	\$2.368	*	(\$9.246)	\$10.217	\$19.463	•
<i>Non-Labor.</i> Electric Power Fuel Insurance Claims Partansit Service Contracts Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Maintenance and Other Operating Contracts Maintenance Scontracts Materials & Supplies Other Business Expenses	\$0.000 \$0.000 2.486 0.227 0.200 0.000 1.760 (0.005) (0.288)	(\$2.376) 0.592 2.303 2.303 0.371 0.371 0.361 (0.961) 0.908 (0.268) (0.252)	(\$2.377) 0.592 0.183) 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.036 0.036	* (7.4) 63.7 63.7 (48.4) (48.4)	\$0.000 \$0.000 (5.247) 0.454 0.454 0.000 3.520 0.709 0.709	(\$2.661) 0.699 4.553 0.941 0.000 0.318 (9.554) (0.476)	(\$2.661) 0.699 9.800 0.048 0.048 0.318 (0.567) (10.262) 0.101	(16.1) 17.5
Total Non-Labor Expenditures	\$4.180	(\$2.101)	(\$6.281)	*	(\$1.141)	(\$3.226)	(\$2.085)	*
Other Expenditure Adjustments: Other Total Other Expenditure Adjustments	(\$1.333) <b>(\$1.333)</b>	(\$1.190) ( <b>\$1.190)</b>	\$0.143 <b>\$0.143</b>	10.8 10.8	(\$2.666) (\$2.666)	(\$2.560) (\$2.560)	\$0.106 <b>\$0.106</b>	4.0 4.0
Total Expenditures before Depreciation	\$4.416	\$0.646	(\$3.770)	(85.4)	(\$13.053)	\$4.432	\$17.485	*
Depreciation Adjustment GASB 75 OPEB Expense Adjustment GASB 68 Pension Expense Adjustment Environmental Remediation	\$33.043 0.000 0.000 0.167	\$32.770 0.000 0.000 0.167	(\$0.273) 0.000 0.000 0.000	(0.8) - 0.0	\$66.042 0.000 0.000 0.333	\$65.541 0.000 0.000 0.333	(\$0.501) 0.000 0.000 0.000	(0.8) - 0.0
Total Expenditures	\$37.626	\$33.583	(\$4.043)	(10.7)	\$53.322	\$70.306	\$16.984	31.9
Cash Timing and Availability Adjustment	0.000	0.679	0.679	•	0.000	0.469	0.469	ı
Total Cash Conversion Adjustments	\$39.721	\$31.646	(\$8.075)	(20.3)	\$55.592	\$75.762	\$20.170	36.3

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD 2020 February Financial Plan Non-Reimbursable Overtime (\$ in millions)

# LIRR – Non-Reimbursable Overtime Variance



Source: Adopted (restated) budget. GL actuals for actual overtime spending.

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD 2020 February Financial Plan Reimbursable Overtime (\$ in millions)

# LIRR – Reimbursable Overtime Variance



<b>)verview</b> Overall decrease of -\$0.8M or -2% compared to YTD February2019 \$1.8M or 6% above 2020 budget	Non Reimbursable underrun of -\$2.2M driven by -\$5.4M weather offset by \$2.2M higher Maintenance expenses Reimbursable overrun of \$4.0M primarily driven by \$1.8M 3rd Track Expansion Project, \$1.1M Jamaica Capacity Improvements, \$0.9M Annual Track Program, \$0.2M East Access			\$0.1	-\$0.0
1 or -2% comp budget	un of -\$2.2M d expenses 4.0M primarily apacity Improv		\$1.4	\$0.4	YTD Feb '20
Overview • Overall decrease of -\$0.8M or -2% • \$1.8M or 6% above 2020 budget	<ul> <li>Non Reimbursable underrun of -\$2.2N \$2.2M higher Maintenance expenses</li> <li>Reimbursable overrun of \$4.0M prima Project, \$1.1M Jamaica Capacity Imp Program, \$0.2M East Access</li> </ul>	YTD variance by division \$∬	ENG-MOW	Transpoltation	EQP -MOE
<b>idget vs. variance</b> Annual budget: \$195M		532 \$32 \$32 \$32 6%)6%	\$29		YTD YTD Feb '19 Feb '20
YTD bu	Unravorable Budget	\$3	(15%) (15%) (6%)	\$15	Feb '19 Feb '20

Source: Adopted (restated) budget. GL actuals for actual overtime spending.

MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD 2020 February Financial Plan Non-Reimbursable/Reimbursable Overtime (\$ in millions)

# LIRR – Total Overtime Variance

# NON-REIMBURSABLE/REIMBURSABLE AND FULL-TIME/FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS END-of-MONTH FEBRUARY 2020 FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET TOTAL POSITIONS BY FUNCTION AND DEPARTMENT MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD

(Unfavorable)

Favorable/

69 99 **166** 12 0 0 - 6 Variance 2,116 2 67 335 35 66 66 28 ი 2,357 302 **2,659** <del>с</del> 10 16 61 33 452 2,001 97 Actual 2,215 2,369 319 2,070 17 71 37 3 509 2,688 95 Budget **Total Operations** Total Administration Transportation Services - Train Operations Procurement & Logistics (excl. Stores) Sr. Vice President - Engineering Sr Vice President - Operations Enterprise Asset Management BPM, Controls & Compliance Market Dev. & Public Affairs Gen. Counsel & Secretary Rolling Stock Programs Management & Budget **Diversity Management** Strategic Investments Procurement (Stores) Sr VP Administration Customer Services Human Resources Service Planning Administration Labor Relations System Safety Maintenance Executive VP Operations Engineering VP & CFO Equipment President Controller Fraining Security

6,319 1,194 7,513 7,513 123 51 1**88** 61 44 7,804 6,630 1,174 152 7,804 227 Total Engineering/Capital Department of Program Management Total Full-Time-Equivalents **Baseline Total Positions** Engineering/Capital Positive Train Control Non-Reimbursable Special Projects Total Full-Time Reimbursable

311 (20)

291

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding

29 10

4,214

4,380

**Total Maintenance** 

39 291

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET TOTAL FULL-TIME POSITIONS and FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS by FUNCTION and OCCUPATION END-of-MONTH FEBRUARY 2020

		Budget	Actual	Favorable/ (Unfavorable) Variance
Administration Managers/Supervisors	I	271	245	26
Professional, Technical, Clerical		134	100	34
Operational Hourlies	I	104	107	(3)
	Total Administration	509	452	57
Operations				
Managers/Supervisors		328	307	21
Professional, Technical, Clerical		107	101	9
Operational Hourlies	I	2,253	2,251	2
	<b>Total Operations</b>	2,688	2,659	29
Maintenance				
Managers/Supervisors		901	780	121
Professional, Technical, Clerical		304	245	59
Operational Hourlies		3,175	3,189	(14)
-	Total Maintenance	4,380	4,214	166
Engineering/Capital				
Managers/Supervisors		157	140	17
Professional, Technical, Clerical		70	48	22
Operational Hourlies		0	0	0
Total E	Total Engineering/Capital	227	188	39
Total Positions				
Managers/Supervisors		1,657	1,472	185
Professional, Technical, Clerical		615	494	121
Operational Hourlies	I	5,532	5,547	(15)

291

7,513

7,804

Total Positions

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET FULL-TIME POSITIONS and FULL-TIME EQUIVALENTS NON-REIMBURSABLE and REIMBURSABLE END-of-MONTH FEBRUARY 2020

Explanation of Variances
NON-REIMBURSABLE POSITIONS - Favorable 311 positions due to the vacancies in Maintenance of Equipment,
Train Operations, Stations Department and other administrative departments, and Engineering workforce working on
capital activities.
REIMBURSABLE POSITIONS - Unfavorable 20 positions primarily due to increased project activity in Train
Operations and Engineering, partially offset by vacancies in Project Management, Procurement and Logistics, and
Special Projects.

FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD (In Millions) RIDERSHIP

**%** -1.9% 21.0% -**0.9%** 6.2% 0.8% 2.7% **0.6%** Adjusted\* 2019 (0.145) (0.072) 0.089 0.021 0.043 **0.153** 0.080 0.072 # Variance FEBRUARY YEAR TO DATE 2020 16.5% -1.4% 0.4% 2.4% 28.2% -0.2% 4.8% 1.8% % Adopted Budget (0.107) (0.015) 0.214 0.011 0.038 **0.263** 0.248 0.092 # 7.779 0.345 8.124 1.422 2.587 1.598 **5.607** 13.732 Adjusted\* 2019 1.511 2.608 1.641 5.760 7.635 0.417 8.052 13.812 đ Actual 2020 7.741 0.325 8.067 1.297 2.597 1.602 5.496 13.563 Adopted Budget -1.7% 24.0% 6.5% 1.5% 4.2% **3.5%** -0.5% 1.1% % Adjusted\* 2019 (0.062) (0.020) 0.044 0.018 0.033 **0.095** 0.075 0.042 # Variance 7.6% -1.4% -2.1% 41.5% -0.3% -1.7% 0.7% 0.1% % Adopted Budget FEBRUARY 2020 (0.076) 0.051 (0.018) (0.014) (0.013) 0.019 0.006 0.063 # 0.679 1.228 0.778 **2.685** 3.706 0.175 **3.880** 6.565 Adjusted\* 2019 0.723 1.247 0.810 **2.780** 6.640 3.644 0.216 **3.860** Month Actual 2020 0.672 1.265 0.824 **2.761** 6.634 3.720 0.153 **3.873** Adopted Budget **Total Non-Commutation Fotal Commutation** One-Way Off-Peak One-Way Full Fare RIDERSHIP Monthly All Other Weekly Total

\*Prior year adjusted to reflect current year calendar.

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD FEBRUARY FINANCIAL PLAN - 2020 ADOPTED BUDGET MONTHLY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS February 2020

	Februa Februa	MONTHLT PERFORMANCE INDICATORS February 2020	ALUKS		
		MONTH		VARIANCE	NCE
Ecrobox Operating Datio	Actual <u>2020</u>	Adopted <u>Budget</u>	Actual <u>2019</u>	vs. Budget	vs. 2019
rarebox Operaung Kauo Standard <sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted <sup>(2)</sup>	48.2% 53.3%	40.6% 45.9%	45.6% 53.7%	7.6% 7.4%	2.6% -0.4%
Cost Per Passenger Standard <sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted <sup>(2)</sup>	\$18.22 \$16.81	\$21.68 \$20.11	\$18.67 \$17.53	\$3.46 \$3.30	\$0.45 \$0.72
Passenger Revenue/Passenger <sup>(3)</sup>	\$8.78	\$8.81	\$8.50	(\$0.03)	\$0.28
I	YE/ Actual	YEAR-TO-DATE	Actual	VARIANCE	NCE
Farebox Operating Ratio	2020	Budget	<u>2019</u>	Budget	2019 2019
Standard <sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted <sup>(2)</sup>	44.3% 49.2%	39.4% 44.2%	44.2% 50.9%	4.9% 5.0%	0.1% -1.7%
Cost Per Passenger Standard <sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted <sup>(2)</sup>	\$19.41 \$18.05	\$21.83 \$20.29	\$18.78 \$17.53	\$2.42 \$2.24	(\$0.63) (\$0.52)
Passenger Revenue/Passenger <sup>(3)</sup>	\$8.60	\$8.60	\$8.31	\$0.00	\$0.29

(1) The Standard Farebox Operating Ratio and Cost Per Passenger indicators reflect MTA-wide adopted calculations that exclude non-cash liability adjustments: Depreciation, Other Post Employment Benefits and Environmental Remediation (GASB-49).

comparability between the Long Island Rail Road and Metro-North Railroad and are being presented only management's control. These adjustments include: Inclusion of Other Operating Revenue, Removal of OPEB Current Payment expenses for retirees, and Removal of the UAAL associated with the LIRR's at the railroad operating committees. These adjustments are not being used MTA-wide. Adjustments (2) Adjusted Fare Box Operating Ratio and Cost Per Passenger indicators have been adjusted for have been made to reflect all operating revenue and significant financial impacts that are outside closed pension plan.

(3) Passenger Revenue/Passenger includes Hampton Seat Reservations.



**Ridership Report Highlights** 

# February 2020 vs. 2019

- Total ridership increased +1.1% compared to February 2019 (6,640,007 in February 2020 vs. 6,564,823 in February 2019).
- Commutation ridership decreased -0.5% compared to February 2019
- Non-Commutation ridership increased +3.5% compared to February 2019
- Factors affecting Non-Commutation ridership this February include:
  - Better weather played a positive role (warmer temperatures, less rain and no snow in February this year compared to last year)
  - There was one extra Sunday in February 2020 (leap year)

# 2020 vs. 2019 YTD

- Total YTD ridership is +0.6% above 2019 and -0.3% below Budget
- YTD Commutation ridership is -0.9% below 2019
- YTD Non-Commutation ridership is +2.7% above 2019

Mark Young Vice President Management & Finance and Chief Financial Officer

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD

# **MONTHLY RIDERSHIP REPORT**

February 2020

# February 2020 RIDERSHIP & REVENUE REPORT MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# February Ridership and Revenue (millions)

	February	% Change
	2020	vs. 2019
Total Rail Ridership	6.640	1.1% 🔺
Commutation Ridership	3.860	-0.5% 🔻
Non-Commutation Ridership	2.780	3.5% 🔺
Rail Revenue	\$58.3	4.8% 🔺

# Year-to-Date through February Prelim. Ridership and Revenue (millions)

	February 2020	% Change vs. 2019	Comparison to Budget
Total Rail Ridership	13.812	0.6% 🔺	-0.3% ▼
Commutation Ridership	8.052	-0.9% 🔻	-4.0% ▼
Non-Commutation Ridership	5.760	2.7% 🔺	5.3% 🔺
Rail Revenue	\$118.7	4.2% 🔺	1.9% 🔺

Please Note: Ridership is based on ticket sales data.

# February RIDERSHIP





February COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP



-0.9%

Please Note: Ridership is based on ticket sales data.

-0.5%

-1.2%

• February Commutation Ridership was -0.5% below '19 and -8.0% below 2020 Budget.







**February REVENUE** 



• February Total Revenue was 4.8% above '19 and 0.1% above 2020 Budget.

\*Fare increase was implemented in April 2019. Please Note: Ridership is based on ticket sales data. Υ.

### MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD RIDERSHIP SUMMARY February 2020

February	2020

	February	*February	CHANGE VS. 2	2019
TICKET TYPE/SERVICE	2020	2019	NUMBER	PERCENT
COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP	3,860,110	3,880,101	(19,992)	-0.5%
NON-COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP	2,779,897	2,684,722	95,175	3.5%
TOTAL RIDERSHIP	6,640,007	6,564,823	75,183	1.1%

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD RIDERSHIP SUMMARY 2020 YEAR-TO-DATE

	February	*February	CHANGE VS. 2019	
TICKET TYPE/SERVICE	2020	2019	NUMBER	PERCENT
COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP	8,051,853	8,124,153	(72,300)	-0.9%
NON-COMMUTATION RIDERSHIP	5,759,872	5,607,363	152,509	2.7%
TOTAL RIDERSHIP	13,811,725	13,731,516	80,209	0.6%

\* 2018 ridership numbers were adjusted using 2019 factors.

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD REVENUE SUMMARY February 2020

	February	February	CHANGE VS. 2019	
REVENUE	2020	2019	AMOUNT	PERCENT
COMMUTATION REVENUE	\$31,987,128	\$31,113,725	\$873,403	2.8%
NON-COMMUTATION REVENUE	\$26,314,821	\$24,539,116	\$1,775,705	7.2%
TOTAL REVENUE	\$58,301,949	\$55,652,841	\$2,649,108	4.8%

# MTA LONG ISLAND RAIL ROAD REVENUE SUMMARY 2020 YEAR-TO-DATE

	February	February	CHANGE VS. 2019	
REVENUE	2020	2019	AMOUNT	PERCENT
COMMUTATION REVENUE	\$63,861,933	\$62,279,137	\$1,582,796	2.5%
NON-COMMUTATION REVENUE	\$54,862,880	\$51,629,064	\$3,233,816	6.3%
TOTAL REVENUE	\$118,724,813	\$113,908,201	\$4,816,612	4.2%



# **CAPITAL PROGRAM REPORT**

# L70204U9: JAMAICA STATION PLANNING AND ENGINEERING

Milestone: Contract Award \$3,631,274

## Project Budget: \$10.00M

A Construction Contract for Signage, Wayfinding, Lighting, and Pedestrian Flow Improvements at Jamaica as part of the LIRR-to-AirTrain Wayfinding Improvements Project was awarded to LoDuca Associates Inc for \$3,631,274. Signage and Wayfinding are key elements in improving the connections among the AirTrain, LIRR, and New York City Transit subway service. Enhancing the static and digital signage, improving the lighting, and implementing other improvements will facilitate customer access and pedestrian flow to/from the JFK AirTrain and contribute to a more user-friendly experience.

### L70701XQ: 4,160 VOLT FEEDERS <u>Milestone</u>: Beneficial Use

### Project Budget: \$1.60M

Replacement of the 4,160 Volt Feeder Service at the Jay, Hall, and Dunton Ring Feeds, located within the vicinity of Jamaica Station, is complete. These feeder cables run from the public utility feed to the LIRR facility. Cables and associated equipment were replaced as part of the LIRR's efforts to address present and future service increases and power demands.

# L70204VW: AMITYVILLE PLATFORM CANOPY ROOF [SBDP]

## Milestone: Beneficial Use

### Project Budget: \$1.54M

Replacement of the platform canopy roof at Amityville Station in Suffolk County is complete. This mentor project addressed the structural deterioration of the old existing roof and panels and the subsequent leaks, by removing and replacing the roofing system and installing new drainage downspouts.

# SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

New contract/s awarded this month:

- None
- Contract/s completed this month:
- Amityville Platform Canopy
- St Albans Station Renewal



